Conceived spiritualities fostered by the multiple references regarding the communication of the ‘message’ about Jesus as the Son of God in 1 John

Introduction

Devotion to Jesus after his death and resurrection quickly resulted in an ‘unparalleled innovation, a “mutation” or new variant form of exclusive monothelism’ (Hurtado 2003:64). Jesus Christ was associated and included with God in the devotional program of Christian groups. The most conceivable factor to make sense of this, according to Hurtado (2003:65), was the effect of ‘powerful religious experiences in early Christian circles’.

Such experiences struck the recipients as bearing the ‘message’ about Jesus as the Son of God in 1 John. The text of the New Testament communicates numerous encountering statements and claims about what the authors and audience (hearers) had already experienced or were still experiencing (1993:5). See also Johnson (1993:5–8) in his footnotes 3–28. Dunn (1975:195) points out that the early Christian believers claimed to experience both God and Jesus. Luke reports in Acts that Paul experiences Jesus in various visions (18:9; 22:17f.; etc.). Paul declares in Galatians (2:20) that Christ lives in him. Similarly, according to Dunn (1975:195), the Johannine community understood the schism referred to in 1 John 2:18 had already taken place within the Johannine community, with specific reference to the divisions between members, about the identity of Jesus Christ. The author nonetheless uses different semantically related verbs for communicating the ‘message’ (1:5; 3:11) about Jesus’ identity, each one with a particular nuance: through ‘speech, declaring’ [διαλαλέων, 1:2, 3]; ‘proclaiming’ [αναγγέλλων, 1:5]; ‘confessing’ [ὁμολογῶν, 1:9; 2:23, 4:2, 3, 15]; ‘testifying’ [μαρτυρῶν, 1:2; 4:14; 5:6–11] and through ‘writing’ [γράφων, 1:4, 2:1, 7, 8, 12, 13 (2x), 14 (3x), 21, 26; 5:13]. In the last chapter of 1 John (1 Jn 5:5–12), the author’s testimony (in writing) that there is eternal life in Jesus Christ who is the Son of God culminates when he expresses the fundamental testimonies of the Father, the Spirit and the water and blood to endorse this. The intention of this research is to determine conceivable spiritual experiences fostered among those early Christians when this message about Jesus as the Son of God, the Christ, was communicated to them through these activities (declaring, proclaiming, confessing, testifying) and confirmed via the testimonies of the Father, the Spirit and the water and blood.

Unfortunately, the religious experiences labelled and described in the early Christian sources did not really receive recognition in scholarly research until the eighth decade of the previous century. The focus of New Testament research was predominantly on New Testament support or challenge of Christian beliefs. Actually, little attention was paid ‘to the nature and importance of the religious experiences attested’ (Hurtado 2003:65; also Johnson 1998:2). The publications of Dunn (1975) and Gunkel (1979) on religious experiences contributed to growing interests in religious experiences in the early Christian Church.

Hurtado (2003:66) is of the opinion that in order to understand early Christianity adequately, significant attention should be paid to the religious experiences of early Christians. Those experiences constitute a major part of the early Christian character.

1. According to Johnson (1993:4), an ‘impressive amount of religious experience’ can be found in the New Testament writings. For him, the New Testament differs from other ancient religious texts remarkably because of its high frequency of discourse about experience. The texts of the New Testament communicate numerous encountering statements and claims about what the authors and audience (hearers) had already experienced or were still experiencing (1993:5). See also Johnson (1993:5–8) in his footnotes 3–28. Dunn (1975:195) points out that the early Christian believers claimed to experience both God and Jesus. Luke reports in Acts that Paul experiences Jesus in various visions (18:9; 22:17f.; etc.). Paul declares in Galatians (2:20) that Christ lives in him. Similarly, according to the Gospel of John, Jesus promises to realise his dwelling in his disciples after his glorification (Jn 14:18–23). Some experiences are also ascribed specifically to the Spirit (e.g. the glossolalia of Acts, the charismata of 1 Cor 12–14).

