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Synopsis

Theoretical and field investigations were combined to analyse and determine the design parameters for a field roadway and roadside support. A gob-side entry retaining model was created with similar materials, and physical tests and numerical simulation were carried out to study the stability of surrounding soft rocks in fully mechanized caving using specific roadway and roadside supports with different stress states. The study shows that mining the upper and lower panels causes a superposition effect to the gob-side entry retaining roadway, and the deformation of the surrounding rock is further exacerbated during mining of the lower panel. Moreover, the lateral residual abutment stress in the gob area could easily cause the entire roadside support to topple. A support method coupling ‘long/short anchor cable plus bolts plus net’ to a composite suspension beam provided excellent support for roadways with fractured roofs. As long as the roadside support strength is maintained, the secondary support provided by roof-contact yielding material will facilitate benign deformation of the roadway roof to release energy and stabilize the roadside support.
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Introduction

Gob-side entry retaining in fully mechanized caving is a crucial technology for resolving gas accumulation and overstressing issues on working faces in high-yield and high-efficiency fully mechanized caving by the Y-shaped ventilation method. This method is advantageous because it eliminates panel coal pillars, improves the resource recovery ratio, reduces roadway development work, alleviates alternation problems during excavation, and eliminates isolated working faces (Zhang and Lin, 2014; Wang, Zhang, and Wang, 2015; Wang, Zhang, and Gao, 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). In recent years, numerous researchers have conducted extensive studies on gob-side entry retaining technology for fully mechanized caving faces from various aspects, including theoretical investigations (Ma et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2001), simulations (Zhang et al., 2016a), and field operations (Ma and Zhang, 2004; Li., 2005). Deng and Wang, (2014) analysed the feasibility of gob-side entry retaining in steep coal seam mining using numerical modelling. Zhang et al. (2014) explored the deformation and failure characteristics as well as control mechanisms of the roof in gob-side entry retaining of a steep thin coal seam. Tan et al. (2015) analysed the roadside support body of gob-side entry retaining under the condition of hard roof. Zhang et al. (2015) analysed the application of high-water packing materials in gob-side entry retaining in a high-gas mine using numerical analysis. Yang et al. (2016) studied the soft roof failure mechanism and supporting method for a gateway in gob-side entry retaining. Yang et al. (2015) evaluated the effect of six geological factors on the design of a gob-side entry retaining structure. Han et al. (2015) combined theoretical and numerical methods to explore the stress relief and structure stability mechanism of a gob-side entry retaining structure under the condition of hard roof. Li et al. (2016) investigated the fracture position of the key rock block and crack evolution processes using a gob-side filling wall by numerical modelling. These studies provided a solid theoretical foundation and technical guidance for the implementation and promotion of gob-side entry retaining technology for fully mechanized caving faces. However, due to constraints such as the testing equipment, design, and cost, only limited studies on gob-side entry retaining technology for fully mechanized caving faces using similar material test methods have been conducted (Xu and Wang., 2015).
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Similar material simulation testing is a conventional experimental method that is still employed in laboratory studies to resolve real-world problems using a defined mathematical model and relatively simple geological conditions. In recent years, various industries such as mining, tunnelling, and geotechnical engineering have widely adopted similar material simulation tests (Zhang et al., 2016b; Francis., 1997; Ren et al., 2011). In this paper, a two-dimensional simulation test platform and the actual geological conditions of the 30105 working face of the Shiquan coal mine were used to design a 2D similar material test model for gob-side entry retaining. Using this model we analysed the stability of roadway surrounding rock for gob-side entry retaining with different stress states. This study provides theoretical support for successful field implementation.

Geological conditions and design of the entry retaining support plan

Geological conditions

The primary coal mining layer at the 30105 working face of the Shiquan coal mine is the no. 3 coal seam. The seam is 5.05–7.20 m thick (average of 6.11 m), the bulk density is 1.4 t/m³, the and the dip angle is 5–7°. The working face elevation is 397–535 m above sea level and the ground elevation is 890–954 m, hence the average depth below surface is 523 m. The strike length and inclined length of the working face are 2236.7 m and 230 m, respectively. The lithology of coal, roof, and floor is shown in Figure 1.

