Abstract
The energy crisis in Uganda has caused a sharp decline in the growth of the industry sector from 10.8% to 4.5% between 2004/5 and 2005/6. This crisis has escalated the power disruptions, which have had adverse effects on various sectors. While business owners have resorted to importation of fossil fuel generators that have increased the cost of production, others have resorted to battery energy storage systems to cater for short outages, which are limited in life span, depth of discharge, among others. These interventions have, thus, further increased the cost of goods and services. In addition, the rural populations using solar home systems incur high battery maintenance and replacement costs. In this paper an electromechanical flywheel battery is proposed as a better alternative in mitigating energy storage problems. It is found that by replacing the battery storage systems with the electromechanical flywheel battery, a saving of up to 35% on cost of energy can be made in the solar home systems and for the industry sector, the power disruptions could be reduced.
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1. Introduction
Uganda’s population stands at 27.2 million people, with 12% being urban based. Only 2% of rural areas and 5% of the entire population in Uganda has access to electricity (UBOS 2002; Energy Policy 2002). These non-electrified areas are usually far from the grid, in mountainous areas, or not considered economically viable. Of those connected to the grid, approximately 30% have frequent disruptions resulting from power instability and causing revenues losses in the different sectors of the economy.

The non-grid connected areas, mostly rural, are powered with solar home systems, whose sustainability is limited by the short life span of the battery storage. The most commonly used batteries in Uganda last only up to 3 years and it is estimated that probably US$ 100 Million is spent on replacing them (MEMD, 2004). These batteries account for 30% of the initial cost of the components of the whole system (Bart, 1999).

The energy crisis has caused a decline in industrial growth from 10.8% in the financial year 2004/5 to 4.5% in 2005/6 with the manufacturing sector sharply falling from 13.5% to -3.5% (Ezra, 2006/7). This crisis is projected to continue until the government’s short, medium, and long-term plans come into effect. The sharp fall in economic growth is not only attributed to inadequate energy but also the power disruptions. In order to reduce this financial loss due to the energy crisis, business owners in various sectors have resorted to the importation and use of fossil-based fuel generators. These generator sets account for more than 60 MW, thus spending up to US$ 19 million annually (MEMD, 2004). The use of fossil fuel generators has further pushed up the price of goods and services, leaving the ordinary rural masses even poorer (EPRC, 2006). In advanced process systems, where power disruptions cause serious losses, huge battery banks are used to handle the short-term power disruptions. However, these have shortcomings due to among others, short life spans, low depth of discharge, limited charge/discharge cycles and envi-
The electromechanical flywheel battery is an age-old technology that has seen recent revival and could subsequently evolve to address the above technical and environmental concerns.

This paper investigates the potential impacts of small-scale flywheel systems for use in the rural and urban areas in Uganda.

2. Energy storage

Energy storage systems are required to store electricity mainly when the demand and/or generation costs are low. In addition, energy storage is used when the intermittent energy sources such as wind and solar power are used to harness power.

The different energy storage technologies coexist as their characteristics make them attractive to different applications. In selecting an energy storage system, both the technical and economical characteristics should be explored. A methodology that includes most of the major characteristics of the technologies should be considered. The various types of storage technologies include: Chemical Batteries, Flywheels, Reversible fuel cells, Electromagnetic super-conductors, Compressed air, Supercapacitor and Pumped hydro storage.

3. Flywheel technology

The flywheel has been in existence for millennia and has since evolved from the potter’s flywheel, to steel and subsequently to the more advanced composite carbon fibre. The origins and use of modern flywheel technology for mechanical energy storage can be traced to several hundred years ago and was further developed throughout the industrial revolution.

The latest advances in power electronics, strength of materials and high performance bearings have seen the flywheel exploited for various applications including attitude control in space crafts, pulsed power in military vehicles, frequency regulation and energy storage among others. Research continues in these applications to increase the efficiency and performance of the flywheel. The advantages associated with flywheels include: long life, environmentally friendly, high depth of discharge, large number of charge/discharge cycles, high energy density and fast response time among others. Flywheels are mainly considered for uninterruptable power supplies (UPS), vehicles, space and energy storage applications (Robert 2001; Andrei, series 6; Genta 1985; Sebitosi 2004; Bolund 2007).

3.1 Small scale flywheel energy storage systems

Flywheels are generally classified as low speed or high speed, whose base distinction is 10 000-rpm rotor speed. In this study small-scale flywheels are those that can store up-to 6kWh. These systems are already commercially available and can be designed with high or low speed.

