Cardiovascular effects of epidural morphine or ropivacaine in isoflurane-anaesthetised pigs during surgical devascularisation of the liver
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ABSTRACT
The cardiovascular effects of non-abdominal and abdominal surgery during isoflurane anaesthesia (A-group) or isoflurane anaesthesia supplemented with either epidural ropivacaine (AR-group; 0.75% solution, 0.2 ml/kg) or morphine (AM-group; 0.1 mg/kg diluted in saline to 0.2 ml/kg) were evaluated in 28 healthy pigs with a mean body weight of 30.3 kg SD ± 4.1 during surgical devascularisation of the liver. Anaesthesia was induced with the intramuscular injection of midazolam (0.3 mg/kg) and ketamine (10 mg/kg). Anaesthesia was deepened with intravenous propofol to enable tracheal intubation and maintained with isoflurane on a circle rebreathing circuit. The vaporiser was set at 2.5% for the A-group and 1.5% for the AR- and AM-groups. Differences between treatment groups were not statistically significant (P>0.05) for any of the variables. Differences between A- and AR-groups were marginally significant heart rate (HR) (P = 0.06) and mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) (P = 0.08). Within treatment groups, differences for the A-group were statistically significant (P < 0.05) between non-abdominal and abdominal surgery for HR, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure (DIA) and MAP. Within the AM-group differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) for DIA and MAP, and within the AR group differences for all variables were not statistically significant (P > 0.05). It was concluded that in isoflurane-anaesthetised pigs, the epidural administration of ropivacaine decreased heart rate and improved arterial blood pressure during surgery.
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INTRODUCTION
In a research project aimed at the development of a bioartificial liver support system (BALSS) using the pig as model, it became essential to ensure optimal perioperative cardiovascular stability to be able to evaluate the BALSS16. Surgery may be performed using either regional anaesthetic techniques such as epidural anaesthesia or general anaesthesia1. The combined use of regional anaesthesia and general anaesthesia has increased in popularity for procedures involving major intra-abdominal surgery in humans1–3 and dogs15. Important perioperative advantages of the combined administration are a reduction in the minimum alveolar concentration of inhalation anaesthetics16,17, postoperative analgesia18 and improved morbidity/mortality rates19. The perioperative use of epidural anaesthesia on its own or in combination with general anaesthesia remain a controversial issue as adverse effects such as bradycardia and hypotension have been reported in humans1–3 and animals19–21 despite potential long-term advantages such as improved postoperative recovery18,22. Adverse effects associated with surgery with particular reference to the stress response may be reduced by the use of either spinal/epidural anaesthesia20–24 or the local infiltration of lidocaine and bupivacaine before laparotomy25.

Possible measures to reduce perioperative hypotension were to replace the local anaesthetic with an opioid during epidural anaesthesia and pre-induction fluid administration16. Epidural local anaesthetics block nociceptive (sensory), motor and autonomic (sympathetic) pathways whereas opioids such as morphine only affect the nociceptive component of sympathetic activation17. Analogic effects of local anaesthetics may result not only from the spinal root blockside of sensory nerves but also from systemic effects after epidural absorption16.

Potential benefits from the combined use of epidural anaesthesia and general anaesthesia appropriate to the development of a BALSS includes increased cardiovascular stability associated with reduced blood loss, improved vascular graft patency, reduced coronary vasoconstriction and improved intestinal oxygenation17,20. In a previous investigation the epidural administration of ropivacaine during surgical removal of the liver in isoflurane-anaesthetised pigs was associated with a decrease in heart rate20.

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the effects of abdominal surgery on cardiovascular parameters during isoflurane anaesthesia on its own or isoflurane anaesthesia supplemented with either epidural morphine or epidural ropivacaine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Twenty-eight female Landrace pigs with a mean body weight of 30.3 (SD±4.1) kg were used in this investigation. The pigs originated from the same breeder and were approximately 3–4 months old. The pigs were part of a study to develop a BALSS, and scheduled for surgical devascularisation of the liver. Each treatment was administered in a block, i.e. 10 pigs for isoflurane anaesthesia only (A-group), 10 pigs for anaesthesia plus ropivacaine epidural (AR-group) and then 8 pigs for anaesthesia plus morphine epidural (AM-group). Two pigs from the latter group were excluded due to failure to catheterise the medial saphenous artery for arterial blood pressure measurement. Surgery of the liver included ligation of the hepatic artery, dissection and isolation of the portal vein and temporary occlusion of the portal vein during surgical anastomosis to the caudal vena cava. Approval for the study was obtained from the Animal Use and Care Committee of the University of Pretoria.

