Why Jesus spoke in parables

In the Synoptic Gospels, listeners ask Jesus about the purpose of his parables. These Gospels provide two parallel accounts of this discussion (Mt 13:10–17 // Mk 4:10–12 // Lk 8:9–10 and Mt 13:34–35 // Mk 4:33). The version in Mark 4:10–12 particularly raises problems related to a sharp distinction between the ‘mystery’ that the ‘insiders’ understand, and ‘parables’, which are intended ‘so that’ the ‘outsiders’ would not understand, repent and be forgiven. Mark’s reference to Isaiah 6:9–10 differs from the Masoretic Text (MT) and Septuagint (LXX) versions, but corresponds with the Aramaic Targum, which reads ‘they may be forgiven’ instead of ‘and I would heal them’. It seems that the Markan Jesus deliberately uses parables to prevent ‘those on the outside’ from understanding, repentance and forgiveness. Luke retains the ‘so that’, but does not distinguish between the two groups that sharply, as he refers to ‘others’ and not ‘those on the outside’. He omits Mark’s apparent offensive phrase about the prevention of repentance and forgiveness. Matthew smooths out some of these difficulties by changing the ‘so that’ to ‘because’. According to Matthew, Jesus speaks in parables because the people do not see, hear and understand. The reason for their inability to comprehend, is their rejection of Jesus. This article investigates these parallel passages to decipher the meaning within their textual context. The argument of this article is that these parallel statements should be read within the context of the parable of the sower to grasp their meaning.
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Introduction

Although each of Jesus’ parables is a self-contained story,1 it is only accessible to modern interpreters through written texts. Gerhardsson (1991:325) warns that ‘modern expositors can increase their hermeneutic freedom immensely, when they cut the narrative meshalim out of their frames’. In many cases, the parables are framed by introductions (προμηθία – forethoughts) and conclusions (ἐπιμηθία – afterthoughts) that provide evaluations and interpretations. Though some of these introductions and conclusions may have formed part of an original story, others were added by the evangelists. Such additions determine the interpretation of the story. Comparative frames such as ‘as it is [with the situation in the parable], so is it with the kingdom of God / heaven’ often form part of such settings.

The parallel passages in Matthew 13:10–17 // Mark 4:10–12 // Luke 8:9–10 and in Matthew 13:34–35 // Mark 4:33 form significant interpretive frames, not only for the parable of the sower and Jesus’ explanation of the parable, but to a certain extent for all of Jesus’ parables. This article investigates these passages that provide an explanation of why Jesus spoke in (a) parable(s). While it seems that the term parable in this context primarily refers to the parable of the sower, the principle applies to similar stories that meet the requirements of the form-critical definition of a parable. The broader context indicates that the term also includes other figurative forms of speech that Jesus used.2

The reason for speaking in parables

The textual setting of Jesus’ explanation

The explanation of why Jesus spoke in parables follows the parable of the sower in all three Synoptic Gospels (Mt 13:1–9; Mk 4:1–9; Lk 8:4–8). This parable begins Jesus’ ministry of proclaiming the Word. The parable tells the story of how seed is sown in different kinds of soil.

---

1. John included none of these parables. Instead he portrays Jesus as making use of συμβουλής (figures), for example the image of the sheepfold (In 10:1–6), the door of the sheepfold (In 3–10), the good shepherd (In 10:11–18) and the vine and the branches (In 15:1–8). Besides the Synoptic Gospels, two non-canonical texts are known to also preserve parables of Jesus, namely the Gospel of Thomas and the Secret Book of James.

2. The evangelists did not identify parables in the exact same way. Proverbs, similes, similitudes and narratives are all sometimes described as parables (cf. Hedrick 2004:8). Scholarly most commonly consider parables to be short narrative fiction dramatizing a common human experience or some incident from nature to convey a spiritual message (cf. Snodgrass 2008:7–9).
Only the seed that was sown in the good soil comes up, grows and produces a crop.