The 30105 working face is excavated by fully mechanized coal mining methods, such as strike longwall retreat and low position full caving. Mining is based on bottom-cut-top-caving and one-cut-one-caving cyclic operations. The designed mining height is 3.0 m, the mining-caving ratio is 1:1, the overall mining rate is 85%, the cyclic drilling depth is 0.6 m, and the daily advance is 2.4 m. The layout plan of the working face is shown in Figure 2.

Design of entry retaining support plan

Roadside support is crucial for ensuring successful gob-side entry retaining. Based on research and field observations, the proposed gob-side entry retaining surrounding rock structure model (Han et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2011; Su and Hao, 2002) is shown in Figure 3. The formula used to calculate the roadside support’s critical resistance is as follows:

\[ P_f = \left[ h_b y_b L_{\text{max}} + h_o y_o (x_0 + c + d) \right] / 2 \]  \[1\]

where \( P_f \) is the filling support resistance (kN), \( y_b \) and \( y_o \) are the bulk densities of the immediate roof and main roof, respectively (kN/m³), \( x_0 \) is the width of ultimate balance area in the coal mass (m), \( h_b \) is the thickness of the immediate roof (m), \( h_o \) is the thickness of the imbalanced rock in the main roof (m), and \( L_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum period weighting pace of the main roof (m).

Based on the ultimate balance of the working face, the width of the ultimate balance area of the coal mass is calculated by the following formula:

\[ x_0 = \frac{m}{2f} \ln \frac{K_r H + c_0 \cot \Psi_0}{\xi (c_0 \cot \Psi_0 + p_1)} \]  \[2\]

where \( c_0, \Psi_0 \) are the cohesion and internal friction angle respectively of the interfaces between the coal seam and the roof-floor rocks (MPa), \( f \) is the interface friction factor, \( p_1 \) is the support resistance of the coal wall (MPa), \( \gamma \) is the average

![Figure 1—Lithology of coal, roof, and floor](image)

![Figure 2—The layout plan of the working face](image)

![Figure 3—Simplified model of surrounding rock structure for gob-side entry retaining](image)
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bulk density of the overlying strata (kN/m³), $K$ is the stress concentration coefficient, $H$ is the mining depth (m), $m$ is the coal seam thickness (m), and $\varepsilon$ is the triaxial stress coefficient ($\varepsilon = (1+\sin \varphi_0)/\left(1-\sin \varphi_0\right)$).

The parameters of the 30105 working face are as follows: $h_0 = 5.6$ m, $h_b = 25$ m, $L_{\text{max}} = 20$ m, $c = 4.8$ m, $d = 1.5$ m, $H = 523$ m, $m = 3$ m, $c_0 = 0.5$ Mpa, $\mu_1 = 0.375$ Mpa, $\gamma_b = 24$ kN/m³, $\gamma = 26$ kN/m³, $\varphi_0 = 25^\circ$, $f = 0.3$, and $K = 2$.

These parameters are substituted into Equations [1] and [2] to obtain $x_0 = 4.12$ m and the required support resistance at the initial stage of roadside filling $P_f = 7200$ kN/m.

Roadside support is based on a novel concrete auto-lock entity wall (Zhang et al., 2016), which is formed by concatenated concrete blocks of various sizes. Auto-locking between the blocks enhances the wall integrity and its resistance to lateral pressure and deformation. According to Chinese Standard GB50010-2010, the Code for Design of Concrete Structure (MHURC-PRC, 2010), the formula for calculating the bearing capability of a concrete auto-lock entity wall is as follows:

$$N_{cu} = \varphi \left(f_c A + f' r A' \right) \quad [3]$$

where $N_{cu}$ is the ultimate compressive strength of the axial compression structure (kN), $\varphi$ is the stability coefficient, $f_c$ is the concrete axial compressive strength (concrete peak stress) (MPa), $f' r$ is the rebar yield strength (MPa), $A$ is the structure cross-sectional area (m²), and $A'$ is the cross-sectional area of all longitudinal compression rebars (m²).