The pigs were deprived of solid food for 24 hours before anaesthesia with water ad libitum. Drinking water was supple-
mented with dextrose and electrolytes mixed into the water (Rehidrat, Pfizer) during the day (08:00–16:00). At 16:00, 1 g of a broad-spectrum antibiotic ceftriaxone (Rocejet, Sandoz) and 2 mg of a long-acting sedative, lorazepam (Ativan, Aspen) were administered intramuscularly (IM). Thereafter they were deprived of water as well until induction of anaesthesia the following morning.

The pigs were induced in the pens with the IM injection of midazolam (0.3 mg/kg, Dormicum, Roche) and ketamine (10 mg/kg, Anaket, Centaur) and moved to theatre. A 20G teflon catheter (Jelco, Johnson & Johnson) was placed in the carotid artery. Anaesthesia was maintained with an air-oxygen mixture delivered by a precision vaporiser (Iso rates 5, Ohmeda). For the A-group, the vapouriser was set at 2.5 % isoflurane and for the AM- and AR-groups the vapouriser was set at 1.5 % isoflurane. A circle rebreathing circuit with carbon dioxide (CO₂) absorption was used to deliver the inhalation anaesthetic. Fresh gas flow rate was set at 300 mℓ/min for oxygen and 600 mℓ/min for air. Minute volume was maintained with positive pressure ventilation (Ohmeda 7000 Ventilator) to maintain end-tidal CO₂ partial pressure at 5.3 kPa. Core body temperature was maintained with a forced hot air blanket ( Bair Hugger 505, Augustine Medical).

The lumbar epidural block was administered after tracheal intubation and before surgical preparation. A 20G spinal needle (Vygon) was introduced between the 4th and 5th spinous processes of the lumbar vertebrae 1 and 2 using either a 7.5 mg/ml ropivacaine solution (0.2 ml/kg, Naropin, Astra) or preservative-free morphine sulphate at 0.1 mg/kg (Morphine sulphate, Fresenius Kabi) diluted in sterile saline to a volume of 0.2 ml/kg. Placement of the needle tip in the epidural space was detected by the loss of resistance to saline injection. In the A-group, epidural ropivacaine was administered at the completion of surgery for postoperative analgesia (similar to the AR-group).

Anaesthesia was maintained postoperatively for 24 h in all pigs with the IV infusion of fentanyl, pentobarbital and midazolam. Cardiovascular volume and blood glucose concentration were maintained with 60 ml of a 50 % dextrose solution added to 1000 ml of a balanced electrolyte solution (Intramed, Ringer Lactate) and administered IV at a rate of 15–30 ml/kg/hr for the duration of anaesthesia. During abdominal surgery 20 ml/kg of a gelatin plasma-expander (Gelofusine, B/Braun) was administered IV.

The medial saphenous artery was percutaneously catheterised using the Seldinger technique with a 20 G polyurethane catheter (Arterial Catheterisation Set, Arrow) for intraoperative arterial blood pressure monitoring. Following epidural administration, the pigs were surgically prepared for abdominal surgery and catheterisation of the internal and external jugular veins, and the carotid artery. Surgery started approximately 30 min after induction of anaesthesia. For central venous pressure (CVP) measurement a 7 Fr. multiple lumen central venous catheter (Arrow) was introduced in the internal jugular vein after surgical exposure of the jugular groove. Placement of the catheter tip in the right atrium was verified by pressure and waveform changes during catheter introduction.

Cardiopulmonary parameters were monitored with a multi-function patient monitor (TL-101T, Nihon Kohden, Medical Systems). Heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SYS), diastolic blood pressure (DIA), mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and CVP were monitored. Monitoring of parameters started 5 min before start of non-abdominal surgery and was recorded at 5 min intervals. For purposes of this investigation, time points 1–5 represent the last 5 values during non-abdominal surgery and 6–10 the first 5 values during abdominal surgery (Fig. 1). The value at time point 10 was before temporary occlusion of the portal vein. The CO₂ sensor for the capnometer was placed between the tracheal tube and the anaesthetic breathing circuit; the electrocardiographic leads were attached to the limbs; the pulse oximeter probe attached to the tongue; and arterial blood pressure measured from the medial saphenous artery using an electronic pressure transducer (MX 950 Transtar Pressure Transducers, Medex Medical). Anaesthetic depth during surgery was evaluated from the palpebral- and pedal reflex, and the masseter muscle tone. The pedal reflex was evaluated in the foot by applying pressure to the interdigital skin with tissue forceps for 1 second.