This parable is followed by the question Jesus’ followers pose about the parables (Mt 13:10–17; Mk 4:10–12; Lk 8:9–10). Jesus responds by explaining that parables demonstrate why not all people are receptive to his ministry of the Word.3

Following this answer, Jesus continues by explaining the meaning of the parable of the sower (Mt 13:18–23; Mk 4:13–20; Lk 8:11–15). He tells his followers that the determining factor of the parable is the soil, and not the sower or the seed. The condition of the soil determines whether the seed would grow or not. The parable signifies that only some people would be receptive to Jesus’ words. This explanation sheds light not only on the parable of the sower, but also on Jesus’ answer as to why he spoke in parables in general.

The parable of the sower can be regarded as the parable about the parables (Hooker 2000:87). This is evident from Jesus’ opening words when he starts explaining the parable, ‘Don’t you understand this parable? How then will you understand any parable?’ (Mk 4:13). Mark seemingly regards this introductory parable as key to understanding all Jesus’ parables.

As conclusion to the section on the parables, Mark 4:33–34 once again states that Jesus always spoke in parables, a statement that Matthew 13:34–35 takes over with some variation.

That which is given to insiders and outsiders respectively

Each of the Synoptic Gospels provides accounts of the ‘twelve and others’ (Mk) // ‘disciples’ (Mt and Lk) asking Jesus about the purpose of his parables. Jesus’ answer varies slightly in each of the Gospels. These significant similarities and variations are indicated in the following Table 1.

To insiders Jesus spoke of mysteries and to outsiders in parables

According to each of the Synoptic Gospels, Jesus responds to the question of ‘όι περὶ αὐτοῦ σὺν τοῖς δώδεκα’ [those around him with the 12] according to Mark // ‘οἱ μαθηται’ [the disciples] according to Matthew // ‘οἱ μαθηται αὐτοῦ’ [his disciples] according to Luke. According to Mark, those who pose the question are people who gathered around Jesus along with the 12. The reference to ‘disciples’ in Matthew and Luke could refer to the 12 only, but probably also includes a wider group of disciples. What is clear from all three accounts,

is that those who pose the question are people who are drawn to Jesus’ ministry and who have responded by gathering around him. They can be regarded as an inside group. Mark emphasises that this enquiry takes place in privacy, as he mentions that Jesus is alone (κατὰ μόνας) when this wider group of disciples posed their question. In Mark’s version, the question is not specific and relates to the parables (τὰς παραβολὰς) in general. In Matthew, the question is about Jesus’ reason for talking to them in parables (διὰ τὸ τάν παραβολὰς λαλεῖς αὐτοῖς). In Luke, the question is what this one parable, the parable of the sower, means (τίς αὕτη εἶ ἢ παραβολῆ).

In his response, Jesus then differentiates between the group with him and those on the outside.4 In Mark, the outside group is strongly defined as ‘those on the outside’ (ἐξώτεροι ὑπὸ τοὺς ἕξο). While the invitation of Jesus in Mark 4:9 to listen, is addressed to everyone: the secret of God’s kingdom is only given to those who are prepared to receive it (Hooker 2000:91). Matthew also refers to ‘those’ (ἐξωτερικοὶ), but limits ‘on the outside’. Luke does not have such a clear distinction between the insiders and outsiders, as he merely refers to ‘the rest’ (τοῖς … λοιποῖς). However, all three the Synoptic Gospels make a distinction between the way Jesus speaks to his disciples and the way he speaks to the others. This signifies different ways in which one can relate to the kingdom, either as an insider or as an outsider (Culpepper 2007:136). It should be noted that the first part of Jesus’ answer does not refer to what Jesus does, but to what God does. God provides the inside group with the ability to comprehend the mysteries of Jesus’ teachings, while the rest lack this ability. Although the disciples do not understand everything, they have the privilege of receiving additional explanations from Jesus. Mark 4:13 explicitly mentions the disciples’ lack of understanding. Yet, they can be taught, while the others lack the ability to comprehend.

