Can we decrease the ecological footprint of base metal production by recycling?
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Synopsis

Sustainability and the environment are important and topical themes currently. There is a generally growing awareness of resource consumption, possible resource depletion, and the polluting effects of indiscriminate dumping at the end of the useful life of an item, as well as of the pollution caused by producing items and making them available to the end user. The issues are complex and interlinked. In order to improve understanding, it is important to obtain holistic and quantitative perspectives.

To help in quantification, concepts such as the ecological and carbon footprints have been defined and are in common use. This paper introduces the concept of a material footprint to help quantify the material resource usage in an item, in terms of globally available resources. It allows comparison of various items to one another in terms of their use of material resources. Thus the types of items available for recycling can be ranked to determine recycling priorities. The material footprint can also help in determining recycling priorities for the various materials in the items. Several examples are used as illustration. It is shown that recycling of printed circuit boards is especially worthwhile.

Printed circuit boards are complex and heterogeneous from a materials recycling perspective. The paper describes the complexities of recycling these boards, but also points out the benefits. An overview is given of currently available metallurgical technologies for such recycling. It is shown that in these processes there are many factors that affect the environment.

It thus remains difficult to determine the total impact of recycling on the environment holistically. Possibly some benefits are to be gained in the Southern African context by better integration between secondary material processors and primary metal producers.

Introduction

The South African base metals industry has been one mainly focused on the production of metals or metal compounds from primary ores. This is true for metals such as titanium, vanadium, chrome, manganese, cobalt, nickel, copper and zinc. The steel making industry has traditionally used a substantial portion of scrap steel, and could thus be considered to be somewhat of an exception.

Secondary sources have played much less of a role, possibly due to at least some of the following factors. Scrap material is often of unknown composition, and thus it requires additional chemical analysis prior to processing, and possibly special treatment, depending on the results. Tonnages are relatively small, and the incorporation of secondary materials is possibly seen to carry undue risk and insufficient benefit.

Worldwide and locally, pressure to focus on recycling is increasing, however. Sustainability and the environment are important and topical issues. There are increasing concerns about the leaching of hazardous metals such as chromium, mercury and cadmium from landfill waste, especially from electronic and electric wastes—the so-called eWastes. In more developed countries such as the United States of America and Western Europe the problem of eWaste has grown to the extent where legislation has been enacted, such as the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) legislation of the EU (European Union Directive 2002/96/EC). In addition, various municipal authorities such as those of Pretoria, Johannesburg and Cape Town are reporting decreasing availability of land for waste disposal (Bondolfi, 2007).

The 19th and 20th centuries have seen major technological advances. Relevant to this paper is the availability of centrally generated electrical power, telecommunication, entertainment through recorded and transmitted music and images, the growth and pervasive influence of electronics, and the handling of digital data by computers and microprocessors. These developments have placed ever increasing demands on resources for the manufacture of electrical and electronic equipment, as well as on the generation of...
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By calculating the ratio of the amount of metal used to the global reserve base, the result could be understood as an impact factor, or a material footprint. Thus for the use of a given material in a specific application:

Material footprint = mass of material used/mass of global reserve base,
where the same units of mass are used.

sad for recycling

As mentioned above, there are ongoing trends toward greater mechanization, more use of electrical and electronic equipment, and increasing use of mechanized transport. These trends are resulting in increased use of energy and material resources. As an example, the growth in global steel production between 1950 and 2006 is shown (International Iron and Steel Institute, 2008) in Figure 1.

Ecological footprint

To consider the effect of the use of any equipment on the environment, it is useful to employ the 'ecological footprint'
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As the global reserve base numbers are rather large, a multiplication factor of $1 \times 10^{13}$ may be used to obtain manageable numbers. Thus:

$$MF = \text{mass of material used} \times 10^{13} / \text{global reserve base.}$$

It should be noted that the calculation is applicable only to situations where virgin material is used, i.e. material directly produced from primary ores. In a recycling scenario where there is partial (or complete) recovery of the material, it should be remembered that these footprint calculations would be an overestimation of the material footprint. In that situation the ratio could be modified as follows:

$$MF_{\text{rec}} = (\text{mass used} – \text{mass recovered}) \times 10^{13} / \text{global reserve base}$$

A total material footprint for a given item could be calculated by summing the individual materials footprints to arrive at a total material impact figure.