**Data analysis**

Data were not normally distributed and the Greenhouse Geiser adjustment for degrees of freedom was used for the average tests of significance. Variances were equal across treatment groups (P > 0.05). During surgery the palpebral and pedal reflexes remained absent and the masseter muscles relaxed in all animals. The mean ± SD cardiovascular values over time (1–10) are graphically presented in Fig. 1a–e. The mean ± SD values for the treatment groups are reported in Table 1. The mean ± SD values for procedures, i.e. non-abdominal surgery (1–5) and abdominal surgery (6–10), and statistical significance of differences within groups (i.e. between procedures) are reported in Table 2. The mean ± SD blood loss during surgery for the A-, AM- and AR-groups were 63 ± 31 ml, 25.6 ± 14.2 ml and 62.2 ± 45.8 ml respectively. During anaesthesia 16 of the balanced electrolyte solution and 500 ml of the colloid solution was administered to each pig.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>An</th>
<th>An+M</th>
<th>An+R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>90.2 ± 13.3</td>
<td>99.4 ± 19.5</td>
<td>79.5 ± 19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS (kPa)</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIA (kPa)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (kPa)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP (kPa)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, heart rate; SYS, systolic pressure; DIA, diastolic pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; CVP, central venous pressure; An, anaesthesia; An + M, anaesthesia + epidural morphine; An + Rb, anaesthesia + epidural ropivacaine.
Overall the differences between treatments were not statistically significant ($P = 0.11$). The differences between the individual variables were statistically significant for HR $P = 0.017$, DIA $P = 0.04$ and MAP $P = 0.039$, but not for SYS and CVP ($P = 0.37$ and $P = 0.3$ respectively). The post hoc tests indicated no statistically significant difference between treatments for any of the variables: HR, A-AM $P = 0.41$; A-AR $P = 0.2$; AM-AR $P = 0.06$; SYS, A-AM $P = 1.0$; A-AR $P = 0.48$; AM-AR $P = 0.65$; DIA, A-AM $P = 1.0$; A-AR $P = 0.1$; AM-AR $P = 0.12$, MAP, A-AM $P = 0.99$; A-AR $P = 0.12$; AM-AR $P = 0.08$, CVP, A-AM $P = 0.51$; A-AR $P = 0.97$; AM-AR $P = 0.35$.

For within treatments, the differences between procedures (non-abdominal surgery and abdominal surgery) for the A-group were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for HR, SYS, DIA, MAP but not for CVP ($P > 0.05$, Table 2). For the AM-group differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for DIA and MAP, but not for HR, SYS, and CVP ($P > 0.05$, Table 2). For the AR-group differences between procedures were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) for any of the variables (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the influence of the anaesthetic technique, *i.e.* isoflurane anaesthesia on its own or isoflurane anaesthesia supplemented with epidural morphine or ropivacaine on cardiovascular variables. The differences in cardiovascular variables between treatments were not statistically significant; however, the differences between AR- and AM-groups for HR ($P = 0.06$) and MAP ($P = 0.08$) may be considered as marginally significant. The large variability in data (Fig. 1) could have reduced the ability to detect statistically significant differences between treatments if they did exist. Another factor that could influence outcome of the study is failure of the epidural block. It is not possible to clinically evaluate the effect of the epidural blocks. In this investigation the epidural blocks were administered only by the author, which would minimize inconsistency of the epidural block technique between treatment groups.

When comparing the effects of procedure (non-abdominal and abdominal surgery) during isoflurane anaesthesia, abdominal surgery resulted in a statistically significant change in 4 of the cardiovascular variables (except CVP) during isoflurane only anaesthesia that suggested analgesia could be insufficient despite adequate clinical signs of anaesthesia. When supplementing isoflurane anaesthesia with epidural morphine, statistically significant changes occurred with 2 of the 4 variables (DIA and MAP) and with ropivacaine epidural no statistically significant changes occurred during abdominal surgery (Table 2). The reduction in the number of statistically significant changes with the morphine epidural and the lack of statistically significant changes in any of the variables with ropivacaine epidural may be of clinical significance in limiting cardiovascular changes during surgery.

In humans, hypotension is an adverse effect associated with epidural anaesthesia and cardiovascular instability during surgery may be associated with changes in heart rate and arterial blood pressure. The decrease in blood pressure during epidural anaesthesia is the result of a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance. In this investigation the value for MAP was the highest (12.25 ± 2.0 kPa) during abdominal surgery associated with the ropivacaine epidural anaesthesia (AR-group). This was contrary to the expected effect from ropivacaine as this drug produces peripheral vasodilatation. The delivered isoflurane concentration was decreased from 2.5 % in the A-group to 1.5 % in the AM- and AR-groups, and this may have contributed to the improved blood pressure in the AR-group. The blood pressure in the AM-group was similar to the A-group, which was contrary to the expected effect associated with a lower isoflurane concentration. The cardiovascular effects of isoflurane are dose-dependent and result in a decrease in arterial blood pressure due to a decrease in cardiac contractility and a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance. The combined effect of isoflurane and epidural anaesthesia may therefore compound peripheral vasodilatation to result in a decrease in arterial blood pressure. The values for MAP during this study were significantly different from baseline values ($P < 0.05$).
administration was reported after epidural bupivacaine pressure in animals after epidural anaesthesia group (Table 2). Mean heart rate and blood pressure values.