It was common practice in the ancient Mediterranean world for teachers to differentiate between how they treat their inner group and how they treat those on the outside. They would usually keep teachings to the outsiders obscure (Keener 1999:378). Diogenes Laertius, a 3rd-century (AD) biographer of the Greek philosophers, mentions how Plato (cf. Diog. Laert. 3.63) and Pythagoras (Diog. Laert. 8.1.15) wished to keep their teachings incomprehensible to outsiders.5 It seems that most itinerant Greek teachers preferred to instruct their followers in private, where they gave additional teachings away from the public audience. Although members of the public in some cases would be welcome to attend, special teachings were provided to the ‘insiders’ as such (Culpepper 1975:112).

In the Gospels, those on the inside receive ‘τὸ μυστήριον … τῆς βασιλείας τοῦ Θεοῦ’ [the mystery of the kingdom of God]...
according to Mark // γνῶναι τὰ μυστήρια τῆς βασιλείας τῶν οὐρανῶν [to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heavens] according to Matthew // γνῶναι τὰ μυστήρια τῆς βασιλείας τοῦ Θεοῦ [to know the mysteries of the kingdom of God] according to Luke. Matthew and Luke add the ability to know, while this knowledge is assumed in Mark. Those who are receptive get to know the mystery or mysteries of the kingdom of God as contained in the parables through Jesus’ special teaching. The requirement for having access to this knowledge is being part of the Jesus’ loyal inner group. This group is like the seed that is receptive to the seed. The disciples are in the audience when Jesus speaks in parables, but they are provided with additional explanations of the meaning of the parables when they are together in private.

The insiders are privileged to receive the μυστήριον [the mystery] according to Mark // τῷ μυστήριῳ [the mysteries] according to Matthew and Luke. While Mark refers to a mystery in the singular, Matthew and Luke refer to mysteries in the plural. The term mystery is only used in this place in the Gospels. In pagan mystery religions, initiates were given secret knowledge and shown secret objects during initiation rituals so they could inherit eternal life (Culpepper 2007:138). A person who experienced τὸ μυστήριον was required to take a pledge of silence to ensure that holy secrets would not be disclosed to profane outsiders (Meyer 1992:942). Some Jewish movements believed that God had entrusted Israel with special ‘mysteries’ (e.g. Test L 2:10; Judah 16:4). Some spoke of hidden things, like the laws of creation, being concealed from the rest of humankind (e.g. Dt 29:29; 1 Enoch 4:1–3; 41:1–8; 49:2; 52:2; 59:1–3; 106:19). The righteous would receive teachings about hidden things and mysteries, while these mysteries would remain concealed from the wicked (Wis 2:22; 4 Ezr 14:45–47). The Qumran community believed that revelation occurred in two stages: God inspired the prophets to write, but they did not fully understand what they had written. God then revealed interpretation of their ‘Teacher of Righteousness’ (e.g. 1 QpHab 7:4–5, 13–14). By following his teachings, they would be able to recognise the real meaning of Scriptures. While the Qumran ‘Teacher of Righteousness’ was said to interpret the Scriptures, Jesus interpreted his own words, being the revelation of the mystery of the kingdom of God (Culpepper 2007:138). Apocalyptic texts often refer to ‘mysteries’ (e.g. LXX Dan 2:18–19, 28–30; 47; 2 Esdr 14:45; 1 Enoch 63:3; 103:2) to signify hidden eschatological secrets that can be revealed by God alone (Hultgren 2000:454). According to New Testament text, these secrets will be revealed at the end of times, but they have already been disclosed to the inner community (Rm 16:25–26; Eph 3:3–6). As this divine knowledge is revealed in Christ. This emphasises Jesus’ role