2. Schleiermacher (1830) has already conceptualised ‘religious experience’ during the 18th century in his publication Die Christliche Glaube [The Christian Faith]. Here we have to distinguish clearly between ‘religious experience’, ‘Christian experience’ and ‘early Christian experience’. The above discussion is about ‘early Christian experience’. All this is also confirmed by Dole (2016:44).


Gunkel (1979:100) interprets 'Paul’s utterances in light of his own experience'. It was not a matter of reading or even just hearing. For Gunkel (1979), Paul’s:

... experience of the power and depth of his spiritual inspiration ... was driven by the power of the Spirit of Christ. Spirit is the divine, supernatural power, and when Paul asserts that the entire conduct of the Christian is an activity of God’s Spirit, he is saying that there is a power manifest in the Christian life which is divine, that is, absolutely supernatural. (p. 100)

For Paul, ‘this power is “experienced”’ (endured: ψυχεῖν), as are its activities (Gl 3:4)’ (1979:93). Dunn (1975:4) warned against ‘discounting the creative force of religious experience’. For him, we have to acknowledge Paul’s creative power of his own religious experience (1975:4). Almond (1982:166–67) emphasised that powerful religious experiences could have caused the ‘creative transformation of a religious tradition’. For him, such experiences had the potential to generate ‘new interpretations of the tradition’ (1982:168). Thus, the early Christian documents designate that after Jesus’ crucifixion a significant reformulation of his adherents' faith was coupled to their religious experiences (Hurtado 2003:71).5

According to Hurtado, the experiences of the resurrected Jesus in early Christianity had an extraordinarily exalted place for Christians in their devotional lives. The inherited commitment to monotheism that was characteristic of early Christianity helped to shape this devotion to Christ. Hurtado termed this direction ‘binitarian’, rather than a direction towards an apotheosis of Jesus as a new deity. This view of Jesus had to be communicated in a Christian community where differences occurred with regard to Jesus’ identity. This then resulted in an innovative devotional configuration. For these early Christians, their inclusion of their cultic devotion of Christ was the constitution of ‘a new variant form of exclusivist monotheism’ (Hurtado 2003:78).

The experiences of Jesus have led to the following convictions by the author about Jesus’ identity, as noted in 1 John: (1) that a unique relationship exists between the Father and his Son Jesus Christ (2:22–24; 5:9, 10); (2) that the Son of God has been incarnated in the person of Jesus to give believers understanding of God’s love and to experience this divine being (4:9, 10); (3) that the Son of God was revealed for this purpose, to destroy the works of the devil; (4) that the Father and Son are experienced through fellowship when the divine redemptive program – for the sinner, he acts as an advocate on behalf of the sinner by the Father (2:1) (Van der Merwe 2005:444–48); and (6) that Jesus will also come again in eschatological glory (1 Jn 2:28; 3:2; 4:17).

The author uses different Greek verbs to communicate in the past and present (time of writing), in the Johannine community.

the ‘message’ concerning Jesus Christ as the Son of God. The use of these words probably reflects on what happened in the community prior and probably also during the writing of 1 John. The enquiry nonetheless is, ‘what were the conceivable lived experiences6 fostered by the author’s references of his communication’ of the Christian message (1:5; 3:11) concerning Jesus Christ through both his speech and writing?’ In addition to this, a second question can be raised: ‘what were the conceivable lived experiences fostered by the author’s incorporation of the testimonies of the Father, the Spirit and the water and blood (5:5–13) in his defence that Jesus is the Son of God?’

A reply to these questions requires this essay to start looking briefly into the socio-historical circumstances of the community where the messiaship (2:22) and sonship (2:23) of Jesus were disputed. This will create the environment for the understanding of the rest of the essay. Then a survey of the various semantically related Greek verbs, used by the author in communicating the message, will be scrutinised in their immediate literal contexts.