As the global reserve base numbers include currently economic reserves, marginal and currently sub-economic reserves, these reserve base numbers are thus somewhat optimistic. That means that calculated material footprints are smaller than what is presently realistic. A further disadvantage is that what is considered sub-economic today is likely to be considered economic in future. Thus, importantly, the material footprints are technology and commodity price related. As a result, the calculated material footprint numbers are unfortunately time variant.

The material footprint defined above was related to metals only. A similar calculation could probably be done for polymeric and elastomeric materials. However, much of the crude oil reserves are used for energy rather than material production. A material footprint calculation could be done for some components of specialized or technical glasses and ceramic materials—for example, the lead used to produce lead glass as used in CRT (cathode ray tube) computer and television screens. For standard soda or borate glasses the carbon footprint is probably the only relevant impact, as the silica, lime, etc. component materials are rather common and the input energy required for glass manufacture is proportionately high.

For the metals relevant to computers material footprint numbers calculated from US Geological Survey data (US Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries) were represented graphically using a logarithmic scale, as in Figure 2 (recycling not considered). Metal contents were as listed in Table II for eWaste, and a total mass of 41 kg for the combined computer, printer and CRT screen.

Implications of the material footprint

It is found that the tin used mainly in standard solders has a large footprint when calculated as above—almost 2,000 times that of aluminium. Although only small amounts of gold are used, the relative footprint is disproportionately large at nearly 5,000 times that of aluminium. This is probably true for other precious metals such as platinum and palladium, which have not been considered. The total material footprint for the metals considered above amounts to 6,300. This compares to 2,900 for a small car, 95 for a washing machine and 42 for a microwave oven.

The numbers calculated for the material footprints also give a useful indication for where recycling efforts should be focused, when considered from a sustainability point of view. In order to decrease the materials footprint, the recycling attention should focus firstly on gold and tin, and thereafter on nickel, copper and lead. However, if the potential health impact to humans and ecosystems of disposing of these same elements (rather than recycling them) were to be considered, then priorities might change.

Embodied energy

Apart from the problem of the loss of the material resources, another aspect of disposal is the loss of the embodied energy in these materials. The embodied energy as the number of kilograms CO$_2$ produced per kilogram of metal, for various metals, general plastic and glass (Data converted from Hayes, 1993 and from CES, 2006) is shown in Figure 3. Aluminium has the highest embodied energy. Other metals that have high values are nickel and copper.

The embodied energy in plastics is easily recovered through combustion, as these are carbonaceous materials. However, the embodied energy in metals is generally not recovered. If the metals are recycled for use, much of the embodied energy is at least not lost. Table I illustrates the substantial energy savings that can be made through reuse of metals, based on the values for the energy requirement for metal production, as reported 30 years ago by Kellogg (1977). It is important to note that the energy requirements for primary metal production were reported as ‘process fuel equivalents’ (PFEs), the sum of the amount of fuel used directly, and the fuel equivalent of electricity and reagents, with credits for waste-heat recovery included. In the case of
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Electrical energy, the total energy requirement per kWh of energy (as used in the process) was taken to be 11.07 GJ/kWh, which implies an overall efficiency (of electricity generation and transmission) of some 32.5%. This is a reasonable value, given that the thermal efficiency of coal power stations constructed in the 1970s and 1980s was in the range 36–39% (US Department of Energy, 1999), and that further losses occur during transmission.

Note also that the values in Table I are for production of metal from concentrates, and do not include the energy requirements of mining and minerals processing. This is reasonable since, for the metals listed in Table I, the major energy requirement is generally for the primary production steps as listed in the table, rather than for mining and minerals processing. For example, for a hypothetical iron ore mine, it was estimated that the energy requirement for mining is 0.16 GJ per ton of Fe, and 0.02 GJ per ton of Fe for minerals processing (BCS, Incorporated, 2002). For precious and some base metals, mining and minerals processing have a much larger relative energy requirement due to the lower metal concentrations in these ores. Payable concentrations at which these metals occur can be an order to several orders of magnitude lower than for iron ore.

