Transformative joy in Qohelet: A thread that faintly glistens

Qohelet prompted a rich body of work reflecting the breadth of the Old Testament book’s appeal. Few, however, interpret Qohelet’s spiritual dimension, incarnated in life. I will opt to offer an overarching framework that holds the book together and that was until now absent in the discourse on Qohelet. It will be argued that spiritual transformation provides a fruitful theoretical framework for Qohelet. I will indicate that Qohelet undertook a spiritual journey in which his experiences fostered profound spiritual transformation, and ultimately a new paradigm leapfrogging old spiritual infrastructure and choices. The framework that evolved from this effort delineates four phases, stages or movements. In order to provide clarity and enhance understanding of this concept, the analysis will be done on the basis of the leitwort (or keyword) ‘joy’. The findings point to the importance of spiritual transformation, directing readers towards a new spirituality. The article concludes with suggestions on future directions regarding Qohelet as a ‘bridge book’ to the New Testament.

Introduction

This article studies the relation between spiritual transformation and joy in Qohelet. The purpose is to offer an all-encompassing theoretical framework that holds the book together and that was until now absent in the discourse on Qohelet. The very name, Qohelet, even amongst those who darken the doors of the church on Sunday mornings, is held in opprobrium or abeyance like the great pessimist, Dante’s ‘cammin de nostra vita’ (1350:c.1r. canto 1–3), Henry James’s labyrinthine and claustrophic ‘Golden bowl’ (James 1904), and in the African novelist’s Achebe’s intricate slow-burned ‘Arrow of God’ (1964:169). Although Qohelet pitched his tent seemingly on the outskirts of acceptability, it is not on the sideline anymore. The book intrigued an increasing number of scholars and spurred a rich body of work that reflects the breadth of Qohelet’s appeal. It enjoys the thematic attention of movie literature (Johnston 2004), self-actualisation (Marcus 2003:6), bibliometrics (Harsanyi & Harter 1993), rock albums (Army of Bones 2017; Helsel 2007) and a stage-adapted novel (Keiter 2015). A plethora of approaches and theoretical perspectives pondered on the implication of Qohelet for economics (Redding 2013), philosophy (Douglas 2011; Liston 1996), psychology (Cooper, Quick & Shabraq 2015:2), legality of technological data (Tene & Polonetsky 2013:254), social work (Sneed 2012), communications (Morgan 2011), beer production (Homan 2002), health (Soliman, Sturgeon & Hargest 2015; Thompson, Lucia & Tangen 2007), art, music and culture (Blue Highway 2003; De Gheyn 1603; Deutsch 2011; Lawrence 2015) and literature studies (Johnson 2012). Some call Qohelet the most Buddhist book of the Bible (Buckley 2016; Lorgunpai 2006). Qohelet is even on Twitter (Qohelet® FundacionQoheleth 2018) and Facebook (Qoheleth Osb 2018).

Moving closer to our own territory, the centuries-long tradition of Qohelet’s biblical interpretation requires little explanation. Biblical scholars for much of the late 19th and 20th century took multiple approaches to interpret Qohelet. One that is still highly influential until today is the historical-critical method, which resulted in a fragmented view of Qohelet’s message, alternating between pessimism (Anderson 2000:144, 145; Sneed 2012), optimistic joy (Ogden 1987; Whybray 1982:87–98) and, amongst others, deterministic-positive perspectives (Crenshaw 1990; Fox 1999; Murphy 2002; Rudman 2001) touching on pastoral and pulpit impotence and parishioner indifference.

1. Those who attend a church service and activities or interact with the church or its ideas.

2. For a history of approaches follow Bartholomew (2009) and for a comprehensive typology of the spectrum of distinct, albeit overlapping philosophical approaches to Qohelet, see Gercke (2015), Christianson (2007) and Bartholomew (1999).
Is the ‘dizzying discipline’ of current Qohelet research detached from its spiritual roots? Few interpret Qohelet’s spiritual dimension, ‘incarnated in life’. Engagement with the text is stale. As Christianson (2007) holds so eloquently: 

the stuff of the interpretation of Qohelet – the articulation of words and the pursuit of understanding especially – is marred by fatigue, cognitive exasperation and endless publication.