The socio-historical circumstances in the community

1 John has a strong polemical tone and reflects a major historical crisis that powerfully affected Christianity in the Johannine community in which, and for which, 1 John was originally written (see Lieu 1997:5–6, 13–16, 19; Painter 2002:84–86; also Van der Merwe 2009:231–261). This polemical tone, evident in dialectic language in 1 John, undoubtedly characterises the strong declarations about Jesus. This reflects the effect of the crisis, which involved polemics about Jesus. ‘The Johannine Christians then probably sharpened and refined their views of Jesus in response to opposition and controversy’ (Hurtado 2003:350).

Throughout 1 John, the author describes the assertions of the deceivers.8 By studying their claims, a possible construction of it is possible, consisting of true divine knowledge and the outlines of their doctrine and ethics. In this research, I will only focus on the Christological issue due to the acute occurrence of this issue in 1 John 5 and its applicability to the theme of this research.

The confessional formulas that the author brought against them in 1 and 2 John (1 Jn 2:22; 4:2, 3, 5; 5:1, 5, 6, 10, 13; 2 Jn 7) help to understand their basic Christological beliefs.9

6. In this discourse, early Christian spirituality, influenced by Waaqaijan (2002:312) and Schneider (2000:254), is understood as the ‘lived experience’ of the divine, resonating with the faith and conduct of the believer.

7. Verbal communication of the message makes the incantated ‘Word’ experiential.

8. The author refers to them as ἀντίχριστοι (2:18) and ψευδοπροφῆται (4:1). Brown (1995) refers to them as ‘opponents’ (xi), ‘sectarians’ (69, 70), ‘deceivers’ (358–359), ‘adversaries’ (415) and ‘propagandists’ (429). Painter (2002:84) refers to them as ‘schismatics’ and ‘propagandists’.

9. First John emphasises certain doctrines applied by the deceivers. This is necessary to judge whether they are true or false Christians. One symptomatic phrase that highlights certain assessments is ἐν τούτῳ (2:3, 5, 10, 16, 19, 24; 4:2, 6, 13; 5:2). Another configuration is the if-clause: ἐάν (1:26–7; 2:4; 2:7, 15, 24, 29; 3:21; 4:12, 20; 5:15). The subjunctive mood of the verb is also used in these if-clauses. In contrast, in 2:19 the author uses the subordinating conditional if (ἰf) and the indicative mood

5. Jantzen (1989:302) refers to William James, who held the opinion that religious experience is central to religion.

8. The author refers to them as ἀντίχριστοι (2:18) and ψευδοπροφῆται (4:1). Brown (1995) refers to them as ‘opponents’ (xi), ‘sectarians’ (69, 70), ‘deceivers’ (358–359), ‘adversaries’ (415) and ‘propagandists’ (429). Painter (2002:84) refers to them as ‘schismatics’ and ‘propagandists’.

9. First John emphasises certain doctrines applied by the deceivers. This is necessary to judge whether they are true or false Christians. One symptomatic phrase that highlights certain assessments is ἐν τούτῳ (2:3, 5, 10, 16, 19, 24; 4:2, 6, 13; 5:2). Another configuration is the if-clause: ἐάν (1:26–7; 2:4; 2:7, 15, 24, 29; 3:21; 4:12, 20; 5:15). The subjunctive mood of the verb is also used in these if-clauses. In contrast, in 2:19 the author uses the subordinating conditional if (ἰf) and the indicative mood
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The historical Christological focus in 1 John is the intersection of the human and divine natures of Jesus. This intersection is defined according to how the author tends to think his audience believe and confess: (1) Jesus is the ‘word of life’ who was revealed (1:2, 3); (2) ‘Jesus is the Christ’ (2:22, 51); (3) ‘Jesus is the Son of God’ (4:15; 5:5); (4) ‘Jesus Christ has [is] come in the flesh’ (4:1–3; 2:7); (5) ‘the one who came by water and blood, Jesus Christ’ (1 Jn 1:7; 2:1–2; 4:2, 9, 10, 14 [15]; 5:6; see also 3:16); (6) that ‘the Father has sent his Son as the Savior of the world’ (4:9, 10, 14); and (7) that he will come again (ἡ παρουσία του, 2:28; cf. also 3:2; 4:17). These seven creedal formulas reflect the basic Christological doctrines of the opponents. They are further demonstrable from their negations (μὴ ὁ ἄνωτος, 2:2; μὴ ὁ ἄνωτος, 2:23; μὴ ὁ θεολογός, 4:3; 2 Jn 7; μὴ πιστεῖν, 1 Jn 5:10), which occur in all of these references (Van der Merwe 2009:231–261). It is against this background that the lived experiences fostered in witnessing should be understood.