The parameters of a one linear metre concrete auto-lock entity wall are as follows: wall height $l_0 = 3.1$ m, wall width $d = 1.5$ m, wall section short side size $b = 1$ m, and $d/b = 3.1/1 = 3.1$. According to the specifications, $\varphi$ is equal to unity. Testing is based on a C30 concrete block with an axial compressive strength $f_c$ of 12.41 MPa. In each block, there are two HRB335 rebar sets $r = 3$ mm, and their yield strength $f' r$ is 300 MPa. The structure’s section area unit length is $A = d = 1.5$ m², and $A' = \frac{2\pi rd^2}{\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is the volume of a single block ($1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m³). The calculation shows that $N_{cu} = 23871$ kN, therefore the maximum bearing capacity of a 1 m roadside auto-lock entity wall is 23871 kN. The theoretical calculated safety coefficient is $l = \frac{P_f}{N_{cu}} = 3.3$, which indicates that the support structure is safe.

Proper roadway support facilitates the formation of the gob-side entity retaining substructure, which is a basic condition for ensuring entry retaining stability (Bai et al., 2015). Based on actual mine production data, the roadway support plan is finally determined by theoretical calculations combined with field experience. The roadway roof support is based on the ‘long/short anchor cable plus bolt plus net’ coupling support method plus ‘single pillar plus hinged roof-bar’. Support at both sides of the roadway is based on the ‘bolt plus net’ method. The entry retaining support design is shown in Figure 4.

**Similar material simulation experiment**

**Physical model**

Current research findings (Li et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2012; Chen, 2012) show that the deformation of gob-side entry retaining surrounding rock in fully mechanized caving can be divided into three phases: abutment pressure in front of the upper working face, residual abutment pressure in the dip direction in the rear of the working face, and abutment pressure in front of lower working face. The direction of progress of the gob-side entry retaining working face is parallel to the entry retaining direction, which cannot be theoretically simulated in two-dimensional models. However, when spatial relationships are ignored, similar simulations of the three main deformation phases of the gob-side entry retaining surrounding rock can be performed using a two-dimensional model. Based on similarity theory, the constructed model for the different phases of gob-side entry retaining is shown in Figure 5.

**Similar conditions**

The tests were carried out using the two-dimensional test platform of the State Key Laboratory of Mining Disaster Prevention at Shandong University of Science and Technology, China. The dimensions are as follows: 1900 mm...
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(length) × 220 mm (width) × 1800 mm (height). According to the actual design for gob-side entry retaining and the actual geological data for the 30105 working face in the Shiquan coal mine, the width of the simulated gob-side entry retaining roadway is 8 m, the height is 6 m, and the coal thickness is 6 m. Because the dip angle of the coal seam is shallow, the coal seam and rock strata are assumed horizontal in the model. The simulated overburden thickness is 114 m above the coal seam (including the coal seam thickness) and 26 m below the seam. The overall rock stratum height is 140 m. Based on similarity theory (Zhang, Mao, and Ma, 2002; Gu., 1995; Zhao and Zhang, 2015), the model’s geometrical similarity constant is $C_l = \frac{lm}{lp} = 1:100$ where $m$ represents the model parameter, $p$ represents the prototype parameter, and $C_l$ is the similarity ratio (similarly hereafter). The bulk density similarity constant is $C_p = \frac{pm}{lp} = 1:1.5$, the strength similarity constant of similar material (stress ratio/gravity ratio/hydro-pressure) is $C_s = C_l \times C_p = 1:150$, and the time similarity constant is $C_t = \frac{tm}{tp} = \frac{C_l}{C_p} = 1:10$. According to the geological data, the average density of the coal seam is 1.4 g/cm$^3$, the uniaxial compressive strength is 16 MPa, the internal friction angle is 30 degrees, and the cohesive force is 1.8 MPa. The experimental materials including sand, calcium carbonate, plaster, and water were selected to carry out the orthogonal tests in accordance with different ratios to obtain test parameters meeting the requirements of the similarity theory and experiment. The experiment coal seam has a density of 0.989 g/cm$^3$ and uniaxial compressive strength of 0.075 MPa. Parameters for the other strata are shown in Table I. The anchor cable was simulated by an aluminum wire 1.8 mm in diameter with a 65 N tensional strength, and the bolt was simulated by an aluminum wire 1.5 mm in diameter with a 55 N tensional strength. Because of the constraints of the testing conditions, providing anchor cable support during entry excavation is difficult. To facilitate operations during testing, anchor cables are laid in position in advance as designed using similarity theory.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Thickness of stratification for model (cm)</th>
<th>Cumulative height (cm)</th>
<th>Ratio number</th>
<th>Bulk density g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>Material dosage (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>45.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>39.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>58.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy mudstone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>38.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deployment of the measurement points