For reprocessing of scrap, the energy requirement listed in Table I is only the energy for electrical furnace melting of the metal (except for Zn, where the value is for vaporization), so no energy required for collection and separation of scrap, nor for melting of impurities, is included in the value. Required energy increments for heating and melting (or vaporization) of the metal were taken from FactSage (Bale et al., 2002), using the same value of 11.07 GJ/kWh, and assuming an energy efficiency of 30% within the melting furnace. Given the many assumptions behind the values in Table I, and the large differences between metal production/refining operations as well as ores, the values are indicative only. Nevertheless, the table emphasizes the large difference in the energy requirement of primary production of aluminium (relative to other metals), and that reprocessing uses significantly less energy than primary production for all the metals listed.

Conclusions
Recovery by recycling of metal values is advantageous from an energy (carbon footprint) point of view, but is clearly also beneficial to the material footprint. The total material footprint calculated for a computer with printer and CRT monitor is about 6 300, considering only the metals mentioned in Figure 2. If 80% of these metals may be recovered by recycling, the total material footprint drops to about 1 300.

The metals footprint for a computer is much higher than for appliances such as washing machines and microwave ovens largely due to the contributions from gold, tin and copper. Thus the disposal of one computer with its ancillary equipment, without recycling, could be considered equivalent to the material footprint loss of 2.3 cars, or 70 washing machines, or 160 microwave ovens. Several scarce metals are used in quantities that considerably affect the total material footprint for metals of computing equipment. Recycling of these metals is thus vital from a conservation point of view.
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However, truly holistic approaches are complex, and the envisaged benefits of a recycling route should be placed in as broad a context as possible. An interesting approach to combine both resource consumption and recycling effectiveness using the thermodynamic concept of entropy is being developed (Gößling-Reisemann, 2007). This has shown, for instance, that the recycling of copper using the efficient modern methods available has a recycling efficiency of only 0.1%. Arguments based on beneficial environmental impact should thus be carefully considered during decision making.

Recycling of waste materials

Secondary resources such as scrap may be classified as prompt scrap that arises during manufacturing processes, and obsolete scrap from scrapped items. Prompt scrap is generally immediately recycled. Obsolete scrap is often more complex, requiring disassembly and identification of component materials. For instance, as copper is an undesirable element in steel, wiring harnesses and small electric motors need to be stripped from scrapped cars before the steel can be smelted. Alternatively, scrapped items can be shredded complete, or after partial disassembly to provide adequate liberation of various materials. However, to conserve energy and to enable sorting by, for instance, eddy current separation, the final pieces of material should not be too small so there needs to be a trade off between these two considerations.

The exact approach taken to process scrap materials depends greatly on the source items and type of material. Hence, the focus here will be the processing of PCB (printed circuit board) material. These can often be removed fairly intact after a simple disassembly process.

A typical PCB consists of a glass reinforced thermosetting epoxy resin base, or a sheet of phenolic resin impregnated paper material. These are normally impregnated with bromine based fire retardants. The board will have one or more layers of thin copper material defining the conductive interconnects between electronic components. If several layers of copper conductors are used, there are usually through plated holes connecting various layers. Components of various types are connected to the copper tracks by soldered connections. The solders have generally been 60–40 tin-lead types. Due to toxicity of lead, there is a movement to replace the lead-based solders with lead-free solders, which are various binary, ternary or quaternary alloys of copper, silver, zinc, bismuth, or indium with tin. For instance, CASTIN® is a combination of copper, antimony, silver and tin. The nominal composition is 96.2% tin, 2.5% silver, 0.8% copper and 0.5% antimony. All of these solders have higher tin contents than the older lead-tin alloys, which will further increase the material footprint, and thus the motivation for recycling.

The components themselves generally are complex. Integrated circuits often consist of a complex chip of single crystal silicon containing low levels of dopant elements such as arsenic and indium. Electrical connections to outside components are usually made through metallic pins or pads of tinned copper. Fine gold wires connect these pins to connector pads on the silicon chip. All of this is encapsulated in a glass filled epoxy resin or ceramic material. Similarly, resistors, capacitors and inductors make use of a variety of materials such as copper, aluminium, carbon, ferrites, etc. Boards may have contact fingers or other connectors that have gold plating to ensure reliable, corrosion-free electrical contacts. Other precious metals such as silver, platinum and palladium may be used for special electrical contacts. A number of other materials and components are generally present such as a variety of thermoplastic polymers, steel fasteners, batteries, CPU fans, etc. (The above information may be found in Wikipedia.)