Multiple verbs used by the author to refer to his communication of the message about Jesus

The author uses different semantically related Greek verbs to strengthen the truth and reliability of his communication of the message (1:5; 3:11) concerning Jesus Christ as the Son of God so that the audience could come to faith or strengthen their faith in him through speech, ‘declaring’ [ἀπαγγέλλειν, 1:2, 3], ‘proclaiming’ [αναγγέλλειν, 1:5], ‘confessing’ [ὁμολογεῖν, 1:9; 2:23, 4:2, 3, 15], ‘testifying and witnessing’ [μαρτυρεῖν, 1:2; 4:14; 5:6–11].

Lived experiences were fostered via the author’s communication through references to both speech and writing of the Christian message concerning Jesus Christ as Son of God. Each of these verbs, referring to the communication of the message, will be discussed concisely in connection with their usage in 1 John in relation with the message and lived experiences (spiritualities) attached to each one. At any moment, the audience must bear in mind the tense situation in the community. Everywhere the author refers to his communication of the message, as well as the potential confession of any person, Jesus has been referred to.

From this analysis, two deductions can be made: firstly, the author wants to exemplify his verbal communication concerning the character and identity of Jesus. Through his writing, he wants to declare, confess, proclaim and testify that Jesus is the Christ, the incarnated Son of God. Secondly, his references to his writing also have idiosyncrasies through which lived experiences are fostered in order to affect his audience. This is a topic on its own and is discussed in another essay. The group of verbs, referring to the verbal communication of the author, will now be discussed.

Investigation of the verbs the author uses to communicate the message

The literary text is written with a specific intention of message and specificity of wording and figures of style so that it can evoke truthfulness (faith), convictions (lived experiences) and specific activities (obedience) within the audience. This research will focus on the meaning of the selected and specific located verbs the author uses in communicating the message about the character and identity of Jesus.

Words only have meaning in contexts. Lexical meanings refer to possibilities of meaning words can have and have been used for. This investigation will start with consulting different kinds of dictionaries as a point of departure to determine these possibilities: the lexicons of Danker (2000) and Zodhiates (2000) as well as the semantic dictionary of Louw and Nida (1996). The theological dictionaries of Brown (1995) and Kittel (1978–1981) will also be used where necessary. The decisive factor in the end will be to determine the author’s reason for using a particular verb for his reference for communicating the message. This again will help to determine the conceivable fostered lived experiences fostered by the text. The immediate linguistic and broader literary contexts will facilitate this process of understanding while also considering the theological construct the author had in mind.
with us; and truly our fellowship is with the Father and with his Son Jesus Christ’ (New Revised Standard Version, NRSV).

Westcott made a valuable contribution in his distinction between the meanings of the verbs ἀπαγγέλλειν and ἑωράκαμεν. In his explanation, he started to refer to the verb ἑωράκαμεν [to bring tidings],¹⁶ from which they derive their fundamental meaning. The derivative verb ἀπαγγέλλειν [to announce] conveys ‘a distinct reference to the source or place from which the message comes’ (Westcott 1902:15). Another derivative verb, ἄναγγέλλειν [to report], also carries ‘the additional idea of bringing the tidings up to or back to the person receiving them’ (Westcott 1902:15). Important and to verify this distinction, Westcott points out that this verb ἄναγγέλλειν ‘has in nine cases a personal pronoun (ιμ, ιμα) after it, and in the two remaining places where it occurs (Ac 15:4; 19:18) the persons to whom the announcement is made are placed in clear prominence’ (1902:15). This then implies that in the case of ἀπαγγέλλειν, the origin is most prominent, and in the case of ἄναγγέλλειν, the recipient.

