Certitudo coram Deo: Reframing a fascinating feature of Dort

This article combines celebratory contributions to Dort400 and TSP150. It attempts to reframe the fascinating perspective of faith-certainty in Canons of Dordrecht (5:5, 13), in as far as it is linked to a notion of persevering before the undivided face of the living God, alternatively, ‘in thy light’ (meaning the light ‘from thy countenance’) – realising that besides the light-side of the fatherly face, there is also has a ‘shadow-side’. After explaining the theme in terms of the universal situation of humanity as being coram Deo (before the face of God or in the presence of God), the method of reframing is elucidated as a form of theologica reformanda. The fascinating Dortian feature in question – it is argued – was articulated within historical cadres, aimed at correcting Remonstrant caricaturing of the reformed intentions. The task of reframing this feature, firstly, entails a new ‘enframing’ thereof within a contemporary late-modern paradigm, captioned under the bird’s eye view analogy of a ‘Holy Saturday situation’. Aspects of this enframing are indicated as a ‘logic of embrace’, a stress on the paradoxical ‘nevertheless’ structure of faith-certainty and a retrieval of the comforting insight that believers participate in Christ’s own faith. Secondly, Luther’s and Calvin’s dramatic expository improvising of Jacob’s faith struggle at Jabbok, as he encountered the comforting insight that believers participate in Christ’s own faith. Secondly, Luther’s and Calvin’s dramatic expository improvising of Jacob’s faith struggle at Jabbok, as he encountered the comforting insight that believers participate in Christ’s own faith.
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Introduction

Theme

The theme of this discourse is based on the fourth centenary of the great Synod of Dordrecht (1618–1619) and simultaneously by the hundred and fiftieth anniversary of the Theological School Potchefstroom (TSP). By focussing on a limited – but in our estimation, an extremely relevant and precious – perspective in the documents of the Dortian Synod, we, first of all, seek to express our thankfulness for and indebtedness to that great ‘ecumenical’ meeting that was convened to resolve the ‘most serious religio-political crisis’ in Dutch history (Gregory 2012:275). Doubtlessly, it had and has a considerable influence on reformed thinking and living in the world at large and, decidedly, also in South Africa, even unto this day.

This specific feature⁴ seems to be fascinating in three regards. Firstly, it resonates with the contemporary trend to re-emphasise the role of right-brain thinking over against the traditional Western dominance of left-brain reasoning (cf. Armstrong 2019:8–9). Secondly, it is fascinating to note that – in spite of an emerging paradigm-shift away from the Reformation-beginnings – this Dortian rendering is reminiscent of the ‘great dramatic form’, prevalent in Calvin; moreover, it seems ‘so much in the spirit and according to the taste of Calvin that it could have been from himself’ (Rijnsdorp 1951:36). Thirdly, however, a more pregnant facet makes it particularly fascinating (fascinans). It specifically recalls Dort’s linking of perseverance in faith–certainty to the ‘face of God’ (Dei facies) or the ‘fatherly aspect of God’s countenance’ (paternus Dei vultus). The ‘captivating attraction’ inherent in the concept of fascination no doubt also pertains to the ‘shadow-side’ (cf. Peels 2003:3) of God’s face. Fascinans thus stands in ‘contrast-harmony’ with tremendum [trembling] (cf. Otto 1936:42–52). ‘Contemplating’ this face is ‘to the godly dearer than life [contemplatio piis vita

1. The abbreviations ‘Dort’ and ‘Dortian’ follow a well-established American usage (cf. Gunn 2015:8). The name Canons of Dordrecht is further on abbreviated as ‘CD’.
2. The word ‘feature’ in the subtheme refers to ‘a part (although small) that arrests attention’ (cf. eds. Fowler & Fowler 1958:434).
3. Translations from non-English sources are from the author’s hand, except when otherwise indicated.

Note: Special Collection: Impact of Reformed Theology.
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...the withdrawing thereof more bitter than death [subductio morte acerbior]’ (Canons of Dordrecht 5.5, 13; cf. Beeke & Ferguson 2002:122, 123, for the English translation; cf. Bakhuizen Van den Brink 1976:266–267, 270–271, for the original Latin and Dutch text). Although the phrase coram Deo does not – in a ‘technical’ sense – occur in Dort, it is employed in this article as a gathering up of the above-mentioned nuances.