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Speaking to outsiders and insiders respectively.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mark 4:10–12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kai ὅταν ἐγείρετο κατά μέρος, δρόμην αὐτὸν εἰς περὶ αὐτῶν σὺν τοῖς δοκεῖσθαι τὰς παραβολὰς καὶ ἔλεγεν αὐτοῖς ὅτι ὄν τὸ μυστήριον δέδοτα, τῆς βασιλείας τοῦ Θεοῦ· εἴκοσι δὲ τοῖς ἔξω ἐν παραβολαῖς τὰ πάντα γίνεται, δὲ ἀποκριθεὶς εἶπεν ὅτι ὄντι δὲ τὸ ἐν παραβολαῖς λαλεῖ τοῖς αὐτῶν, ἐπηρώτων δὲ αὐτὸν ὁ μαθηταῖς ὀν τῶν οὐρανῶν, ἐκεῖνοι δὲ ὑπὸ δόθηται. οὐδὲ εἰς ὄντι δὲ ἐν παραβολαῖς.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When he was alone, those around him with the 12 asked him about the parables. He replied, ‘Because the knowledge of the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven has been given to you, but not to them’. He told them, ‘The mystery of the kingdom of God has been given to you. But to those on the outside everything is said in parables’. The disciples came to him and asked, ‘Why do you speak to the people in parables?’ When he was alone, those around him asked him about the parables. His disciples asked him what this parable meant. Whoever has been given more, and they will have an abundance. Whoever does not have, even what they have will be taken from them. Those who have will be given more, and they will have an abundance. Whoever does not have, even what they have will be taken from them. Therefore, having access to this knowledge is being part of the Jesus’ loyal inner group. So that ‘they may be ever seeing but never understanding; they do not see; though hearing, they do not hear or understand. In them is fulfilled the prophecy of Isaiah: ‘You will be ever hearing but never understanding; they do not see; though hearing, they do not hear or understand. For this people’s heart has become clogged; they hardly hear with their ears, and they have closed their eyes. Otherwise they might see with their eyes, hear with their ears, understand with their hearts and turn, and I would heal them.’ But blessed are your eyes because they see, and your ears because they hear. For truly I tell you, many prophets and righteous people longed to see what you see but did not see it, and to hear what you hear but did not hear it.

The disciples privileged to receive the μυστήριον [the mystery] according to Mark // τῷ μυστήριῳ [the mysteries] according to Matthew and Luke. While Mark refers to a mystery in the singular, Matthew and Luke refer to mysteries in the plural. The term mystery is only used in this place in the Gospels. In pagan mystery religions, initiates were given secret knowledge and shown secret objects during initiation rituals so they could inherit eternal life (Culpepper 2007:138). A person who experienced τὸ μυστήριον was required to take a pledge of silence to ensure that holy secrets would not be disclosed to profane outsiders (Meyer 1992:942). Some Jewish movements believed that God had entrusted Israel with special ‘mysteries’ (e.g. Test L 2:10; Judah 16:4). Some spoke of hidden things, like the laws of creation, being concealed from the rest of humankind (e.g. Dt 29:29; 1 Enoch 4:1–3; 41:1–8; 49:2; 52:2; 59:1–3; 106:19). The righteous would receive teachings about hidden things and mysteries, while these mysteries would remain concealed from the wicked (Wis 2:22; 4 Ezr 14:45–47). The Qumran community believed that revelation occurred in two stages: God inspired the prophets to write, but they did not fully understand what they had written. God then revealed interpretation of their ‘Teacher of Righteousness’ (e.g. 1 QpHab 7:4–5, 13–14). By following his teachings, they would be able to recognise the real meaning of Scriptures. While the Qumran ‘Teacher of Righteousness’ was said to interpret the Scriptures, Jesus interpreted his own words, being the revelation of the mystery of the kingdom of God (Culpepper 2007:138). Apocalyptic texts often refer to ‘mysteries’ (e.g. LXX Dan 2:18–19, 28–30; 47; 2 Esdr 14:45; 1 Enoch 63:3; 103:2) to signify hidden eschatological secrets that can be revealed by God alone (Hultgren 2000:454). According to New Testament text, these secrets will be revealed at the end of times, but they have already been disclosed to the inner community (Rm 16:25–26; Eph 3:3–6). As this divine knowledge is revealed in Christ. This emphasises Jesus’ role...
as the revealer of these mysteries. According to Matthew 11:25 and 16:17, Jesus apparently agrees that, until the end of time (cf. Mt 10:26), some truths will only be understood by a special group. It seems that Jewish people in general shared such understandings (Mt 13:35).