Danker (2000:95) defines ἄπαγγέλλειν as ‘to give an account of something, report (back), announce, tell … to make something known publicly, proclaim (of something) in the present or future’. For Louw and Nida (1996:33,198), it denotes, ‘to announce or inform with possible focus upon the source of information – to tell, to inform’; to announce what must be done – “to order, to command”’. According to Zodhiates (2000:517), it can be translated as, ‘to bring a message from any person or place: (1) To relate, inform, tell what has occurred; (2) To announce, make known, declare, tell what is done or to be done; (3) To bring back word from anyone, report’.

The resemblance of definition between these three dictionaries is that ἀπαγγέλλειν gives account of something that happened in the past, what has occurred. The focal point is the source of information. The NRSV translates it as ‘declare’ (1:2, 3). The author declares what was revealed to them, what they have seen and heard. Through faith the audience will also see and hear the Son of God (the source of revelation). As a result of this experience, they together with the author will then corporately have fellowship (experience) with the Father and his Son Jesus Christ. The noun ‘fellowship’ [κοινωνία] relates semantically closely to the Johannine understanding of ‘abiding’, ‘being in’, ‘being one’ (see Jn 15:14–17; 17:21–23) (Thomas 2006:69–70):

• The author, tradition [ιμ]² and the Father [διαφώνησθι, passive, aorist]³ are the sources of this message. By using the verb ἀπαγγέλλειν [to declare] in combination with the verbs ‘reveal’, ‘seen’ (2x), ‘heard’ and ‘touched’, the author focuses the attention on Jesus’ existence and his reality of being the eternal life, the Son of God, that was experienced in a human body. He was the source of God’s revelation. The repetition of the verbs ‘seen’ [διαφώνησθι, 3x] and ‘reveal’ [διαφώνησθι, 2x] and the use of ‘looked at’ [δεικασθήσθη] stressed the reality of the incarnated Son and also probably the author’s excitement.

• The author’s use of the first person plural (ἀπαγγέλλειμον, 1:3) is to stress both his connection with the tradition (origin and sources) as well as to emphasise the continuity of the declaration of the message of Jesus by himself.

ἀναγγέλλειν [to announce or proclaim]: This verb occurs only once in 1 John (1:5). This is the message we have heard from him and proclaim to you, that God is light and in him there is no darkness at all’ (NRSV). With ἀπαγγέλλειν, opposite to ἀπαγγέλλειν, the recipient is the focus in mind, although the acts remain the same (Westcott 1902:15).

Danker (2000:59) defines this verb as, ‘to carry back information, to report; to provide information, disclose, announce, proclaim, teach’. For Zodihiates (2000:312) it can be translated with, ‘to announce, make known, declare, tell of things done, events; of the Christian doctrine, to declare, show forth, teach’. Louw and Nida (1996:410) describe it as ‘to provide information, with the possible implication of considerable detail – “to announce, to inform, to tell”’.¹⁹

The NRSV correctly translates ἀναγγέλλειν as ‘to announce’ or ‘to proclaim’ (see also Smalley 1989:19 for a thorough discussion). From the immediate literary context, the

¹⁷. The author uses the personal pronoun [first, plural] to include the tradition with whom he has experienced [seen, heard and touched] Jesus.

¹⁸. The divine passive mode has been used to involve the divine in the revelation of Jesus.

¹⁹. Friberg, Friberg and Miller (2000:48) define it as follows: ‘(1) as carrying back news of happenings report, inform (Ac 14:27); (2) generally announce, proclaim, openly declare (Ac 19:18)’.
announcement becomes more personal for the audience. It concerns ‘what one has to tell another’ (Haas, de Jonge & Swellengrebel 1994:22). The communication is about what the author has heard from Jesus about God. The use of ‘message’ sums up what was heard (ἀκηκόαμεν, 1:1, 3, 5) and announced (ἀναγγέλλαν, 1:5). The focus is on the content of the message that has to be communicated to the audience.