The theme we are broaching in this contribution ineluctably also impinges on the famous and inspiring motto, so well-known during the past 150 years of the TSP’s existence: In thy light. According to Ridderbos (1962:388; author’s emphasis), this momentous phrase from Psalms 36:10 means: ‘standing in the light of God’s face’ (cf. also Ps 4:7 for the petition: ‘let the light of your face shine upon us, O Lord’). Sublimely, the significance of this maxim is expressed by a famous German reformed theologian. He intimates that, in this context, God himself is praised as: ‘Living Fountain’... (Ps 36:10; 49:20). This fountain ‘illuminates the whole creation, in order that we, in his light, might discern what the things, and who we, in truth are’ (Moltmann 1991:295). Augustine profoundly expresses our present linguistic inadequacy to articulate the mystery of the fountain that is also the light. He sees Christ as the light and the fountain (Augustine 2007):

That which is the Fountain the same is Light ... for It remains not in one name... Here sometimes, light is in one place, a fountain in another. For sometimes fountains run even in darkness; and sometimes in the desert you suffer the sun, findest no fountain ... there (in the hereafter) you shall not be wearied, for there is a fountain; there you shall not be darkened, for there is light. (p. 168)

Especially at celebrations like these and in raising a theme like the present one, we should be alerted by nobody less than Bavinck (1968:40) against traits of ‘sectarianism’ that might arise amongst certain descendants of the Reformation – triumphantly proclaiming our ‘own circle’, or only our church or only our confession as ‘exclusively in possession of the truth’. We, for example, cannot agree with a statement in the Potchefstroom-based journal, Woord en Daad of 15 October 1963: Die Calvinisme is nie ‘n soort Christendom nie, dit is die suiter eenvoudige Bybelse Christendom [Calvinism is not a kind of Christianity, it is the pure, simple biblical Christianity] (cf. Steyn 1998:975, for this quotation; author’s emphasis).

**Humanity’s coram situation**

The term face, implied in coram, suggests an ‘ambivalent’ reality, ‘the looking out which has the character of an active looking at’, includes the passive moment of being looked at’ (Ebeling 1965:222; author’s italics; cf. Scruton 2012:73–111; cf. also 1 Cor 13:13 – knowing fully face to face as being known fully; and 1 Cor 8:3). The significance for Luther of coram Deo has been made abundantly clear in the brilliant doctoral thesis of Bakker (1996: passim). Calvin introduces the dynamic coram Deo keynoted in the very first sentences of the Institutes, where the Reformer contracts ‘nearly the sum of all wisdom’ in the face-to-face-knowledge of God and humanity, therein also including the whole of creation (Calvin 1962a:37; cf. Seung 2015:1; also Dowey 1965:21, on Calvin’s reference to knowledge of ourselves as ‘single category’ encompassing also the rest of creation). The correlative event of the ‘ever-active’ triune God accompanying us might even be likened to a covenantal face-to-face ‘drama of living’ (Ford 2014:1, 49) – with its penultimate ‘scenes’ today being enacted in the eschatological, unfinished passage towards the recapitulation (Greek: anakephalosis) or gathering up in Christ of all things (cf. Eph 1:10; cf. Harnack 1961:242–243, for a summary of the ‘pregnant fashion’ in which Irenaeus of Lyon during the 2nd century developed this thought; cf. also Girard 1997:140, on the idea of transitus [passing through life], which is patterned on incarnation through death to resurrection as the ‘fundamental structure of Western art and Western experience’; cf. further McGrath 2017:200, on Dante’s Divine Comedy as the pre-eminent imagining of Western man’s journey through life; cf. also Calvin 1972:131, on the theatrical allusion embedded in the phrase ‘this passing age’ of 1 Cor 7:31).