While the parables reveal the mystery of the kingdom, they also conceal. For ‘ἐκείνοις δὲ τοῖς ἔξω’ [those on the outside] according to Mark // ἐκείνοις [those] according to Matthew // ‘τοῖς δὲ λοιποῖς’ [the others] according to Luke, everything is done ‘ἐν παραβολαῖς’ [in parables]. Mark’s statement makes a sharp distinction between the insiders and outsiders, while the distinction is less sharp in Matthew and Luke. Jesus refers to those who are not receptive to his ministry of the Word as regarded as the bad soil that is not receptive to the seed of the sower in the parable. They are those who reject Jesus such as the scribes and the Pharisees. It was common practice that Jewish leaders would use parables as illustrations to explain what they were teaching (Keener 1999:378). However, if they only gave the illustration without providing the point they were referring to, the illustration remained a riddle (Test Abr 12–13A). This implies that in the context of Jesus’s ministry, only persons who knew him were able to understand his parables. For those who rejected him, such as the Pharisees, the parables remained riddles (cf. Mt 12:24–45).

It seems that parables by nature were enigmatic and required explanation (Hultgren 2000:456). In the LXX, the term παραβολάζω refers to a figure of speech of which the meaning is not obvious. In Psalms 78:2 (LXX 77:2), παραβολάζω [parables] and προβλήματα [problems] are used in a synonymous parallelism. In Proverbs 1:5–6, παραβολάζω refer to sayings that require skills to be understood. Parables need interpretation (2 Esdr 4:47; Sir 47:15–17). John also refers to Jesus’ figurative speech, which results in his disciples not understanding him (Jn 10:6). The hour would come that Jesus would no longer speak in figures (ἐν παρωμίας) but would tell them ‘plainly’ (παρηγορήσῃ) about his Father (Jn 16:25, 29). According to the gnostic Apocryphon of James (8:1–10), a document from the 2nd century CE, Jesus had to spend 18 days after his death and resurrection, he does so plainly (παρηγορήσῃ).


It was common practice that disciples would ask their rabbis for further explanation, for example as in Tosefta Sanhedrin 7:13 and the Babylonian Talmud Qiddushin, 31a. While parables were used as illustration, the rabbis sometimes even used them as secret speech. Some rabbinic traditions also linked the ability to understand with membership to the community of Israel (Keener 1999:379).

Matthew is the only evangelist to insert the statement ‘Whoever has will be given more, and they will have an abundance. Whoever does not have, even what they have will be taken from them.’ This statement reinforces the idea that the secrets of the kingdom being given to the disciples are not being given to the crowds. Whatever inklings of understanding they have, would be taken away from them, while the ability of his disciples to understand would be enhanced (Hedrick 2004:33).