Rendering such boundless hermeneutical energy has required the use of fat paintbrushes, often resulting in far simpler lines than the subject would demand if examined more closely (though often that scrutiny has been more comprehensive than the lines suggest). (p. xiii)

The Qohelet discourse seems to be forced to a painful conclusion: current Qohelet research finds itself derailed, in a ‘condition of pluralism and fragmentation’ (Bartholomew 2009), even polarisation, and with ‘a lack of imagination’ (O’Brien 2011). For some, ‘the words used to speak of God and spiritual things became divorced from the ideas and affections to which they referred’ (McClymond 1997:198).

What do postmodernist deconstructionists use as sources? From a library and information science (LIS) perspective, Senapatiratne (2014) makes the case that: 

Biblical scholars of Qohelet are often guilty of using materials they are familiar with and ones that they know will already agree with their predetermined academic positions, rather than the most current (and best) sources. (p. 20)

Overly pessimistic or optimistic theological, social, psychoanalytical or political theories that pursue objective or neutral interpretation (Clouser 2005) can be a mere mechanical reproduction, bypassing Qohelet’s description of life. The dichotomy, however, opens up a gap through which the ‘thread of consciousness’ (Christianson 2007:46) that holds Qohelet together faintly glistens. Do we peer deep enough? While this is not an attempt to give a complete understanding, in my recent role as a Cancer Association of South Africa (CANSA) Community Mobiliser in different townships, transformation, specifically personal spiritual transformation, has (had to) made it possible to enter as a Christian and a theologian into Qohelet’s spirituality⁴ (Steenkamp 2010, 2011).

What is needed in Qohelet studies is that abstract reductionist Cartesian epistemology (Fox 1989), ‘shalomic’ perspectives (Bartholomew 1999:16), psychoanalytical theory (Bundvad 2016; Helsel 2010), proto-existentialist perspectives (Enns 2011:31; Fox 1999:133) and social-psychological models (Sneed 2012)⁴ should opt not just to accommodate specific structural analysis or social circumstances but to incorporate Qohelet’s spirituality as well.

Transformation is evident in Qohelet’s transformed proverbs (Schultz 2015:65), law, story, poetry (Tanner 2001:2) and a newly interpreted law of vows (Levinson 2015:38). According to Homrighausen, Qohelet counselled ‘practices of transformation, or can be read with those practices in mind’ (2014:4). Deacy is on the verge of mettre les point sur les i, that is, spiritual perception,⁵ with his statement that ‘There is little hope under the sun except through a ‘transformation of everyday existence’ (2001:90). Paul Marcus, psychoanalyst, is of the opinion that ancient authors such as Ecclesiastes not only identify, with startling brilliance and poetic insight, some of the central problematics of the human condition as modern people construe it but offer what he believes is, in many ways, a reasonable and feasible attitude towards contemporary life that is a better narrative for the human condition and a more compelling technology for self-transformation, self-actualisation and self-improvement (Marcus 2003:6).

I will, on the one hand, keep in mind over-simplification and the interchangeable use of ‘joy’, ‘happiness’ and ‘pleasure’ in scientific (Fan et al. 2014), popular literature (Altmann 2012; Lambert 2014) while taking into account the work conducted on Qohelet’s polar structure (Loader 1979). On the other hand, I will carefully avoid ‘flattening out the contours of the book’. Keeping an eye on Murphy’s claim that ‘no one will ever succeed in giving a satisfactory outline of the contents of the book’ (1955:304–314), this article will, not unlike an embroider, humbly opt to offer an ‘overarching’ or comprehensive (Murphy 1992:xxxvii–xxxviii) theoretical framework. This framework aims to provide a spiritual journey that holds the book together, an element that was until now absent in the discourse on Qohelet,⁶ that is, spiritual transformation as a hermeneutical approach.