The scarce metals—which are the target metals for recycling—are mainly found in the PCB assemblies. Unfortunately for recycling efforts this constitutes a complex and heterogeneous feed material for metal recovery. Furthermore, the materials that comprise the PCBs are also not found in the sulphide or oxide form that primary metal producers normally deal with. Other problem components of eWaste are CRT screens as the glass of the CRT contains appreciable amounts of lead, and batteries, which often contain nickel and cadmium.

The PCBs are very complex, heterogeneous assemblies containing many materials, including precious metals. However, the levels at which even the precious metals are present are high compared to normal ores. Table II illustrates analyses for PCBs (Goosney and Kellner, 2002), a personal computer (eWaste Guide) and for electronic scrap (Sodhi and Reimer, 2001). Boards vary considerably in their metal contents, depending on the application and the technology used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>PCB Approx. material composition, %</th>
<th>Computer</th>
<th>eWaste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-metallic</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory oxides</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron, ferrite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Bi, Sb, Ta, etc.)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Metal recovery from printed circuit boards

In many respects metal recovery from scrap PCBs parallels normal ore processing techniques. Firstly comminution is required to obtain suitable liberation. Physical separation methods could be used to obtain one or more metallic concentrates. Steel components could be removed through magnetic separation, for instance. The metallic concentrates could go to pyrometallurgical methods of extraction such as smelting or sweating. Alternatively, or in conjunction with the pyrometallurgical methods, hydrometallurgical methods could be used. The latter seem particularly appropriate due to the selectivity that is required to deal with the complex feed. Hydrometallurgical extraction could follow one of two broad routes, viz. selective or non-selective leaching, followed by suitable separation and recovery methods.

One of the objectives of scrap treatment is the minimization of the carbon and material footprints. However, another is to ensure that potentially hazardous materials are not allowed to enter the ground, air or water in an uncontrolled manner. Thus the recovery of metals needs to be done in an environmentally responsible fashion. Often standard extractive processes generate gaseous, liquid or solid wastes. Whereas it is important in standard processes to minimize these wastes and their impact on the environment, it becomes vital for the processing of scrap materials. These issues are dealt with in more detail below.

The role of comminution and physical separation methods

Scrapped electronic equipment is generally first disassembled, and useful components might be recovered at this stage. The scrap PCBs are sorted, graded and shredded. The grading classes generally follow the precious metal content of the boards. Commination to sub 5 mm particles is required to effect material liberation levels as high as 96% (Goosey and Kellner, 2002). The shredded material is often upgraded in terms of metal content. Generally magnetic and eddy current separation is used to generate ferrous and aluminium fractions. In eddy current separation the shape of conducting particles, in addition to their particle sizes, conductivities and densities have a significant effect on the repulsive forces that are generated, and thus on the separation efficiencies (Goosey and Kellner, 2002). Typically particle sizes between 4 and 100 mm are required for eddy current separation (Williams, 2006). Commination must thus be carefully controlled to obtain adequate liberation, and yet remain within a suitable size range for eddy current separation. Electrostatic separation can be used to separate the metallic from the non-conductive materials. Density differences between various metals and non-metallic constituents may also be used to effect separation. These separation methods typically require narrow feed size ranges to obtain effective separations. Flotation methods are typically not used (Williams, 2006), presumably due to the metals not being present as sulphides and oxides.

The environmental impact of comminution is relatively small, provided that dust and noise control is in place. The shredders are specialized hammer mills operating at high power inputs, as high as 5 MW to shred 250 tph (Monaghan, 1990). Noise levels can be 99 dB and higher (Warzok et al., 1990) and thus would require hearing protection as it exceeds the threshold value stipulated in legislation (usually 85dBA). Commination of the glass reinforced board material is likely to generate glass dusts. It appears that these dusts are generally not of respirable size (Boatman et al., 1998), but can cause fibreglass dermatitis (Hsieh et al., 2001).

Several separation processes can be done wet or dry, which will affect the nature and extent of their environmental impact. Wet mechanical separation processes have a lower precious metal content loss of typically 10%, compared to the up to 35% levels of dry processes. However, the wet processing methods are likely to generate contaminated fluids that have to be dealt with. Dry processes could have associated dust problems, similar to the comminution step.