**Accounted reason: ‘So that’, or ‘because’**

Jesus then proceeds by providing the reason for speaking in parables to outsiders. In the account of Mark 4:12, Jesus speaks in parables ‘τῶν βλέποντων βλέπουσιν καὶ μὴ ἴδωσιν, καὶ ἰκώντος ἰκώσιν καὶ μὴ συνιῶσιν, μὴ ποτε ἐπιστρέφωσιν καὶ ἀφεθῇ αὐτοῖς’ [so that they may be ever seeing but never understanding; and they may be forgiven but never perceiving, and ever hearing but never understanding; otherwise they might turn and be forgiven!]. Jesus quotes Isaiah 6:9–10, which is introduced by the ‘so that (iwa) they do not understand. Otherwise they ‘might repent and be forgiven’. The Markan quotation differs from the MT and LXX versions, but corresponds exactly with the Aramaic Targum by including the use of the third person (rather than the second) and the phrase ‘they may be forgiven’ instead of ‘and I heal them’ (as in the MT and LXX). In this response lies the difficulty. Why would Jesus deliberately speak in such a way that people would not understand and repent? As mentioned above, this version of the quote creates the impression that the passage may have had its origin in the pre-Markan Aramaic speaking community (Hultgren 2000:460). The easy answer to the problem would be to argue that Mark included this quote from the Targum in an inattentive and clumsy manner, as it does not fit his overall message. However, such an answer is unsatisfactory. Another suggestion to the problem could be that ivu be understood as ‘because’ (Lampe 1991:189). To soften the offense, one suggestion has been to revert to the Aramaic syntax to weaken the purpose clause. Such a suggestion also does not seem to fit, because it is complemented with the μὴ ψάχνων: 11. The private teaching forms part of the secrecy motif according to which the secret of God's coming kingdom is only given to the inner circle of followers (Witherington III 2006:263). While the secrecy motif is prominent in Mark, it is also evident in Matthew and Luke, though to a lesser degree.

12. The contrast between speaking 'in parables' and 'plainly' is also made in Ap. Jas. 7:1–6; Irenaeus, against Heresies, 1.3.1 and Pistis Sophia 1–6.

13. The Tosefta is a compilation of the Jewish oral law from the late 2nd century CE, the period of the Mishnah.

14. The Talmud forms one of the primary sources of Jewish religious law and Jewish theology, serving as guide for the daily lives of Jews. The Talmud has two components, the Mishnah (c.200 CE), a written compendium of Rabbinic Judaism’s oral Torah, and the Gemara (c.500 CE), an elucidation of the Mishnah and related Tannaitic writings.

15. Mark also uses this statement in Mark 4:25.

16. The phrase ‘ἄκοινοις ἀκοίνων’ echoes the opening exhortation of the parable, ‘listen!’ (ἀκοῦστε) (Mt 4:3) and the final exhortation ‘Whoever has ears to hear, let them hear!’ (To ἔχει ὀRecipient of the interesting, however, is that Deuteronomy 6:4–5, but whereas Deuteronomy 6:4–5 requires its hearers to love God with heart and soul and strength, the parable of Jesus calls for wholehearted response to Jesus himself (Hooker 2000:89).
The Synoptic Gospels all state that the outsiders rejected Jesus with the result that he increasingly turned to his disciples and trained them in private. The disciples are depicted as being capable of learning and understanding. To the crowds, he would only speak in parables with the result that they would not grasp the point of illustration (Witherington III 2006:258). Parables gave insight to those who accepted Jesus, but they came as a judgement to the stubborn ones who rejected him. Therefore, listening attentively becomes a prerequisite for understanding God’s revealed mysteries. Jesus offers revelatory wisdom to his open-minded followers. The message is clear: one cannot understand the kingdom of God apart from accepting Jesus, the agent of the kingdom.

The parables provide some explanation as to why so many people of Israel rejected Jesus (Hooker 2000:88). They did not respond to Jesus as they did not understand his teachings. Those who were unable to comprehend the message of Jesus, fulfill the prophecy in Isaiah 6:9–10. This prophecy was central to the early Christian view of why Israel did not accept Jesus (cf. Mk 4:12; Lk 8:10; Jn 12:37–41; Ac 28:26–27; Keener 1999:38). It reflects a common motif in the prophets (e.g. Is 29:9–10; 42:19–20; 43:8; 44:18–19; Ezk 12:2).

However, those who turn to Jesus, will be ‘healed’ (Mt 13:15).