### Spiritual transformation in Qohelet

The research on Qohelet’s spiritual dimension, incarnated in life, is few. This section explores spiritual transformation as a theoretical framework. The foundation of spiritual transformation is already present in Qohelet as noticed by some scholars. Enns (2011:150) indicated that Qohelet underwent a ‘transformation of sorts’, but does not indicate how. In the opinion of some scholars, Qohelet’s transformation can be epistemological (Bartholomew 1999), moral (Perdue 2008:31, 62, 412) or cosmic (Perdue 2008:328). Pigg (2016) states that post-colonial adjustments in Qohelet are to be expected:

> If power is beginning to fall apart, then the question would certainly be more apparent about how one might conduct one’s self in the current moment. That the images of self-monitoring, fear, suggested solutions, the whispers of a post-colonial understanding of life around tables of eating and drinking and perhaps an implicit wish for change would surface, should not be a surprise (pp. 19, 20).

Transformation is evident in Qohelet’s transformed proverbs (Schultz 2015:65), law, story, poetry (Tanner 2001:2) and a newly interpreted law of vows (Levinson 2015:38). According to Homrighausen, Qohelet counselled ‘practices of transformation, or can be read with those practices in mind’ (2014:4). Deacy is on the verge of mettre les point sur les i, that is, spiritual perception,⁵ with his statement that ‘There is little hope under the sun except through a ‘transformation of everyday existence’ (2001:90). Paul Marcus, psychoanalyst, is of the opinion that ancient authors such as Ecclesiastes not only identify, with startling brilliance and poetic insight, some of the central problematics of the human condition as modern people construe it but offer what he believes is, in many ways, a reasonable and feasible attitude towards contemporary life that is a better narrative for the human condition and a more compelling technology for self-transformation, self-actualisation and self-improvement (Marcus 2003:6).

---

3. The term ‘spirituality’ goes back via the French spiritualité to the Latin spiritualitas. It is rooted in the biblical semantic field of ruach, pneuma. The Hebrew word ruach has three areas of experience: (1) In the sphere of air, wind, and storm, the ruach shows itself as a power which is in motion (Jr 4:12; Ex 1:12). It sets other things in motion (Ps 1:4; Is 7:2; Ex 10:13) (Alberts & Westermann 1997:1202–1220). Waajimian defines spirituality as ‘the divine-human relational process of transformation’ (2002:312).


5. I will not strive for ‘a new reading to replace the old, but to supplement the accepted framework of understanding’ (Hamm 2015:510) with an alternative possibility.

6. Spiritual perception links idea and emotion, the cognitive and the affective. It connects theology with spirituality. There is no dichotomy between the spiritual sense of divine things and the philosophical, theological and historical reflection (McClymond 1997:216).
As part of an ongoing research trajectory, I will look at how initial signs of spiritual transformation become visible in Qohelet. Spiritual transformation is not ‘ceaseless change’ (Knopf 1930:196) that leads to a ‘negative evaluation of life’ (McCabe 1996:92) and ultimately to a devaluation of life. It can be understood as ‘the restoration of the divine-human relational process in mutual embrace’ (Waaïjman 2002:463). It is not just an experience but ‘a radical reinterpretation of his understanding of God’s actions in and will for the world’ and of one’s ‘own consciousness’ (metanoia) (Waaïjman 2002:34,439,463). From a film perspective, Deacy (2001:4) observes that ‘as humankind evolves, traditional religious doctrines and assumptions will necessarily be subject to revision, and even to transformation’. Qohelet’s ‘intensive spiritual experience led to new horizons, and as a result reoriented the axes of his’ spirituality “in terms of his contemporary experience”’ (Peters 2013:79). His lived experience is a path of spiritual transformation whereby he intensively lived his relatedness to God and in that way ‘blazed a spiritual trail’. Manifest in his journey towards a restored relation (reformation, conversion) was, amongst others, the infinite mercy of God (Waaïjman 2002:463). Transformation is in its essence spiritual and spirituality is in its essence transformational (Waaïjman 2002:453).