Metal recovery using pyrometallurgical methods

Recycling of base metals by pyrometallurgical means is an obvious option because of the tendency of the major base metals (copper and nickel) to form sulphides, which can be recovered to a matte phase. Indeed, such recycling is already practised in pyrometallurgical plants, through the recycling of converter slag to concentrate smelting furnaces, or to slag-cleaning furnaces. The major factors that determine the efficiency of metal recovery are the availability of a sulphide phase as collector, and control of the reduction conditions. These requirements are met in concentrate melting furnaces (where the concentrate is a source of sulphides as collector phase, and also ensures fairly reducing conditions), and are readily met in slag-cleaning furnaces through the addition of concentrate and of carbonaceous reductant.

Given that the existing smelters already practise internal recycling, it appears feasible to recover copper and nickel from secondary sources (such as electronic scrap), by adding these secondary sources to the feed mixtures of the existing smelters. However, in addition to possible capacity limitations (the existing platinum smelters in South Africa operate at or close to their capacity and hence have little or no capacity to handle electronic scrap) the detail of the behaviour of the individual elements needs to be considered.

A general indication of the behaviour of the individual elements from a PCB is given by the review of Broadhurst et al. (2007). This indicates that lead is expected to partition mainly to the vapour phase during smelting; zinc is expected to partition between the vapour and slag phases; tin is expected to report mainly to the slag phase. Of course, noble metals (platinum group metals, gold and silver) would be recovered quite efficiently by the matte phase. The noble metals would be recovered later in the process—in the anode slimes (in the case of copper plants) or in the precious-metal refinery (in the case of platinum smelters).

From these considerations, it appears quite feasible to recover the valuable metals from secondary material, by simply blending this material into the feed of existing furnaces. As far as the non-metallic content of the material is concerned, the glass-fibre reinforcement in printed circuit boards would give only a minor increase in the slag volume,
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and polymers in the secondary material would serve as reductant; this would not pose serious difficulties, given that, for example slag cleaning furnaces already use a carbonaceous reductant as additive.

There are limits on the amount of copper-rich scrap that can be included in the feed of sulphide smelters. These limits are imposed by phase stability in that if too much metallic material were to be added, without addition of a source of sulphur, a metal phase would precipitate out of the matte. This is illustrated by Figure 4, which shows the 1200°C isothermal section of the Cu-Fe-S system. (This diagram was calculated with FactSage [Bale et al., 2002], and agrees well with the 1200°C section shown by Raghavan [2004].) The matte compositions in copper smelters typically lie along or close to the Cu$_2$S-FeS join, which is indicated by line A-B in the figure. Addition of copper (from electronic scrap, for example) would cause the melt composition to move towards the copper corner, as shown by the arrows in the diagram. When the amount of added copper exceeds a critical amount, the melt composition meets a phase boundary, beyond which austenite (γ in the diagram) or liquid copper precipitates out as a separate phase. The formation of such metal phases would be undesirable, since such phases are denser than the matte, and would hence collect on the bottom of the furnace.

The length of the arrows (relative to the distance between the matte composition and the copper corner) gives the proportion of copper that could be added without forming a metal phase. Evidently, the feed to a copper smelter could contain only a minor proportion of copper scrap, unless a source of sulphur were also added to the feed.

The presence of brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in printed circuit boards can lead to serious environmental issues, depending on the detail of the conditions in the furnaces and the way in which the material is fed into the furnace. BFRs can lead to the formation of dioxins and furans, under conditions of incomplete combustion (D’Silva et al., 2004). The toxicity of chlorinated dioxins and furans is well known, and similar effects have been reported for brominated dioxins and furans (D’Silva et al., 2004). The BFRs act as precursors for the formation of bromine-containing furans: polybrominated dibenzofurans (PDBF) form by thermolysis (low-temperature heating, without combustion) from polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDE), with data reported for temperatures ranging from 400°C to 600°C (Weber and Kuch, 2003). In contrast, Vehlow et al. (2000) demonstrated that co-combustion of PBDE-containing waste and municipal solid waste in a test incinerator (around 900°C) gave low concentrations of bromine-containing dioxins and furans in the gas. For these incineration conditions, the bromine largely reported to the off-gas, as HBr. When electronic waste is added to the concentrate stream in a smelter, conditions favourable to thermolysis (slow heating without combustion) are expected in the concentrate layer, hence formation of bromine-containing furans is likely. These furans would report to the off-gas, necessitating extra off-gas treatment if the secondary material is a significant part of the furnace feed. The presence of BFRs in secondary material, and their behaviour during smelting, are hence the major concerns, which would limit the blending of secondary material into the feed streams of existing furnaces.