By using this particular verb in the plural, the author again claims to stand in line with the proclamation of the Paraclete, who is the continuation of the proclamation of Jesus.21 In the Fourth Gospel, this verb (ἀναγγέλλαν) is used to describe the activities of the Messiah (4:25) as well as the Paraclete (16:13, 14, 15).22 This verse in 1 John links God with Jesus as the light in the Gospel of John (Jn 1:4, 5; 8:1). In this way, the author wants to get it clear to his audience that what he writes stands in continuity with ‘that which was from the beginning’ (Thomas 2006:72) by Jesus and later the Paraclete.

The reference that ‘God is light’ (1:5)23 refers to the character of God.24 The author does not intend to define what God is in his nature (essence) but to provide a foundation for ethics. If God is light, then knowing God will imply to walk ‘in the light’ (1:7, cf. also 2:6; Kruse 2000:62). The focus is on God and the responsibility on the audience.

In the directly following verses (1:6–10), three conditional sentences are similarly introduced (v. 6: ‘If we claim to have fellowship with him …;’ v. 8: ‘If we claim to be without sin …;’ v. 10: ‘If we claim we have not sinned …’) to reflect the author’s understanding of the claims of the deceivers. In doing this, the author both addresses the false teaching of the deceivers and also reveals what he purports by saying that ‘God is light; in him there is no darkness at all’ (1:5; Kruse 2000:62).

Thus, both ἀναγγέλλαν and ἀπαγγέλλαν relate to connect the author’s proclamation with the reality of Jesus’ existence and that the author finds himself within the tradition of the apostles (especially the author of the Gospel of John), Jesus and the Paraclete. This constitutes his proclamation to be true and reliable. The early Christians could have experienced this conviction to strengthen their faith in Jesus as the Son of God, experiencing both the resurrected Jesus and God when they live in the light.

**ὥμολογεῖν** [to confess]: This verb occurs five times (1:9; 2:23, 4:2, 3, 15) in 1 John (see figure 1 on next page). The one reference to ‘confession’ in 1:9 has to do with the forgiveness of sins. The other four references relate semantically closely to one another with regard to ‘agreement’ – to confess that Jesus has come in the flesh (4:2, 3) and is the Son of God (2:23; 4:15).

For Danker (2000), the verb refers:

- to commit oneself to do something for someone, promise, assure;
- to share a common view or be of common mind about a matter, agree; to concede that something is factual or true, grant, admit, confess; to acknowledge something, ordinarily in public, acknowledge, claim, profess, praise. (p. 708)

Zodhiates (2000:3670) defines it as, ‘[t]o be in accord with someone, to promise, followed by the dative and intensive’. For Louw and Nida (1996:1, 708), this verb can be translated with, ‘to acknowledge something, ordinarily in public, acknowledge, claim, profess, praise’.25 From these three lexicon definitions, it is clear that the verb ὥμολογεῖν refers to the author sharing the message with the audience and against the ‘deceivers’ because of his agreement with others, from the tradition, that Jesus is the Son of God and came in the flesh.

Three of the five usages of the verb ὥμολογεῖν occur in the polemical texts in 1 John, where the author uses it in conjunction with the opposite verb ἀρνεῖθαι [to deny]. By using the verb ὥμολογεῖν, he denotes his acceptance and proclamation of a specific anti-heretical thesis, ‘Who is the liar but the one who denies (ἀρνοῦμεν) that Jesus is the Christ? This is the antichrist, the one who denies (ἀρνοῦμεν) the Father and the Son’ (1 Jn 2:22). In the next verse (2:23), he confesses (ὁμολογεῖν), ‘[i]no one who denies the Son has the Father; everyone who confesses the Son has the Father also’.