**Coram Deo as a ‘performed’ Archimedian point?**

Aligned with the broader situation of humanity coram Deo, we surmise that the present attempt at reframing a specific Christian perspective might benefit the urgent task of renewing a general ‘Archimedian point’ (cf. Arendt 1998:257–267, on the historical ‘discovery of the Archimedian Point’). To this end, we consider a point coram Deo. It denotes ‘a temporary place that is again and again given to a human being through the Word and the involvement of God’ (De Knijff 1988:57). Furthermore, in our ‘thin’ world, rampant with meaninglessness, many people feel a deep ‘hunger of being part of some dramatic purpose bigger than they are’ (Moreland 2007:27; author’s emphasis; cf. also Critchley 2019:8, on dramatic sensibility as avoiding ‘stupefaction’; cf. also Hauerwas 2013:3). Therefore, our theological preference is ‘to be performative’ (Ward 2016:140). This also applies to the current reconfiguration of a certitude that could withstand the cultural and scientific ‘shifting sands’ of history. For thinkers poised on such an Archimedian point it can be true that (Shakespeare cited in ed. Hodek 1987):

…”[l]Imagine bodies forth

The forms of things unknown. (p. 154; [author’s added emphasis])

**Reframing as a form of theologia reformanda**

The method of ‘reframing’, as employed in this quest, seeks to continue the ‘workings of confessional theology’ in ‘another register’ (Caputo 2013a:61; [author’s added emphasis]).

---

4 In his recent magnum opus on the African Church Father’s ethics, Van Wyk (2018:3–54) reminded us: ‘through his whole career Augustine incessantly pointed to the total incomprehensibility of God – an example that should especially be heeded by theologians, being people who are said to ‘traffic in language’ (Volf & Croasmun 2019:135).

5 According to an incisive analysis by Neuser (2004:28; author’s emphasis), Calvin’s entrance-move denotes a ‘well defined doctrinal structure … Calvin reflects on God and man in terms of a relationship, in which man is always addressed personally’ (cf. also Horton 2014:48 who echoes an insight that Calvin’s architecture of his Institutes is oriented to Acts 17 and Romans 1–3, proceeding from the widest circle [entrancing through the coram Deo perspective of ‘double wisdom’] to the innermost core of piety, namely, ‘Christ as he is clothed in the gospel’.
As used here, reframing represents a nuanced form of ecclesia reformata semper reformanda (Cilliers 2010:87). It thus reafirms the five solas of the Reformation, trusting that through God’s grace their truth can in our times still engender the dynamic power, beautifully sensed in the following quotation (Vanhoozer 2016):

> The solas are the permanent Copernican revolution at the heart of the Reformation, a synopsis of the story that ‘turned the world upside down’ (Ac 17:6), namely the proclamation of the exclusive lordship of Christ, the crucified King. (p. 28)

Reframing thus intends a ‘theological reconfiguration of the existing’, as it draws on ‘the old to say and do the new by means of juxtaposition’ (Cilliers 2010:78; [author’s added emphasis]). According to Hayden (2013):

> Reframing ... is not a static endeavor [sic] with a fixed end ... (it) leads to new understanding which stirs up new reframing, which leads to new understanding, which leads to reframing, and so on ... [it] remains open to the inbreaking of the Holy Spirit and one another ... expanding the frames through which we interpret the (we may add: ‘also confessional’) narrative ... (pp. 1–2; [author’s added emphasis])

**Outline**

The rest of this article deals with, firstly, the (above elucidated) fascinating Dort-feature in its own Contra-Remonstrant framework; secondly, the certitudo coram Deo feature in a possible reconfigured late-modern-reformational ‘enframing’; thirdly, an exemplary form of the reframed certitudo coram Deo as resonating Luther’s and Calvin’s metaphorical use of the father’s playfulness in their interpretations of Jacob’s faith-struggle at the Jabbok; finally, a brief conclusion.

**Dort’s ‘coram Deo-allusion’ in a contra-framework**

The costly ‘coram Deo-allusion’ (cf. our ‘Introduction’) of the contra-Remonstrants at the Synod of Dortrecht must clearly be understood in a contra-framework. All their affirmations were made in the context of countering and refuting the Remonstrants’ distorted rendering of their convictions. One Remonstrant caricature concerning the confession of the perseverantia sanctorum was the following: ‘that the doctrine of the certainty of perseverance from its own character and nature is a cause of indolence … (and makes it) praiseworthy to doubt’ (cf. CD: Reiectio Errorum 5:6). Viewing the contra-Remonstrants’ affirmations on the fatherly face in this context, the relevant statements (cf. CD 5:5, 13) might be summarised as follows: an elect person can lose his or her feeling of grace for a time (sensum gratiae ad tempus amittunt), if and when they should abuse God’s fatherly kindness thus grievously offending God and incurring a deadly guilt (reatum mortis). In such instances, the elect deviate from God’s ordained ways (viae ordinatæ) and experience the turned away face of the father – a taste ‘more bitter than death’. By returning into the right way of serious repentance, their assurance of persevering (including their faith-certainty) returns, and – thus walking in the ordained ways – they again experience the fatherly face shining on them in grace, a taste ‘sweeter than life’ (see also under the section ‘Theme’ above).