**Jesus always spoke to the crowds in parables**

Later in the same Markan and Matthean chapters, the evangelists once again refer to Jesus speaking in parables (see Table 2). In Mark it forms the conclusion to the section on Jesus teaching in parables.

Mark 4:33–34 once again distinguishes between how Jesus speaks to ‘them’ (αὐτοῖς) and to his ‘own disciples’ (τοῖς ὀχλοῖς μαθηταῖς). His own disciples have the privilege of receiving explanations for Jesus’ earlier teachings. In a similar statement, Matthew defines ‘them’ as ‘the crowds’ (τοῖς ὀχλοῖς). Mark refers to ‘the word’ (τὸν λόγον) Jesus speaks in the parables. It echoes the word ‘the sower in the parable sows. It implies that the parables are vehicles of the Word, the gospel of the kingdom to which they point.

---

17. This separation between insiders and outsiders continues throughout the Gospels and Acts, where Paul repeats the quotation from Isaiah by referring to the Jews in Rome who continue to refuse his message (Ac 28:26–27).

18. Although Isaiah 6:9–10 recounts Isaiah’s call, it conveys the prophet’s experience with his ministry to Israel as what seemed to be a complete failure to convert his people (Hooker 2000:92).
(Culpepper 2007:152). Mark qualifies Jesus speaking of the word ‘as much as they could understand’. Apparently, this stands in conflict with Mark 4:12. However, in light of this qualification, it is clear that Jesus customised his teaching according to the ability of the people to grasp it. From this, it can be surmised that Jesus did not limit his teaching due to a desire to keep the crowds in the dark, but because they were not able to hear more of his teaching.

Mark proceeds by telling readers that Jesus explained the meaning of the parables to his disciples when they were alone. In Matthew, the distinction between ‘them’ and the ‘disciples’ is less prominent, as he only refers to the crowds. Matthew furthermore omits this reference to Jesus’ private teaching to his disciples, but adds the formula quotation while quoting from Psalms 78:2 to explain how the parables convey hidden mysteries.19 ‘So was fulfilled what was spoken through the prophet (ὅπως πληρωθῇ τὸ ῥηθὲν διὰ τοῦ προφήτου): “I will open my mouth in parables, I will utter things hidden since the creation of the world”’ (Mt 13:35). While Mark states that mysteries are concealed in the parables, Matthew states that the parables convey God’s hidden revelations.20

Conclusion
The parable of the sower forms a simile that reflects Jesus’ ministry. Jesus spoke in public and the seed of his Word fell on several kinds of soil. In his private clarification of the parable, he explains to his disciples how this parable depicts the way different kinds of people relate to his teachings. Between the parable and the explanation, Jesus answers the question of his followers about the reason why he spoke in parables. His answer reflects the meaning of the parable. Mark and Matthew later both again refer to why Jesus regularly spoke in parables.

Jesus’ answer, as retold in all these passages, serves as a commentary on his ministry. Jesus spoke to all those who were receptive to his message, as well as those who rejected him. Those who were receptive to his message were like the good soil. They formed his inner group. They were privileged to receive Jesus’ private teachings. During these explanations, Jesus gave the disciples special teachings so that they could recognise the mysteries contained in the parables. The outsiders were considered to have a basic understanding of Jesus’s parables, but because of the hardness of their hearts, they did not recognise the significant role of Jesus as apocalyptic teacher. They therefore forfeited the opportunity to have access to Jesus’ private teaching and could subsequently not grasp the mysteries contained in the parables.

These passages reveal that Jesus’ parables can only be perceived as life-changing through faith. The parables as such do not generate faith, as faith is a gift from God. While the parables are often enigmatic, the revelation contained in them is grasped in a miraculous way.

After the earthly ministry of Jesus had ended, the evangelists who received insight into the mysteries contained in the parables, utilised these parables to teach the churches, its leaders and disciples of the mysteries of the parables.
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