Spiritual transformation goes through different forms (or stages). Humans have an infinite horizon of experiences of the Transcendent God (Rahner 1984:61) that are ‘continually unfolding’ (Chimhanda 2013:2). Spiritual transformation is not a linear process though. Qohelet appears as a ‘motion picture – made up as a series of small discontinuous frames’ (Landy 1990:102). Waaïjman points out that spirituality is:

A human enterprise with moments of real growth and false growth, inwardness and self-transcendence, a search process and a divine enterprise with moments of revelation and eclipse, a phased and layered process (2002:424). During what Waaïjman (2000:658; 2006:44) calls ‘transformation in re-creation’ or re-formed existence, new, fresh opportunities and new possibilities emerge (Hermans 2013:172) (it will be illustrated in the stages discussed below).

A person can, however, during the transformation process choose to go forward (reformation) or turn back (deformation).  

Qohelet has the potential to greatly enrich our understanding of how deformation and reformation work. Sharp (2009:202) and Berger (2001:174) found that the book Qohelet is embedded in the author(s)’s personal identity and experience that is shaped by his inner and outer horizon. Waaïjman (2002:538–542; 2007:3) distinguished, based amongst others on Edmund Husserl’s (1973:32) two horizons, an outer horizon and an inner horizon. The religious texts of his time ‘as measured by religious beliefs and religious activity’ (Leon & Pfeifer 2013), the Torahs and the interpretation and applications thereof were the outer horizon of his broader world. It provided him with instructions for his ‘life and life situations’ (Lombard 2015:4). He interpreted his world and interacted thereupon. His spirituality, however, unfolded in a post-exilic context. He lived at a time(s) of upheaval when the ‘traditional ways of living were giving way to rampant capitalism and trade, when the gap between rich and poor was expanding’ (Seow 1997:33–36), reflecting a vast array of different and changing moral systems, epistemologies and religious understandings (Perdue 2008:3) in different time frames. As these outer horizon(s) shifted, Qohelet’s spirituality shifted. He gradually developed new insights that prompted surprising spiritual transformation. In the book, Qohelet described his journey (Enns 2011:201) as we call it in Africa, his safari to spiritual growth.

The argument is that in order to return to the spiritual tradition of transformation and to revisit the dynamic roots of Qohelet, studies of Qohelet have to undergo a paradigm shift in theory formation: from a fragmented to a transformational, processual approach. I will dare ‘[t]he desert of criticism’ (Nathan 2014:16) to enter one of the horizons, namely the inner horizon. Its transformative power and the way that the divine-human relation takes shape (Hausherr 1937) ‘seldom received mainstream scholarly recognition’ (Lombard 2014:473). To understand Qohelet’s lived experience, I will draw upon the theoretical framework of spiritual transformation developed by the Dutch professor of Spirituality, Kees Waaïjman (2002). I will take into account that in these horizons, Qohelet encountered formation and deformation on his (or their) way to spiritual transformation.
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Qohelet was on a journey during which his viewpoints changed. The spiritual transformation, theoretical framework reviewed above provides a detailed description of the fragile process that may lie in the background of Qohelet’s journey. In spite of the flow of commentaries, the book’s joyous underpinning leading to the overturning of his spiritual status quo has been consistently overlooked, not yet been sufficiently explored and thus unappreciated. To provide clarity and enhance understanding of spiritual transformation, the analysis will be done based on the key word ‘joy’, delineating four phases, stages or movements in a diachronic perspective.\(^{16}\)