**Faith-certainty coram Deo in a contemporary ‘enframing’**

Enframed in an ‘Easter Saturday’ world

Contemporary ‘enframing’ of faith-certainty coram Deo (in its Dortian sense) should make explicit that this accent has ‘shifted’ into an alternative, new contextual reality (cf. Zizek 2014:33; he uses the neology: to ‘enframe’). The contemporary contextual reality into which certitudo coram Deo (in its Dortian form) is understood to have shifted differs from its original context, namely, confessing biblical truth over against caricatures thereof (cf. above). Obviously, to give even a broad overview of, at least, the contemporary cultural and religious framework would vastly exceed the limits and available capability of this inquiry. For the present purpose, it might suffice to underscore the description of contemporary cultural environment as an ‘Easter Saturday culture’. This depiction by Lewis (2003:331), within his overall ‘theology of Holy Saturday’, seems to be a felicitous and profound capturing of what the late-modern paradigm-shift (cf. Caputo 2013b:236, on this ‘immensely fertile’ concept) primarily entails.7 Lewis (2003:412) described the original ‘Holy Saturday’ in revelation-history – the day between Good Friday and Easter Sunday – as a ‘painful pause, empty of hope and filled instead with death and grief, with memories of failure and betrayal, of abandonment and anguish’. With good reason, he hears echoes of this mood in the ‘disillusionment, discord and displacement’ of our eclipsing ‘Western civilisation’. He adds that technology has become a ‘demonic monster’, accompanied inter alia, by the ‘slow insane agonies of planetary self-strangulation’ (Lewis 2003:339). Amid the ‘kaleidoscope of perspectives’ (Lewis 2003:341) on the contemporary world that might suggest a Good Friday reminiscence, Lewis convincingly sends up flares of a hope, which powerfully impact on the last part of our investigation. His quest for a renewed (dramatic)-narrative-rendering of the gospel (cf. Lewis 2003:24–28), in that it includes a promising continuity with the Reformation and Reformed theology (cf. Lewis 2003:474, for the relevant bibliographical references).

---


Enframed in the ‘logic of embrace’

A contemporary relational framework – versus a largely substantialist understanding of faith in modern Christian theology – can be enriched by the re-emphasis on a metaphor like ‘the divine embrace’ (cf. Webber 2006:12). It was Pope Francis (2016:1, referring to the ‘Parable of the Merciful Father’) who recently also spoke movingly of a ‘logic of embrace’: ‘the embrace and the kiss of his (the prodigal son’s) father makes him understand that he was always considered a son, in spite of everything’. The embrace of faith is the obverse side of the Father’s throwing his arms around us in deep compassion (cf. Webber 2006:145–196). We embrace the triune God in faith coram Deo, as he gives himself to us in Christ through the Holy Spirit who witnesses to him together with (cum) and through (per) the biblical word (cf. Berkouwer 1966:74).7 Graphically, Torrance (1996:57) described this unfathomable event: ‘we are lifted up by the everlasting arms’ as ‘the Father embraces us by grace through the Son and in the Spirit. In the “same act by putting (his) arms around (us)’, he draws us to himself, in order that we might put our arms of faith around him. ’Faith embraces Jesus Christ with all his merits. It makes Him our own and seeks for nothing else than Him’ (Belgic Confession: Art 22). Indeed (Webber 2006):


Lord Jesus, you stretched out your arms of love on the hard wood of the cross that everyone might come within the reach of your saving embrace. (p. 11)

Enframed in a paradoxical ‘nevertheless’

For our vision of reframing, it is most timely that the ground-breaking work (at least for South Africa) of the well-known theologian Durand (2018: passim) on ‘faith and doubt’ and their ‘continual dynamic tension’ was published recently. With urgency, he rightly contends that ‘to believe and to keep on believing does not speak for itself’ (Durand 2018:209). To many ‘fundamentalists’, this realisation is so ‘deeply unsettling’ (McGrath 2017:95) that they require clear propositions guarantying indubitable foundational security as in a ‘direct copy of Newtonian science’ (Cunningham 2010:296).