To study the influence of the abutment pressure in front of the upper working face, the residual abutment pressure in the dip direction in the rear of working face, and the abutment pressure in front of the lower working face on the stability of the roadway surrounding rock, 14 stress sensors are deployed in this simulation. The sensor measurement points $S_1$–$S_4$ are located in the upper working face coal seam roof within a certain distance from the roadway section, and the horizontal spacing between measurement points is always 8 m. Measurement points $S_5$–$S_7$ are located in the rock stratum within 2 m of the roadway roof, and the horizontal spacing between the measurement points is 4.75 m. $S_8$ is located in the centre line of the roadway roof. Measurement points $S_9$–$S_{11}$ are located at the lower working face coal seam roof within a certain distance from the roadway section, and the horizontal spacing between measurement points is always 8 m. Measurement points $S_{12}$–$S_{14}$ are located in the rock stratum within 2 m of the roadway floor, and the horizontal spacing between measurement points is 4.75 m. $S_{13}$ is located in the centre line of the roadway floor. A detailed measurement point layout is shown in Figure 6.

To study the surrounding rock deformation at different phases throughout the entire gob-side entry retaining process, nine displacement measurement points are deployed around the roadway. Points $D_1$–$D_3$ are located in the rock stratum within 1 m of the roadway roof, and the horizontal spacing between measurement points is 3 m. $D_4$ is located in the centre line of the roadway roof. $D_5$–$D_6$ are located in the rock stratum within 1 m of the roadway floor, and the horizontal spacing between measurement points is 3 m. $D_7$ is located in the centre line of the roadway floor. $D_8$ and $D_9$ are located at the 1 m deep coal seam on each side of the roadway. After mining of the upper working face is completed, measurement point $D_7$ replaces measurement point $D_7$. Displacement is monitored using the total station method. A detailed layout of the measurement points is shown in Figure 7.

---

**Figure 6—Layout of the stress measurement points**

---

**Table 1**

**Parameters of different strata**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Thickness of stratification for model (cm)</th>
<th>Cumulative height (cm)</th>
<th>Ratio number</th>
<th>Bulk density g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>Material dosage (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>45.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>159.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>39.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy mudstone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>58.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7—Layout of the measurement points**
In the test model, roadway development is completed before the upper working face is mined. Subsequently, bolt support for the roadway is deployed in a similar configuration. At each side of the model, a 15 m boundary coal pillar is left. The working face moves from the model boundary towards the roadway. After mining of the upper working face is completed, the suspension roof at the roadside support is immediately reinforced. Next, a roadside support structure with dimensions of 220 mm (length) \( \times \) 60 mm (height) \( \times \) 15 mm (width), which was pre-arranged to provide a similar strength, is filled at the roadside, and the roof-contact yielding material (plastic foam with dimensions of 220 mm (length) \( \times \) 5 mm (height) \( \times \) 15 mm (width)) is applied at the support roof to simulate actual roof-contact yielding material (wooden pillar, Figure 8). After the support is in place, the model is loaded under stress for 1–2 days to simulate roadway and roadside support deformation caused by residual abutment pressure in an inclined direction in the rear of working face. The final step is mining of the lower working face. In the model, the mining height is 6 cm, which is equivalent to the actual mining height of 6 m, and the mining progresses at 2.4 cm in intervals of 1 hour, which is equivalent to the 2.4 m progress that occurs each day.

Analysis of the test results

Influencing phase of abutment pressure in front of upper section working face

For the upper working section, the vertical stress variation in the roadway roof and floor as well as the coal seam roof is shown in Figure 9. The displacement variation curve for the roadway surrounding rock is shown in Figure 10.