Qohelet’s safari can be described as liminal, belonging to different states and statuses. Qohelet’s experience is liminal because he was sandwiched between an initial insider and thrice an outsider by virtue of his religious orientation, in between a sage-king and a sage-writer(s), and betwixt a privileged lawmaker and a sub-class enjoyer or merrymaker. This inner transformation can be detected in form and expression. Being a religious person, Qohelet reacted to the issues of his time in the language of his spiritual experience (Waajiman 2000:651). The repetition of the keyword \(^{17}\) ‘joy’\(^{18}\) (or what Robbins called the inter-texture or ‘interactive world’ 1996:40) provides a ‘hermeneutical clue in arriving at a balanced, text-centred approach’\(^{19}\) (Fuhr 2008:28; Waajiman 2000:238, 669, 93). Joy’s interpretation as a ‘metaphorical frame of reference’ undergone a profound dynamic transformation. Considering that textual inconsistencies are ‘writery’ attributes (Thisleton 1992:98), the aim is to indicate how the changing face of ‘joy’ was introduced, put together and even left in the text by the editor(s) to note the way Qohelet related personally to God. Qohelet’s apparent cacophony is because of his recognition of different spiritual stages without making a specific stage normative. Taking into account that ‘elimination is also a reduction’ (Cilliers 2008:3), four phases, stages or movements will be distinguished, but can in fact not be separated. These are experimentation, reinterpretation, realignment and recommission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1: Ecclesiastes – Text and translation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecclesiastes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 I praised joy (NAB).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:3 I searched with my mind how to cheer my body with wine—my mind still guiding me with wisdom—and how to lay hold on folly, until I might see what was good for mortals to do under heaven during the few days of their life (NRSV).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10 And whatsoever mine eyes desired I withheld not from myself, in all my labour: and this was my portion of all my labour (King James Bible).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:11 Then I considered all that my hands had done, and the till I had spent in doing it, and again, all was vanity and a chasing after wind, and there was nothing to be gained under the sun (NRSV).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimentation: Joy in things


This new view brought no happiness though (Ec 2:11), and Qohelet encountered (through wisdom)\(^22\) deformation. He was distressed, filled with sadness and overcome by frustration (2:12–23). As Fuhr (2008:14, 15) and Fox (1999:113) state, ‘joy is commended throughout the book (Ec 2:24–

---

\(^{16}\)A diachronic perspective illuminates a gradual change or developmental path of a text or a term’s meaning.

\(^{17}\)A Leitwort is a keyword: that recurs significantly in a text, in a continuum of texts, or in a configuration of texts: by following these repetitions, one is able to decipher or grasp a meaning of the text, or at any rate, the meaning will be revealed more strikingly (Alter 1981:33).

\(^{18}\)I recognise that there are additional constructs beyond those dealt with in this article within the text of Qohelet. I will focus on ‘joy’ as Leitwort.

\(^{19}\)While appreciating Loader’s Polar structures (1979), I derived my notion from the spiritual transformation process of deformation and reformation. For more on the death-joy theme follow Sabo (2013).


\(^{21}\)Whynot (1982) is perhaps best known in the world of Qohelet studies for his ‘enjoy life’ motif. According to Gordis (1968), the basic theme and commandment of the book are ‘to enjoy life’. Seow (1997) emphasises the instruction to enjoy life in the midst of dire circumstances. Lee (2005:86, 122) combines the ‘enjoy life’ message, with the fear of God. My look at joy is from the perspective of spiritual transformation.

\(^{22}\)Compare Fuhr (2008:109).
3:12–13; 3:22; 5:18–20 [Heb 17–19]; 8:15; 9:7–9; 11:9), while pleasure is questioned as to its value (follow Ec 2:2–3, 10–11‘). The reason being that ‘joy differs from the pleasures of projection because it requires us to keep in mind the duality of affective life’ (Gay 2001:42). It is as if Qohelet deliberately created tiny holes in the text for a lacy effect. These nuances in the text give us a glimpse of the New Testament deformation spiritus-spiritualis analogously with carnivorabilis. Joy can be corrupt, self-absorbed (rejoice only in a person’s own good) or the perverse (rejoicing in the misfortune of others called ‘schadenfreude’) (Yale Center for Faith and Culture 2016).

As the twisting of embroidery’s thread can result in a lot of fraying and tangling, incompleteness or brokenness can cause ‘tension’ in Qohelet (Morgan 2011). I would qualify the statement: Qohelet’s ‘deformation causes spiritual tension’23 because the ‘inner journey’ is ‘tension-producing’ (Waaïjman 2002:38). This field of tension plays a vital role in spirituality (Waaïjman 2002:362). During the spiritual journey towards authentic existence, the absurd creates a tension necessary to the maturation and authenticity of the religious person (Morgan 2011:15). Tension has a function. It urges Qohelet to move. He develops an urge to further transform as illustrated by his understanding of God.