When we say that faith must be certain and secure, we certainly speak not of an assurance which is never affected by doubt, nor a security which anxiety never assails, we rather maintain that believers have a perpetual struggle with their own distrust (diffidentia) and are thus far from thinking that their consciences possess a placid quiet, uninterrupted by perturbation. (p. 23)

Enframed in the power of ‘Christ’s own faith’

Connecting to a theological insight of recent years, the significance of Christ’s own faith for the event of faith-certainty coram Deo must be profiled. In fact, this insight adds a decisive dimension to our investigation. The phrase (or a variant form) the ‘faith of Jesus Christ’ (hé pistis tou [Iésou] Christou) appears seven times in Pauline letters (cf. Gorman 2001:110; Leithart 2016:154–158). Our weak faith exists in communion with Christ’s faith as man and – even more – our faith participates in the ‘wonderful exchange’ [mirifica commutatio] that Christ enacts with us and we with him (Torrance 1996; further, see Jungel 1988:62–87 on the ‘happy exchange’ in Luther):

Our response in faith and obedience is a response to the Response already made for us by Christ to the Father’s holy love, a response we are summoned to make in union with Christ. (p. 43)

In the light of a text like Roman 3:26b (the one who is out of the ‘faith of Jesus’ [ton ek pistes lésou] is justified), it can be established that we share, participate in or live out of the faith of Jesus (cf. Gorman 2001:139–140). The promises of the Deus promittens are embodied in Christ as God’s ‘Yes’, and in the embodied faithfulness of his human life and death our ‘Amen’ is spoken and shared with us through the Holy Spirit’s ‘sealing’ (cf. Sauter 1996:150). Convincingly, Theron (2011:52–53) concluded in this regard that ‘Christ’s faith becomes our faith’. In the same way that we participate in Christ’s death and so on, we also participate in his faithfulness to God (cf. Phil 3:8), Christ believes in our place. Through our faith, we are incorporated or grafted into Christ, thus also into his faith (cf. Heidelberg Catechism 7:20; with the texts). Like a father’s un failing grip encloses his child’s feeble and failing grip as they walk through a raging river, Christ’s un failing faith enfolds our faith on ‘our uncertain way of faith-certainty’ before the face of God (cf. Torrance 1996:29–38).

A reframed faith certainty coram Deo exemplified in coram Deus ludenti form

Coram Deus ludenti

Calvin’s and Luther’s remarkable treatment of Jacob’s perseverance in faith-certainty coram Deo at the Jabbok-ford (Gn 32; cf. also Ridderbos 1962:255, on those seekers of God’s face – Ps 24:6 – who may legitimately be called Jacob) models in an exemplary way what we envisaged with our theme.
We argue that these Reformers’ dramatising move in this biblical scene presents a *prefiguration* for a legitimate contemporary reframing.\(^\text{10}\) The task of such a 21st century attempt at reframing will be the *Spirit-filled improvisation* (Volf & Croasmun 2019:159) of this narratively ‘staged’ scene by the Reformers. Notably, this 16th century ‘scene’ itself improvises on the canonical *Genesis*-scene from an earlier part of God’s great Kingdom-drama (cf. Ford 2014:20–23, 62–66; Horton 2011:13–32; Vanhoozer 2014:63–65, 73–112; Wells 2004:45–70).

Explicitly, Luther (1545:96) used the metaphor of a *ludus* (play) in his improvisation of the canonical scene featuring Jacob at Jabbok. It is crucial that he employs this ‘ludic’ imagery in the very scene where he sharply discerns the ‘sweetness and bitterness’ of the two ‘aspects’ in which the Father’s face appears (cf. Dort’s articulation above). Berkouwer (1949:170) seemed hesitant to yield to Luther’s use of the word ‘play’ in this instance (cf. Guite 2007:200), for modernity’s ‘loss of playfulness’; cf. also Moltmann 2000:162–163). Yet, Luther’s characteristic theme of the *hidden and revealed God* (cf. Ebeling 1965:259–279; Gerrish 1982:132–142; Van den Brink & Van der Kooi 2013:162) seems to be particularly hospitable to this ‘ludic’ metaphor. The ‘two-sidedness of speech about God (focused on his face) upon which Luther laid such stress’ … (this) ‘indissoluble presence (revealed in his face) … human language … is unable of reflecting’, said Sauter (1996:151) with good reason. Luther and Calvin after him, nevertheless, honoured God’s playful modes of revealing humanly ineffable things as appropriate ‘accommodation’ to our weakness. Luther’s interest in this kind of dramatic expressivity can scarcely be emphasised stronger than in the following words (Hobson 2009):