This didactic style defines and distinguishes afresh the terms γίνοιτος and ἀνίσθητος in the concrete contemporary situation of the community. These arguments, moulded in the conflict with the deceivers, presuppose a specific confessional dialectic use of the two terms ὥμολογεῖν (2:23) and ἀρνεῖθαι (2:22). The verb ὥμολογεῖν expresses the acceptance of a specific Christological understanding, while ἀρνεῖθαι is the contesting of an acknowledged ecclesiastical truth. According to 1 John 4:2 f., the spirits must be tested to discover whether they are from God (cf. 1 Cor 12:3). Only the spirit that affirms the Christological truth that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh is from God. However, the spirit that does not confess Jesus (πνεῦμα ὃ ὥμολογεῖ τὸν Ἰησοῦν) is not from God. These Johannine theses proclaim a solemn kerygomatic statement; they seek to express a specific truth, the only possible relation to Christ (Michel 1981:210).

1 John 4:15 shows that these kerygmatic statements are in no sense to be taken intellectually. Only those who confess that Jesus is the Son of God have true fellowship with God.

---

20 Brown (1995:192–193) asserts that the author uses the phrase ‘the message’ equivalently to ‘the gospel’.

21 For Painter (2002:125), Smalley (1989:19) and Kistemaker (19:241), the use of the plural ‘we’ also refer to the tradition bearers, ‘what we have heard from him’ (ὑπὸ τῶν μεταδότων αὐτῷ εἰσιν). See also 1 John 1:1, 3, where the author uses the plural.

22 All three verbs are used in the future, indicative active mode: ἀναγγέλλω. See also the proclamation of the man healed at the pool of Bethesda (John 5:15).

23 See Painter (2002:126) for an excellent discussion on the linguistic aspects of this verse.

24 Kruse (2000:62) refers to Dodd (1946:107–10) in his reference that both the OT and NT express the character of God in terms of God’s actions, and not his nature. This then infers that the author’s view differs from the pagan Greek view where the opposite occurs. For them, the nature of God’s being rather than his activities is the focal point.

25 For Frijberg et al. (2000:281), it can be translated to ‘say the same thing; (1) as binding a speaker to his word (solemnly) promise, assure (Mt 14:7); (2) as confessing that something is true, admit, agree (He 11:13); (3) in judicial matters make a binding statement, confess, bear witness (Ac 24:14); x; as making a public acknowledgment of allegiance confess, declare, acknowledge (Jn 9:22). The essence coming from these dictionaries is to share something in common’.
In 1 John 2:22 the author defines both people and concepts in a fixed way.26 The author is not seeking to define a specific dogmatic doctrine. For him, a firm formulation of the kerygma overcomes the error of false teaching, in order to bring the opponents to decision and so to proclaim the old truth in a new situation so that its full saving significance is expressed and experienced. In the act of confession the whole truth is attested in individual confessional formulae. Though confession divides and distinguishes, it remains praise and acknowledgment of the identity of Christ. Confessions in the anti-heretical sense are new only in form. In substance, they are still concrete ecclesiastical truths unfolded in firm proclamation (Michel 1981:210).

Translated into English consistently as ‘confession’, the verb ὁμολογεῖν conveys basically the same meaning although used with slightly different nuances in different linguistic contexts, as follows.27 All the confessions in 1 John relate to confessing something about the identity of Jesus, as is evident from Figure 1.

The focus here is on the audience or receivers of the message: πᾶς [adjective] and ὃς [pronoun]. These confessions were vital, because of the dialectic circumstances in the community.

The audience know that according to the Gospel of John, a very close relationship and unity exist between the Father and Son. The Son [λόγος] was with (χρόνος). In 1:1 God; that ‘[i]The only begotten Son, who is in the bosom of the Father, He has declared Hym’ ([1:18, NKJV]),28 ‘for whatever He [the Father] does, the Son also does in like manner’ ([5:23]); that the one ‘who does not honour the Son does not honour the Father’ ([5:23]); ‘I and My Father are one’ ([10:30); ‘No one comes to the Father except through Me’ ([14:6]; ‘If you had known Me, you would have known My Father also’ ([14:7]); ‘Who has seen Me (Jesus) has seen the Father’ ([14:9]; ‘He who hates Me hates My Father also’ ([15:23); and they are in one another ([17:21–23]). Therefore, the author could make the statement in 1 John 2:23 that a denial of the Son implies that that person is without the Father. From all these texts, the Son is identified with the Father; they are one. Therefore, it is suitable and striking that the author uses the verb ὁμολογεῖν to refer to his solidarity with Jesus’ confession that the Father and Son are one.