Reinterpretation: Understanding God anew

Although Qohelet’s journey was deeply transformative, his journey was not a ‘complete, but an unfolding experience’ (Lombaard 2015:3). He was in the practice of pulling the layers away. His layered understanding of joy unfolded because his understanding of God unfolded (Sekine 1999:118). In earlier Jewish thinking, institutionalism (temple) and legalism (Torah) guaranteed God’s rewards or punishment (Sekine 1999:126). Salvation was synonymous with slavish obedience. God was rituals and rules (Perdue 2008:252). Qohelet and his contemporaries, however, we’re asking very different questions than the ones put forth by the Torah devotees. They reflected on the horrors of the Babylonian exile and the series of ‘collective’ losses. Behind Qohelet’s individual experience was the appropriated experience of the whole nation (Fishbane 1998:116). These experiences cultivated a painful awareness that causal rules guarantee nothing (Sekine 1999:126). Torah adherence in order to guarantee self-preservation is vanity. ‘Love for the exclusive self’ is hollow. Joy is rather the ‘willing performance of the commandments and service to God’ that ‘leads to divine inspiration’ (Fishbane 1998:155–158). Qohelet’s perception of God changed too. God is not just rules (toral) and ritual (Lohfink 2003:57; Perdue 2008:253; Sekine 1999:26) or give just rite and ritual. God gives joy (Ec 2:24, 5:313, 9:7, 9:9).

‘Moreover, when God gives someone wealth and possessions, and the ability to enjoy them, to accept their lot and be happy in their toil – it is a gift of God’ (Ec 5:19 NIV). God gives joy he therefore wills joy:

Enjoy life with your wife, whom you love, all the days of this meaningless life that God has given you under the sun – all your meaningless days. For this is your lot in life and in your toilsome labor under the sun. (Ec 9:9)

To be joyful is Divine will. As a result, Qohelet places joy in the core of human life because God, who gives joy, is at the core of human life. He was no longer frozen in a literalist interpretation of the Torah. He became spiritually defrosted.

Realignment: Enjoy with God


God’s name, ‘I am’, indicates that God is not just a God of doing, but also of being. Therefore, he asks of us not to dim or reduce ourselves to just ‘human-doing’ or ‘human-having’, but to ‘human-being’, that is ‘to enjoy’, and sometimes just ‘be’. The transcendent should glisten through the immanent.

Qohelet hitherto experienced reformation. He perceives joy in a new light. The concrete world of everyday life became the basic material for an encounter with God (Brown 2000:14; Waaïjman 2002:14). God incarnated in lekker [Africa’s youngest indigenous language; Afrikaans word for ‘nice’] becomes joy. Joy matters, not just because life is short (Fox 1999:179), death is near (Longman 1998:227–231), and God is...
alive (Murphy 2002:125–126). Joy matters because it is an act that indeed produces internal transformation (Lee 2005:67). The crest of joy is a ‘perpetual birth’ that ‘creates and recreates those who give themselves to it’ (Metz & Jossua 1974:89). It breaks down the dichotomies between matter and spirit and enhances our ability to actualise more potentialities (Liston 1996:121). Joy is a spiritual transformational act. Act joyful and life’s joyful ‘opportunities and internal possibilities’ (Brown 2011:93) unfold. Joy is ‘to open yourself up to all the different experiences that life has to offer’. To act joyfully is to become joyful. Joy becomes an index for transformation – and takes the form of a call to energetic action (Ec 9:10, 11: 4–6) because ‘all is perfectly in its time’ (Ec 3:10–14) (Lohfink 2003:60).

The point is that joy in Qohelet is not just an emotion. Joy is not ‘fragile’ (Metz & Jossua 1974:11). Joy is a conductor of the good, authentic (Liston 1996:36; Lohfink 2003:75) life – not in an instrumentalist way (Lombaard 2012:68) or constructivistic way, but joy as an orientation and a ‘response to God’s activity’ (Niemandt 2016) that recreates, renews and revitalises lives. ‘Joy produces energy. Joy makes us strong’ (Foster 2008:239). Joy moves us and inspires us to move.