Luther’s revolt was consciously based in a dramatic, reckless performance of faith … the point (for him) is not to gaze up at God’s chastisement ‘paradoxical light’ (Bloch 1968:938), playing on the Angel’s face, the paradox of God’s ‘left-hand’):

> God’s ‘paradoxical’ face, the paradox of God’s showing his face in his grace-giving is reflected (cf. Ford 1999:195; cf. also the author’s added emphasis).

Furthermore, it was nobody less than Noordmans who could speak of Luther’s discovering ‘the *Divine Comedy* of Romans 8:28–39’, an event that the Dutch theologian describes as ‘one of the copernican changes, as they occur only in singular moments of history’. He was referring to Luther’s totally dramatic overturning of the scholastic view that sin is to be wiped out in *man*. Luther’s amazing discovery was ‘that rather man it is that is to be taken away, while sin remains as a residue’ (Luther, as quoted by Noordmans 1979:116).

\(^{10}\) Rembrandt’s magnificent canvas in the Berlin Museum (Jacob wrestling with the angel. ca. 1650–1660) interprets the dramatic *timbre* of this scene probably better than any exegesis ‘in his scientifically well-documented commentary’ can do (Velema 1990:47; cf. Jonker 1989:48). It shows the inexpressible and paradoxical simultaneity of the hidden and shining face of God on a single road of encounter – thus reinforcing the main thrust of our present reframing. By means of the ‘paradoxical light’ (Bloch 1968:938), playing on the Angel’s face, the paradox of God’s chastisement in his grace-giving is reflected (cf. Ford 1999:195; cf. also the slight tilt of the assailant’s face and his wonderful wrestling grip, which simultaneously suggests a Father’s tender embrace).

Calvin

Calvin absorbed in the peculiar parlance of a Frenchman all of the accents attributed to Luther in the previous paragraph. Evidence thereof is provided in ‘one of the most powerful and profound things that Calvin ever wrote’. This is how a prominent Calvin-scholar (Parker 1982:148) characterises the Reformer’s commentary on the dramatic narrative (Gen 32) of a believer who was still walking ‘in the twilight of dawn’ (Calvin 1975:201). Yet, precisely in realising this specific stage of revelation history – alternatively framed: ‘the third Act of God’s kingdom-drama’ – the canonical occurrence at Jabbok can become transparent as to how the event of a reframed Dortian faith-certainty occurs in our times also, namely, in the *unscripted* fifth Act of the Kingdom-play; cf. Bartholomew & Goheen 2004:197–200). We are indeed ‘refiguring the play of genesis (sic), prefiguring the play of *eschaton*, a game that knows no end-game, no stalemate, whose ultimate move is always still to come’ (Kearney 2001:110). We thus absorbed this canonical incident in such a way that it ‘now functions as (a) background drama of our life’ (Smith 2013:127). On faith’s way – where we should expect the unexpected event [*eventum*] – God gives us in Jacob’s persona a specimen of the trials through which he visits his children. God shows them in such an exemplary *coram Deo* event that ‘in their temptations it is necessary ([sic]) for them to wrestle with God’ (Calvin 1975:194–195, cf. Calvin 1863:441–442). He brings them in this ‘event of contest’ (*pugnae eventum*) and meets them in ‘the person of an antagonist’ [*agonistae persona* – *id est*: in the clothes or costume of an antagonist] in order ‘to test them’ [*examinet Dominus suos suae fideles*]. In this ‘arena’, God rouses us in *medio conflictu pacem* imaginemur (in the midst of the fight to imagine peace) (Calvin 1975:195–196; cf. Calvin 1863:433; cf. also Calvin 1973:651, for an explanation of *persona*: ‘in short, the things which the Scripture calls persons are comparable to clothing’). Noteworthy, ‘imagination’ (*imaginemur*) is here given a role in faith-certainty *coram Deo* (cf. Smith 2013:124–130), as we imagine that our wrestling is actually a form of peace. The most amazing of this Jabbok-event, however, is that God (Calvin 1863; cf. Calvin 1975:196 & Selderhuis 1995:22–30) on Calvin’s way of speaking about God’s ‘left-hand’):