Figure 9a shows that with continuous progress of the working face, the stress on the coal seam roof always increases at the initial stage and then decreases. Moreover, prior to the working face reaching a measurement point, the magnitude of variation is larger at points closer to the working face. Variations are observed at measurement points S1, S2, S3, and S4 when the working face progresses to 12 m, 14.4 m, 21.6 m, and 31.2 m, respectively, and when the working face progresses to 36 m, 45.6 m, 52.8 m, and 61 m, respectively, the stress reaches its peak. When the working face passes the measurement point, the stress at the measurement point changes to a negative value, and the...
measurement point becomes invalid. Based on the stress variation trend for measurement points S1–S6, the analysis shows that the abutment pressure is effective within 33 m in front of the working face. In addition, the peak value of abutment pressure is located in 2–3 m in front of the working face, where the peak values are within the range of 16–18 MPa.

Figure 9b shows that the roadway roof and floor stress increase with the progress of the working face, and after the stress values at the measurement points S5 and S12 reach peak values, they undergo a sharp decrease and then increase again. The initial stress values at the measurement points S5, S6, and S7 are 2.15 MPa, 1.1 MPa, and 2.08 MPa, respectively. Because the measurement points S5 and S7 are located at both sides of the roadway roof and measurement point S6 is located in the centre line of the roadway roof, the concentrated stress accumulates at both sides of the roadway roof after roadway excavation is completed, which leads to an increase in stress at measurement points S5 and S7 before the working face and a relatively small stress of 1.1 MPa at the roadway roof measurement point S6. The stresses at measurement points S6, S5, and S7 reach peak values of 19.3, 10.4, and 20.7 MPa when the working face progresses to 69.6 m, 72 m, and 72 m, respectively. The peak stress at measurement point S6 is relatively small because when the roadway is under abutment pressure in front of the working face, the roadway roof begins to sink and releases energy. When the working face passes measurement point S5, measurement point S5 still bears pressure because of the effect of the immediate suspension roof; however, the stress decreases to 6.4 MPa. The initial stress values at measurement points S12, S13, and S14 are 2.1, -1.2, and 1.8 MPa, respectively. Measurement point S13 is under reducing stress, and the value is negative. The cause of the differences in the initial stress values is similar to the cause of the differences at the roadway roof measurement points S5, S6, and S7. The stress values at measurement points S12, S13, and S14 reach peak values of 18.9 MPa, -13.5 MPa, and 21.4 MPa when the working face progresses to 69.6 m, 72 m, and 72 m, respectively. Because of significant roadway floor deformation, rock at S13 releases energy, which results in a relatively small stress. When the working face progresses to 72 m, the gob area and roadway are connected. Because of the effect of roadway support, the roadway roof can resist deformation to a certain degree. Long suspension roof spans lead to higher peak stress values at measurement point S14 than at measurement point S12. The stress values at measurement points S5 and S12 decline sharply, and after the roadside support is completed, the stress values at measurement points S5 and S12 rise sharply.

Figure 10 shows that before the working face progresses to 38.4 m, the deformation of the roadway surrounding rock is zero. When the face reaches 38.4 m, the roadway surrounding rock starts to displace. As the working face progresses further, the displacement gradually increases, and after measurement points D1 and D2 reach peak values, the displacements then decrease. Before the working face progresses to 72 m, among all roadway roof measurement points, measurement point D2 shows the largest reduction, and measurement point D3 the smallest. When the working face progresses to 72 m, measurement point D1 shows the largest reduction, because before the working face progresses to 72 m, measurement points D1 and D3 are affected by roadway support on both sides, and they show a smaller reduction than measurement point D2. When the working face progresses to 72 m, the right side of the roadway loses support, and the stress reduction at measurement point D1 increases instantaneously. The roadway floor has a smaller displacement than the roof, and measurement point D5 shows the largest displacement, while measurement point D6 shows the smallest. When the working face progresses to 72 m, the right side of the roadway has a developed gob, and the roof fails to reach the floor, which leads to decreases in the displacement at the floor at measurement points D5 and D6. The displacement values at measurement points D7 and D8 at both sides of the roadway increase gradually with the progress of the working face, and the rate accelerates. Overall, the primary mining-induced deformation of the roadway surrounding rock is relatively small, which facilitates roadway maintenance in the stable phase of entry retaining.