Heart rate was lowest in the AR-group. During abdominal surgery HR increased in all treatment groups but was only statistically significant for the isoflurane anaesthesia group (Table 2). Mean heart rates in this investigation were within range of the reported values for pigs (80–130 beats/min) and for isoflurane/fentanyl-anaesthetised pigs (108 beats/min). Isoflurane may also increase heart rate and blood pressure. Previously published reports indicate a decrease in blood pressure in animals after epidural anaesthesia. In pigs a 30% decrease in MAP was reported after epidural bupivacaine administration. In isoflurane-anaesthetised dogs the administration of epidural bupivacaine resulted in lower blood pressure values compared with saline placebo or morphine epidural, which is different from the findings in this study where MAP in the AR-group was higher compared to the A- and AM-groups. In this investigation the inspired concentration of isoflurane was reduced in the groups where anaesthesia was supplemented with morphine or ropivacaine and this reduction could improve blood pressure values.

Heart rate was lowest in the AR-group. During abdominal surgery HR increased in all treatment groups but was only statistically significant for the isoflurane anaesthesia group (Table 2). Mean heart rates in this investigation were within range of the reported values for pigs (80–130 beats/min) and for isoflurane/fentanyl-anaesthetised pigs (108 beats/min). Isoflurane may also increase heart rate in the pig. The statistically significant increase in heart rate in the A-group was associated with a statistically significant increase in SYS, DIA and MAP during abdominal surgery and could indicate that analgesia was insufficient during abdominal surgery. During surgery it is not possible to 'standardise' how it is executed, for example differences in blood vessel and liver anatomy between individual animals may result in differences in the intensity of noxious stimulation. The heart rate in the AR-group was lowest and in the AM-group highest during abdominal surgery. The finding of a heart rate that was slightly higher in the AM-group (103.9 beats/min) compared with the A-group (98.4 beats/min) was unexpected as improved analgesia with the morphine epidural was expected. The difference between them is probably clinically insignificant. However, the lower delivered isoflurane concentration (1.5%) could possibly be considered to be inappropriate for morphine epidural anaesthesia and should be similar to that of isoflurane (2.5%).

Noxious stimulation during surgery results in autonomic activation and changes in cardiovascular variables and the coadministration of systemic analgesics such as morphine or the epidural administration of local anaesthetics or opioids may be used to reduce autonomic responses to noxious stimulation. In humans, isoflurane on its own is not able to completely suppress autonomic reaction to noxious stimulation. The improved analgesia associated with sympatholysis was probably responsible for the lower HR observed in the AR-group and could indicate that epidural ropivacaine during isoflurane anaesthesia prevented increases in heart rate and improved blood pressure.

In this investigation a rebreathing anaesthetic system was used that resulted in a decrease in the inhaled anaesthetic concentration. The MAC for isoflurane was reported as 1.65 ± 0.36 for the tail clamp in the pig. For surgical anaesthesia with isoflurane an end-tidal concentration of 1.2 X MAC or approximately 2% will be required. In this investigation the gas was set at 2.5% (i.e. delivered to the breathing circuit) for the A-group and reduced by 40% to a delivered isoflurane concentration of 1.5% where analgesia was supplemented with epidural analgesia. The administration of midazolam and ketamine at induction may contribute to a decrease in the anaesthetic concentration required for surgical anaesthesia.

Propofol used for tracheal intubation in this investigation may decrease blood pressure but its effect was considered to be minimal as it is an ultra short acting anaesthetic and sufficient time elapsed after its administration and blood pressure recordings. Epidurally administered anaesthetic drugs also reduce the dose for anaesthesia required to produce immobility during surgery. As the pedal reflex remained absent and the masseter muscles relaxed in all animals during surgery it may be assumed that the delivered isoflurane concentration was appropriate for the surgical procedures that were performed.

Values for CVP in this investigation (2.09–2.46 kPa) were slightly in excess to reported values for pigs (1.59–1.86 kPa) and could possibly be ascribed to the generous intravenous administration of balanced electrolyte- and colloid solutions. Changes in CVP during surgery may be the result of blood loss, surgical handling of the liver associated with temporary compression of the portal vein and intravenous fluid administration.

In conclusion, the epidural administration of ropivacaine or morphine in isoflurane-anaesthetised pigs was associated with a decrease in heart rate and improved blood pressure during surgery.
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