Joy is the expression and manifestation of the good life, and the good life cannot be envisioned in its fullness without reference to joy. Joy casts a positive vision of what life is truly for (Crisp 2015:183). Transformation is an invitation to personal reformation in addition to everyday joy. Joy is the ‘crown’ (Crisp 2015:xiv). To enjoy without God is only pleasure. To enjoy with God is joy. Pleasure is situational. Joy is transformational. Qohelet’s joy gave readers a view of a future horizon.

Recommitment: Joymotion (with others)

The above three stages build upon each other. It is, however, important to take note of the fact that Qohelet was still susceptible to deformation. His engagement focused on the Other, but not yet on the other (Sekine 1999:126, 127). ‘God is joy’, but not yet ‘God is (in) you (neighbor)’. Love was ‘love for God’, but not yet ‘love for others’ (Sekine 1999:127). Self-realisation did not yet develop into self-actualisation. Qohelet’s deformation was in the form of his incompleteness. On his journey, he rethought and critiqued earlier thinking while practising ‘bifocal’ spirituality. He interacted ‘fully in the concrete world of his physical and social existence, yet is sustained in it, by a vision of humanity that is still in the making’ (McConville 2013:73). There was admittedly a backtrack. Later in Israel’s history, Qohelet was being read during the Jewish Feast of the Tabernacles (Knauth 2003:28, 30). During acts of joyful hospitality towards rich and poor guests and the festive harmony with others, ‘cultural pudeca’ pointed towards the next phase of spiritual transformation as applied spirituality (Aejmelaues 2003:502; Fishbane 1998:157).

In contrast with Roberts (2013:35) who perceived joy as one of the ‘most obvious emotional manifestations of a well-lived life’, Moschella described joy as ‘developing practices’ of ‘compassion that opens us out to the spacious joy of recognizing our connection to each other, realizing that we are not alone or limited to/by our own resources’ (2015:104, 124). It is an embroidered or embodied awareness of a holy presence and extravagant love (Niemandt 2016). Happiness is thinking of myself; joy is thinking of others. Joy as an aspect of spiritual ‘transformation is at the heart of societal transformation’ (Provan 2011:11). Joy moves us to service, because God incarnated in the sensorial becomes service:

Joy can be generous. Joy can be attuned to the suffering of others (as when, in a period of intense joy, we continue to be mindful of those who grieve). It may be what most distinguishes joy from happiness and why, despite the recent glut of happiness research, our culture still needs careful consideration of joy. (Yale Center for Faith and Culture 2016)

To eat and drink while enjoying is an ‘existential involvement in the God-given sanctification as perceived by the senses’ and ‘reformation at a sensory level’24 (Waaijman 2002:177, 462). By eating and drinking with others, one is ‘born into a new world, which points away from the self’ (Waaijman 2002:176). ‘Physical spaces serve as vital sources of metaphors for the social construction of reality’ (Olivier 2006:5). So, joy is not an emotion. Joy in Qohelet is ‘joy in small things’ in transit to ‘joy is love for others’. In the previous phase, Qohelet became aware that the agency was not with the individual. The initiative was/is with God. Where the dynamic between the parties begins and ends is a mystery. To manage (on) the earth became a mutual endeavour.

Qohelet is a bridge to the New Testament (Sekine 1999:126). Compassion, service and volunteerism are the next level/stage or movement where joy is ‘jo[y]n’ (Steenkamp-Nel 2018b).

Spirituality of joy in Qohelet

The problem of continuity and change is felt acutely in Qohelet. Qohelet is however not an ‘unsystematic thinker’ (Fox 1999:71) who sprouted an ‘odd’ (Crenshaw 1990:28) or ‘disjointed’ text (Sekine 1999:95) that resists a unified interpretation, circumventing the mysteries of God. Qohelet’s search is all about understanding God in a new and fresh way. Stagnation and fixed ideas are excluded from Qohelet’s theology. Keeping in mind that ‘highly gifted redactional craftsmanship’ requires ‘decidedly intellectual, textually vigilant readership’ (Lombaard 2007:356), my volte-face [U-turn] to answer Qohelet’s invite was to ascribe meaning to the subtler ways than has been explicitly noted. The way in which the text’s Brodeur [gold thread embroidery] conveys spiritual transformation is not by labelling change per se, but by employing tone and repetition. Qohelet’s pyrolytic change in tone and approach corresponds to and was influenced by religious frameworks, traditions and practices (Fishbane