The amount of energy that a flywheel can store is given by (Genta, 1985):

\[ E = \frac{1}{2}Jo\omega^2 \]

Where \( J \) is the moment of inertia and is dependent on the geometry of the flywheel rotor and \( \omega \) is the angular velocity of the rotor.

The maximum velocity that the flywheel can be rotated at is limited by the density \( \rho \), ultimate strength \( \sigma \) and radius of the flywheel and is given by equation 2.

\[ \sigma = \rho \omega^2 r^2 \]

4. Impact of energy storage on urban and rural economies

Energy plays a crucial role in the overall development of a nation and observing the amount of electricity produced by the country can approximate the level of economic growth. Uganda produces 280 MW as compared to South Africa’s 40 000MW. Countries with more generating capacity are likely to reflect higher levels of standard of living (Khanh 2007; Harald 2005; Bhuiyan 2000; Igbinovia 2007).

Table 1: Generation capacity and GNP of selected countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Generation capacity (Billion kWh)</th>
<th>GNP per capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>228.33</td>
<td>4 959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>102.45</td>
<td>1 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>31.91</td>
<td>2 727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>22.53</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Urban power disruptions

Poor power quality can eliminate the thin advantage that a company has over its competitors. Of 563 firms surveyed in Uganda in 2006 by an enterprise survey (307 from the manufacturing sector), 63.9% found power interruptions to be the major hindrance to business, 60.5%, 69.3% and 76% of small, medium and large respectively. In addition, losses from interruption in electricity supply as a percentage of sales were about 10% from 5% in 2003 (Enterprise, 2006).

Electricity disruptions increase costs, disrupt production, and lower revenue.
An independent survey done in Uganda revealed that the most common sag event lasted 15-20 cycles, with 80% remaining voltage, and this accounted for 57% of the total faults (Mweru, 2007).

These disruptions culminate into loss of revenue hence decline in industrial growth as mentioned earlier. Short interruptions of less than 1 second can be devastating to equipment and the smooth operation of the industry. These require energy storage systems to abate the problem.

The most commonly used energy storage systems are battery banks that take up the sensitive
load for short periods of time and when the inter-
ruptions last longer than anticipated, a fossil fuel
operated generator comes online. The problems
associated with chemical batteries as highlighted
earlier are: limited charge/discharge cycles, depth
of discharge, operating temperatures, response time,
toxic disposal, high replacement costs and short life
spans among others (Hall, 2000).

For such applications, the response time of the
energy systems is vital, and research has shown that
faults of less than 17 cycles cannot be trapped by
battery storage. On the other hand, the electro-
mechanical flywheel battery can be used to arrest
such problems, reducing the revenue losses to a
bare minimum.

In a case study (Roger, 2003) of an extruder
plant, voltage sags in one extruder line stopped pro-
duction 30 times every year, and resulting in a
donw time cost to the company of USD$15 000
annually. A flywheel battery system was connected
to the plant and this was able to capture the 17-
cycle sag. Plans are under way to improve the
power factor of a second extruder line which would
bring the total savings to USD$51 000. With the
cost of the flywheel being USD$92 000 including
maintenance and installation, the payback period
was found to be less than two years.

4.2 Rural electrification enhancement
The government of Uganda developed a rural elec-
trification strategy and plan 2001 to 2010 and this
was to increase electricity access in rural areas from
1% to 10% between 2001 and 2010 (RESP, 2001).
The strategies outlined in the plan include:
• Grid extension
• Mini grids
• Stand alone (PV, Pico hydro)
• Grid-embedded renewable energy generation
plants
Most rural areas in Uganda are sparsely populated
making grid extension a very costly venture. The
alternative sources used include solar PV and
hydropower systems. This rural electrification is
mainly focused on electrification of rural house-
holds, health centres, community services (e.g.
water pumping, schools, etc), and telecommunica-
tions.

Solar energy is abundantly available throughout
Uganda with good average insolation of about 4-
5 kWh/m²/day. It is estimated that there are 66 355
PV solar systems, with an estimated 5.31 MW
annual power (URA 2001; MEMD 2007)

The number of solar systems is increasing
steadily and hence there is dire need to enhance the
energy storage systems used to better the life cycle
of the whole system. The current storage system
used is a string of batteries and this paper proposes
the replacement of the batteries with small-scale fly-
wheels.