> [B]oth fights against us and for us (ut contra nos et pro nos pugnet)… yea, inasmuch as he supplies us with more strength to resist than he employs in opposing us we may truly and properly say (*opposite et propriè dicemus*), that he fights against us with his left hand and for us with his right hand (*sinistra manu ipsum contra nos luctari, dextra autem pro nobis*). It is true that he remains at perfect unity with himself (*quip se integer manet*); but the double method in which he deals with us cannot be otherwise expressed (*sed aliter exprimi non potest duplex illa ratio qua nobiscum agit*…).

(pp. 442–443)

In a nutshell: this athletic wrestling-event, as a kind of ‘arena’–item, issues in Jacob’s ‘wonderful method of triumphing’ (*mirabilia triumphandi ratio*), as Calvin (1863:444; cf. Calvin 1975:198) calls it: the gracious God allows ‘feeble man’ (*infirmum homocionem*) to prevail over him in his very dark and fearful hiddenness – and thus grants this crippled conqueror the overwhelming joy of faith-certainty in
persevering coram Deo, as Jacob-Israel moves into the breaking morning of Pniel (the face of God). In addition, Calvin highlights God’s commendation of the ‘invincible perseverance of Jacob’ and deems it ‘certain’ (certe) for all believers that this is the ‘legitimate way’ (legitimus modus) to wrestle or contend face to face (certandi) with the hidden God. We must never be wearied down ‘till the Lord recedes of his own accord’ (donec ultra Dominus recedat).

Luther

‘In point of fact’, said Gerrish (1982:141), ‘it could be shown that Calvin’s thoughts on hiddenness parallel Luther’s in their full range’. Luther’s Lectures on Genesis (1535–1545) give ample evidence of his nuanced use of the play-metaphor, as he ponders the hiddenness of the revealed God; sometimes, he even structurally looks at patriarchal narratives through the lens of classical theatre (cf. Brown 2017:154). In the case, however, of the Jabbok-event – as in other instances – his cure is the homely playing of a benign father with his children (Luther 1915):

God treats his elected patriarch Jacob, according to his great goodness, very friendly (familiarissime) and exercises (exercet) him as if he plays with him most tenderly (quasi colludens suavissime). But this play is an immense sorrow and very great anxiety of spirit (immensus dolor et summa angustiae animi). Yet, in truth it is a play; as the end of it shows, when he comes to Pniel. Because then it will be manifest that it was mere signs (mera signa) of the most intimate love (familiarissima amor). And he played with him thus, because He wanted to test and strengthen his faith therewith [like a good father]. (pp. 96–97; [author’s added emphasis])

Conclusion

The envisaged reframing of a small but fascinating feature of the Canons of Dort – as it is interpreted within its Contra-Remonstrant scopus of confessing and upholding biblical insights over-against Remonstrant caricatures thereof – lead to the following outcome:

Firstly, the precious affirmation of this faith-certainty coram Deo could be ‘shifted’ into the late modern paradigm-era, which is – grossly simplified – characterised with the catchphrase, ‘Holy Saturday analogy’. Secondly, Luther’s and Calvin’s dramatic expository improvisation of Jacob’s faith struggle at Jabbok, highlighting the (fatherly playful) hidden and revealed face of God, could be retrieved. It could serve as a challenging example from an earlier (16th century) improvisatory ‘translation’ of a canonical scene in God’s great Kingdom drama. For its part then again it serves as a ‘scene’ to be re-improvised within a 21st-century framework; always, however, under the aegis of sola Scriptura. A late-modern paradigm could aptly ‘enframe’ – and possibly reinforce – a contemporary fascination with this Dornian confessional asset; arguably, through aspects like the retrieval of a ‘logic of embrace’, the paradoxical ‘nevertheless’ character of faith-certainty and believers’ comforting participation in our Lord Jesus Christ’s own faith-certainty.
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