Influencing phase of residual abutment pressure in inclination direction in the rear of working face

After the upper working face is completed, the residual abutment pressure from the gob area is readjusted. The stress variation in the roadway roof and floor that occurs over time as the working face progresses further is shown in Figure 11. The roadway displacement curve is shown in Figure 12.

Figure 11 shows that after the upper working face is completed, the residual abutment pressure is adjusted. Over time, the stress in the roadway surrounding rock increases and eventually stabilizes. Among the roof measurement points S5–S7, measurement point S6 has the most significant stress increment and measurement point S5 the least. Measurement point S5 is located in the roof rock immediately above the support structure and receives the most influence from the residual abutment pressure of the gob area, which leads to a significant stress increment. Measurement point S6, in the centre line of the roadway roof, develops a relatively large deformation and releases energy, which leads to relatively small stress variations. Among the roadway floor stress measurement points S12–S14, measurement point S12 has the most significant stress increment (similar to measurement point S5), measurement point S13 has the least significant stress increment (similar to measurement point S6), and measurement point S14 is affected by concentrated...
stress from the coal wall at the left side of the roadway. The stress at this point gradually increases and eventually stabilizes.

Figure 12 shows that after the upper working face is completed, the lateral residual abutment pressure in the gob area results in roadway deformation. Over time, the deformation rate stabilizes. Among all of the roadway roof measurement points D1–D3, measurement point D1 has the largest reduction in displacement and measurement point D3 the smallest. Because measurement point D1 is the closest to the roadside support structure, the roadside roof-contact yielding material is affected by the concentration load of the overlying strata and begins to deform, which leads to the largest deformation at measurement point D1. Measurement point D2 is located above the left side coal wall, and because of the support of the roadway coal wall, has the smallest deformation. The floor heave at the roadway floor measurement points D4–D6 increases over time, and measurement point D5 has the largest final floor heave. The displacements at points D7–D8 increase over time, and measurement point D8 has the largest final floor heave. The displacements at points D7–D8 at both sides of the roadway also increase gradually over time. However, because of the high rock strength of the roadside block, the displacement at measurement point D7 is relatively small and primarily manifested as a tilting of the entire support structure toward the roadway. In contrast, the coal wall is at the left side, and has a lower strength. Affected by the concentrated stress on the coal wall, measurement point D8 has a larger relative displacement. The roadway deformation during this phase increases over time and eventually stabilizes. However, the variations are relatively small and do not have an impact on lower working face mining.

**Influencing phase of abutment pressure in front of lower working face**

The vertical stress variation in the roadway roof and floor as well as the coal seam roof during mining of the lower working face is shown in Figure 13. The roadway displacement variation curve is shown in Figure 14.

A comparison of Figure 13 and Figure 9 shows that during the lower mining phase, the stress variation trend in the coal seam roof and roadway roof/floor is the same as that during the upper mining phase. Based on the stress variation trend at measurement points S6–S11, the analysis shows that the influencing zone of abutment pressure is within 35 m of the front of the lower working, the peak value of abutment pressure is located in 4–5 m in front of the working face, and the maximum stress is larger than the maximum stress at the upper section coal seam roof. With increased testing time, the moisture content of the simulated rock changes accordingly, resulting in variations of the zone of influence of the abutment pressure, peak stress area, and span of the roof cantilever. Figure 13b shows that the stress in the roadway roof and floor increases as the lower working face progresses further. Affected by abutment pressure, the stress variation rate accelerates and the stress reaches a peak when the working face progresses to approximately 76.8 m. Subsequently, the roadway develops a significant deformation and the stress decreases sharply.

Figure 14 shows that as the lower working face progresses, deformation of the roadway surrounding rock is initially stable. When the working face progresses to 43.2 m, the surrounding rock deformation starts to accelerate. When the working face progresses to approximately 76.8 m, the peak abutment pressure has an effect on the roadway. At this
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moment, the roadway deformation rate reaches its peak. Because of the high strength of the roadside support structure, the deformation is relatively small and primarily takes the form of tilting of the entire structure. Overall, the deformation of the entire roadway is significant. However, the roadway still satisfies the requirement for lower working face mining.