---

24 The process by which we inwardly know an interior from signs that have been given from without through our senses is called ‘understanding’ (Waaijman 2002:661). The orientation of the body assists humans to orientate their soul towards the realisation of God.
Constant reinterpretations and reformulations in reaction to new insights followed. There are no clashing religious interpretations of joy. The spiritual transformation in the book is witness to how Qohelet continuously, spiritually adapts within his changing surroundings. The four phases or stages are enough to indicate a movement, a transformative process regarding Qohelet’s spirituality. Qohelet grew from exilic liberation to reformation, from self-preservation to self-criticism (or self-realisation), from a clerical focus to a new everyday spirituality, that is, ‘transformation-in-reformation’ (Waaijman 2000:24, 25; 2002:194, 900).

Qohelet was a pioneer and a catalyst. Spiritual transformation provides a hermeneutic tool with which to interpret the book. Qohelet’s own transformative interpretation is dynamic, contextual and diachronic. He showed how his life had been changed. He became less attracted to his world’s zeitgeist, that is, ‘cui bono’ [self-interest]. His countercultural critique of the mainstream spirituality expressed a lived experience of spiritual transformation from a law abider to a pleasure seeker to a merrymaker. His ‘joy texts’ does not denote ‘individual hedonism’, but was rather an intention to eventually ‘shape societal structures’ (Oeming 2006:43, 44) through individual spiritual transformation. Joy is the force that allows the enjoyer to enter a human-God discourse that brings about spiritual transformation. That is why it is a book of discomfort and feels so post-modern.

Spirituality at this stage in Old Testament spirituality’s development is growing from ‘God is rules’ to ‘God is joy’ on its way to the New Testament ‘God is (in) you’. It travels from legalism through self-indulgence and self-realisation on its way to the New Testament’s self-actualisation (see Figure 1). It weaves the golden thread from ‘love for self’ to ‘love for God’ on its way to ‘love for others’. It glistens through vanity to transcendence. Joy is the stepping-stone, bridge, dynamo or conductor for this movement, leapfrogging the old spiritual infrastructure and choices, challenging and changing the DNA of its timeframe. Qohelet is not about paradox, but about a process. It is not about balance, but about movement, not being just observational, but about a process. It is not about balance, but about movement, not being just observational, but a transformative character.

To avoid essentialism (attention on phases of the whole) spirituality’s constantly changing disposition drives us towards the development of broader scholarship. Hence, I suggest a critical study on spiritual transformation Bible narratives. ‘As various forms of social marginalization and injustice are becoming better understood’ (Moschella 2015:101), it becomes increasingly important to make room for the spiritual transformational approach in biblical hermeneutical approaches on the pulpit and in the pews. Soft attentive voices (in transit) on church board meetings and synods instead of impressive articulated modernistic roars may well carry important insights. Perceptions limited by, and exactly because if their limitedness, because of the spiritual stage or phase it is in, have value. It is indeed because of their limitedness that they have to be heard. Their faint luminescence can throw a light on the stage we are in and the next stage we must move on to.

Conclusion

I offered an overarching framework that holds Qohelet together that was until now absent in the discourse. Qohelet contains aspects of all of the Qohelet theories suggested, but not simultaneously and not in perpetuum. The tension between clashing and complementing religious attitudes in Qohelet reveals that Qohelet’s spirituality is transformational.

My hermeneutical approach of spiritual transformation focused on Qohelet’s dynamic, contextual and diachronic character honouring the reformational motto: sacra scriptura sui ipsius interpres. Joy as an aspect of spiritual transformation is the faintly glistening thread that holds Qohelet together. It is of profound importance because it represents not only a spiritual shift but moreover a commitment to change the attitudes of believers. To come to God in faith is not an arithmetical, instant or result orientated affair. It takes time to grow into God(-likeness). Qohelet as a ‘bridge book’ to the New Testament dispensation shows the (processual) way.

Acknowledgements

Competing interests

The author declares that she has no financial or personal relationships which may have inappropriately influenced her in writing this article.

References