In electrical furnaces (such as those used to melt down platinum-bearing concentrates), the concentrate is fed onto a slag layer, with electrical resistance heating in the slag layer itself. The concentrate layer is ‘black’, or cold. Under such conditions, production of hazardous products by thermolysis of the BFRs (within the concentrate layer) is likely. In contrast, heating in reverberatory furnaces is from above, by radiation and convection from the furnace roof and gas freeboard. The hot, oxidizing freeboard would be favourable

---

**Figure 4**—1200°C isothermal section of the Cu-Fe-S system. Typical smelter matte compositions lie along line A-B, which joins the compositions of Cu$_2$S and FeS. The arrows indicate the direction in which the matte composition would change if Cu were to be added to mattes, which initially lay on line A-B.
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Separation methods after leaching would comprise solvent extraction, ion exchange and other selective sorption methods. Extraction methods could be one or more of the typical electrowinning, cementation, precipitation or crystallization techniques. Hydrometallurgical operations have many potential impacts on the environment. Leaching and separation processes may use large amounts of toxic, highly acidic or alkaline materials or flammable materials. Leaching might require the use of pressure reactors, which adds a further dimension to safety. Waste solids due to the precipitation of contaminants, or waste solutions that contain low concentrations of metals, anions, etc. are often generated, which may require specialist disposal.

On the other hand, solvent extraction and ion exchange methods can be used to clean waste solutions to ensure that effluent streams meet environmental regulations, and may also be used to recover useful reagents or materials. Some examples are the recovery of HF and HNO₃ from stainless steel pickling baths or Cr(VI) from surface finishing wastewater (Cox and Reinhardt, 2004). Systems involving leaching-solvent extraction-electrowinning may often be designed as closed circuit systems. Solid wastes may also be retreated to extract toxic materials (Piret and Castle, 1990).

Conclusion

The concept of a material footprint has been introduced, which together with the carbon footprint, form components of the ecological footprint. The material footprint emphasizes material resources, whereas the carbon footprint focuses on energy consumption. Use of the material footprint helps decision making when resource conservation is desirable, but should not be viewed in isolation. Material footprint calculations have been carried out for some illustrative examples such as PC equipment and home appliances.

Whereas the material footprint compares resource usage among various types of equipment as an aid in determining environmental impact, it does not indicate what quantities of material are being used or recycled. It is essentially a per unit approach. In order to obtain a more holistic perspective involving quantities of materials, material flow analysis is generally used (Harper et al. 2006). From such an analysis it is possible to obtain a better understanding of how materials flow and reside in the market. That again makes it possible to determine where there are bottlenecks in the flow, and also whether recycling is financially feasible.

Treatment of secondary materials can be complex, and is often purpose designed. However, in general it seems that in preference physical separation methods should be used to their fullest extent, as their environmental impact is generally lower than chemical separation processes. Clean metallic fractions could be suitable feeds to pyrometallurgical or hydrometallurgical processing. Mixed materials are probably best treated by hydrometallurgical techniques.

For local processors the cost of establishing refineries to treat secondary materials only is probably prohibitive. With an extensive South African industry dealing with primary feed materials, it would seem advantageous to process...
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suitably cleaned secondary materials together with the primary feeds. For instance, a fairly clean copper fraction could probably be accommodated in the anode casting step at Palabora Mining Co. Clean zinc metal or oxide could possibly be used by Zincor instead of calcine as neutralizing material. Precious metal fractions should be accommodated at the Rand Refinery and PGM refineries. Platinum and palladium are used in catalytic converters and such fractions might, with suitable alloy adjustment, be suitable for use in this application without further refining and separation.

eWaste has over the last number of years received greater attention, and several recyclers are dealing with this material mainly by size reduction and metal fraction separation. Volumes are still small, and the cleaned metal fractions are generally exported for refining. The associated plastics generally contain BFRs, which makes them unacceptable for normal plastics recyclers. As a result they are exported to China or dumped into landfill. Local companies have developed uses for these plastics (Bondolfi, 2007).

More attention should be given locally to these secondary feed materials. If present primary producers could accommodate cleaned metallic fractions, environmentally certified processors could probably import eWaste to increase volumes. This should be beneficial in terms of capital utilization, work creation, and to the economy, as well as to the environment if the energy consumption is not weighed too heavily.
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