After the lower working is completed, the reserved upper roadway will no longer be used. The roadway is located in the gob area and develops a significant deformation, as shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15 shows photographs of the surrounding rock deformation after the entry retaining structure is abandoned. The roadway roof and floor and two sides show significant deformation, and the roof anchor cable is exposed. The roadside support structure undergoes a relatively small actual deformation during the tilting of the entire structure. Therefore, in field implementations, measures should be taken to reinforce the integrity and anti-toppling capability of the roadside support structure.

Numerical model verification

FLAC3D (Itasca, 2009), is selected as the computing software. The total size of the model is 505 m × 192 m × 79 m, with 52 m roof thickness of coal seam and 27 m floor thickness of coal seam (including the coal seam). The model is divided into 560 400 basic units and 605 772 grid nodes. The burial depth of the coal seam is 523 m, and the thickness is 6 m. The coal seam is set horizontal due to the small actual dip angle. The working face is 220 m long. The size for gob-side entry retaining is rectangular with a cross-section of 5 m × 3 m. Because the paper focuses on the influence of mining in the upper and lower panels on the confining pressure of gob-side entry retaining, the grids at the location of gob-side entry retaining, which is the main research area, are finer. The initial model is shown in Figure 16.

The horizontal displacement constraints are set around the model, and the fix constraint is set on the base. The upper interface is a stress boundary, whose load is determined by the load imparted by the overlying strata (Bu and Mao, 2009). The caving mining method is used to manage the roof, and the Mohr-Coulomb model is simulated. The lithology parameters are shown in Table II.

To analyse the displacement and stress variation in the surrounding rock of the retained roadway during mining of the upper and lower sections, the displacement measuring points D1–D4 are set respectively in the roadway roof, floor,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Bulk modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Shear modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Bulk density (kg.m⁻³)</th>
<th>Cohesion (MPa)</th>
<th>Internal friction angle (°)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy mudstone</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandstone</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside support</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15—Photographs showing the entry retaining surrounding rock

Figure 16—Grid partition of model
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and midpoints of two sides. The stress points S1 and S2 are set at 4 m on the left side of the roadway and in the roadside support structures on the right. The displacement and stress measuring lines are set in the strata 1 m from the roadway roof as well as the floor. The layout of the measuring points is shown in Figure 17.

Figure 18 shows the rock stress variation on two sides of retained roadway with the advance of the working face during mining of the upper section.

From Figure 18, stresses at measuring point S1 and S2 increase as the working face advances. However, stress at point S2 increases dramatically before caving of the overlying strata of gob, and then increases slowly after periodic caving of the overlying strata of gob with further advance of the working face.

Figure 19 shows the displacement variation of the rock surrounding the retained roadway as the working face advances. The vertical displacement at measuring point D1 and the horizontal displacement at measuring point D3 increase continuously as the face advances, but the variation of the vertical displacement is larger than that of the horizontal displacement. The vertical displacement at measuring point D2 and the horizontal displacement at measuring point D4 vary slightly initially, but when the working face advances a certain distance, the variation increases sharply, and then as the working face continues to advance, the vertical displacement at D2 decreases, that is, floor heave diminishes, while the horizontal displacement at D4 increases inversely in a narrow range.

Figures 20 and 21 respectively describe the displacement and stress in the roadway roof and floor in the measurement lines. Figure 20 shows that the vertical displacements of...
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measuring points on the coal wall decrease with increasing distance from the roadway axis, and the roof subsidence displacement is larger than floor heave displacement, which is supported by the stress variation shown in Figure 21. Figure 21 shows that the stress concentrates on both sides of roadway, while the stress in the roof and floor releases due to the excavation.

The variation of in stress and displacement on both sides of the retained roadway during mining of the lower panel, are shown in Figures 22 and 23.