5. Methodology
The life cycle cost analysis considered in this study
is to compare the levelized cost per unit of energy
for the entire evaluation period rather than use the
capital costs or operating costs for the different sys-
tems. This is envisaged to give a more realistic cost
of energy evaluation.

A life cycle cost analysis is shown below
between a flywheel storage system and a battery
storage system used in a 300 Wh solar home sys-
tem. This was done using an analytical method
(Bhuiyan, 2000) and a free simulation program
HOMER, developed by the national renewable
energy laboratory (NREL, 2007).

Method 1
An analytical method was used to determine the life
cycle costs in this section (Bolund, 2007).

\[
LC = \frac{C + M + R}{E}
\]  

Where:
C – Capital costs including the installation and
equipment purchase before system operation
M – Annual operation and maintenance costs
R – Replacement costs
E – Annual energy output received over N years

\[
M = AMC \times \left( \frac{(1 + e_r)}{d - e_r} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \left( \frac{1 + e_r}{1 + d} \right)^{k}
\]  

\[
R = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos \left( \frac{1 + e_r}{1 + d} \right)^n
\]

\[
E = 300Wh \times 365 days/year \times 20 years
\]

SolarPV system characteristics:
Energy: 300Wh/day
Peak power: 60 W
Solar Panel size: 80 W (5-hrs for central Uganda and
East, 20% in cloudy days and system losses of
80W)
Charge controller: 10 amps
Battery depth of discharge: 50%
Battery size: 50Ah
Battery life span: 3 years
Flywheel life span: 20 years

General economic indicators (BOU, 2007):
Life span: 20 years
Discount rate: 13.48%
Fuel inflation: 16.5%
General inflation: 6.4%
Total energy: 20 years x 365 days/year x 300Wh
= 2 190 kWh/20 years
Using lead-acid batteries as an energy storage system:

i) Capital costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Capital costs ($ USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar panel, 80W</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge controller, 10A</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery, 50Ah</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel frame</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabling and installation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii) Recurring costs

This is 2% of the capital cost and taking inflation and the discount rate into consideration, this was found to be $USD 175.9

iii) Non-recurring costs

These are mainly component replacement costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Replacement cost</th>
<th>Replacement year</th>
<th>LCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batteries</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 160.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge controller</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>213,5108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 374.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iv) Using equation 4, the life cycle cost is USD 1.53

Using a flywheel as energy storage system

The battery system was replaced with a flywheel and using the same approach, the LCC was found to be $USD 0.99. In addition to the data given, the maintenance costs of the flywheel system were taken to be 1% of the capital costs as compared to the battery system.

Method 2:

A simulation program, HOMER (NREL, 2007) was used to validate the results. The levelized cost of energy for the system using batteries as energy storage was found to be $USD 1.611 and for the flywheel was $USD 1.368.

The results screens of the HOMER simulations for the different energy storage systems are shown in Figures 4 and 5 below.

![Figure 4: Cost of energy for flywheel system](image)

(battery represents the flywheel)

![Figure 5: Cost of energy for battery system](image)

The toxic wastes from the batteries were not included in simulations.

6. Conclusion

A comparison has been done between the life cycle costs of the traditional lead acid battery storage system and a proposed that would have been used on maintenance and replacement on the traditional lead acid batteries can no electromechanical flywheel battery for a rural energy storage application, using two different models. It has been shown that the flywheel is more cost effective in the long run than the traditional lead battery.

Drawing from the above, it is evident that small-scale flywheel energy storage has the potential to improve power disruption and rural electrification problems in Uganda. The savings made on the enhanced power quality can bridge the competitiveness that local companies need over foreign companies. The down time of companies can also be reduced significantly. The use of electromechanical flywheel battery storage would mitigate the environmental problems associated with lead acid battery disposal. These systems can also be used in health centres; schools and telecommunications base stations as they fall within the power/energy range.

Integrating the flywheel system into solar home systems would mean making a saving of 35% per kWh with rural systems. More robust and cheap systems are being designed, tested to improve the performance, durability and viability of the flywheel systems.

In addition, money be used for more productive activities like education, health, food and other basic household needs hence addressing the Millennium Development Goals, which includes eradication of poverty among others.

Research is ongoing at the University of Cape Town to develop a low cost flywheel specifically for rural areas.
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