Figure 22 shows that the roof and floor stresses increase as the working face advances in the pre-influencing phase of mining of the lower section, which is consistent with the trends shown in Figure 18. From Figure 23, the vertical displacement of the roof measuring points D1 and the floor measuring points D2, as well as the horizontal displacement of measuring point D3, all increase with advance of the working face. The variation in vertical displacement is larger than that of horizontal displacement; but the horizontal displacement at measuring point D4 on the side of the roadside support changes as mining progresses, indicating the instability of the roadside support. This is corroborated by the failure of the roadside support (Figure 13).

The distribution of the plastic zone of the surrounding rock in the retained roadway and the actual field observation as the upper and lower working faces are mined are shown in Figures 24 and 25 respectively.

From Figure 24a, mining of the upper section has an effect on roadside support, leading to damage in the upper plastic zone, but the support is stable overall and is very

![Figure 23—Displacement variation – retained roadway sides](image)

![Figure 25—Field observation of retained roadway](image)

![Figure 24—Distribution of plastic zone of surrounding rock in retained roadway](image)

(a) The upper section mining

(b) The lower section mining
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effective, as verified by the similar material test (Figure 8) and the engineering application (Figure 25). Mining of the lower section has a more serious effect on roadside support (Figure 24b), and plastic zones form on the top and bottom of the roadside support, increasing the instability, which is again consistent with the failure of the roadside support (Figure 13).

Discussion of the method
The similar material simulation test is based on the similarity theory proposed by Kuznietsov, and is an experimental research method leading from physical testing, mechanical analysis, and model testing to a practical engineering guide (Qian et al., 1958; Li., 1988). It is widely used in such industries as mining, water conservancy, and underground engineering, and is a mainstream research method in natural science and engineering technology (Cheng, Qi, and Hong, 2016; Gong, Hu, and Zhao, 2005; Liu, Cai, and Zhou, 2015; Shi, Zhang, and Li, 2011; Yao, Feng, and Liao, 2017). With the rapid development of computerized methods in rock mechanics, much experimental work has been replaced by numerical simulation (Zhang, 1999; Zhang et al., 2016b; Wang, Zhang, and Gao, 2015). Meanwhile, the traditional point-measurement method, which takes points as the basic measuring locations (Yao, Feng, and Liao, 2017) is still used in the similar material simulation test, but suffers from low measurement accuracy and difficulty in stress measurement as well as displacement in the internal part of model, which may be solved in the future by optical fibre sensing technology that can offer measurement along lines (Chai, Wang, and Liu, 2015; Cornelia and Marcel, 2003; Yang, Bhalla, and Wang, 2007). As one of the experimental rock mechanics methods, however, the similar material simulation test is still widely used in the study of coal mining, which has incomparable advantages in the field of a wider range of rock caving and movement than numerical simulation (Luo, Wu, and Liu, 2016; Fan, Mao, and Xu, 2016; Wu, Xie, and Wang, 2010).

Conclusions
Based on detailed geological conditions and field design plans, a similar material simulation experiment and numerical simulation were performed to study the stress and deformation variations of the roadway surrounding rock at different stages of gob-side entry retaining in fully mechanized caving. The following conclusions can be drawn.

(1) Roadway surrounding rock of gob-side entry retaining in fully mechanized caving has three critical influencing phases: the phase of abutment pressure in front of the upper working face, the phase of residual abutment pressure in the dip direction in the rear of the working face, and the phase of abutment pressure in front of the lower working face.

(2) Gob-side entry retaining in fully mechanized caving is also affected by mining superposition, and roadway deformation is further exacerbated with the progress of the lower working face. To ensure that the entry retaining structure satisfies production requirements at the lower working face, roadway surrounding rock at the roadway development phase and during mining of the upper section should be monitored and the support structure at the entry retaining phase should be reinforced.

(3) A ‘long/short anchor cable plus bolt plus net’ coupling support method provides excellent support for roadways with fractured roofs. The bolts reinforce a fractured roof, forming a ‘composite beam’ which is suspended by a long anchor cable in the competent main roof, and the short anchor cable increases the support strength.

(4) Roadside support using roof-contact yielding material facilitates benign roadway roof deformation for energy release and improves structural stability. When gob-side entry retaining is implemented in dipping and steeply dipping coal seams, the strength of the roadside support structure must be ensured and effective measures should be taken to enhance the structural integrity and resistance to toppling of the roadside support.
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