The verb ‘confess’ is semantically related very closely to the following references in 1 John: ‘having’ ([ἐχειν], ‘knowing’ ([γιγνώσκειν] and ‘is from’ ([ἐκ … ἐστίν].29 The texts in which these verbs occur convey the idea of a personal and intimate experiential relationship with God (Thomas 2006:135). To confess Jesus is to identify oneself with him, accepting and experiencing the salvation constituted through his crucifixion and resurrection. The author then through repetition emphasises that a relationship with the Son is critically indispensable in order to have a relationship with the Father. Thus, an experience of the divine (the Father) is only possible through the Son. Through the Spirit, however, the Father also abides in believers ([3:24; 4:13, cf. Thomas 2006:135–36].

In 4:2, the author informs the audience that their capability to know and to experience their mutual indwelling with God is only viable through the Spirit (cf. Kistemaker 2001:325). When the audience confess Jesus as the Christ who came in the flesh (Kistemaker 2001:325), they will then join the author in experiencing the divine through their confession of Jesus. The verb ὁμολογεῖν indicates an unwavering confession and ‘denotes not mere verbal acknowledgment but an open and forthright declaration of the message as one’s own position’ (Akin 2001:172).

The Spirit generates the audience’s confession as a result of a growing and experiential relationship with Jesus (Thomas 2006:203). The author’s confession of Jesus is now familiar to the audience ([4:2, 3, 15]).26 According to 3:23, the confessions of believers are no mere confirmations of doctrine. Rather, those who confess Jesus participate in the experiences of the Father made available by Jesus. To confess Jesus as the ‘Son of God who was incarnated’ is a summary statement that reflects the various dimensions of the work of Jesus. Hence, the testimony of ‘lived experiences’ through faith and the confession that Jesus is the Son of God confirms God’s indwelling with the believer (also 4:15; cf. Thomas 2006:229).

- Through confessing (acknowledgement) Jesus as incarnated and one with God, the audience will join the author in experiencing the divine through his confession of Jesus.

26See 2 John 7 ff. ([ὁμολογεῖ] in 2 John 1:7 that deceivers who ‘do not confess’ [ὁμολογοῦν] the Christological κήρυγμα ‘have gone out into the world’. The concept ὁμολογεῖν and the way it is utilised in this context, then, carries disrupting power (Michel 1981:210).

27Thomas (2006:202) points out that confession language occurs especially in Christological texts such as John 1:20; 9:22; 12:42; 2 John 2:23; 4:2, 3, 15; 2 John 7.

28The New Kings James Version (NKJV) gives a more literal and accurate translation and is used in all these quotations.

29These verbs can be termed as formulas of immanence (see Malatesta 1978:27; also Schnackenburg 1984:105–109).

30See 2:23: ‘No one who denies the Son has the Father; everyone who confesses the Son has the Father also’.
Confession constitutes experience. The more the audience confesses Jesus, the more they will then experience him (his reality) as human as well as divine.

**μαρτυρεῖν** [to testify]: According to both the Gospel of John and 1 John, the theme of testimony seems to be prominent in the Johannine community. Of all the verbs used by the author, to refer to the communication of the message concerning Jesus Christ as the incarnated Son of God, the verb μαρτυρεῖν has the highest frequency of occurrences in the letter – it occurs 10 times. For Danker (2000:617), this verb can be translated ‘to confirm or attest something on the basis of personal knowledge or belief, bear witness, be a witness; to confirm, bear witness to, declare, confirm’. Louw and Nida (1996:I, 417) define it as ‘to provide information about a person or an event concerning which the speaker has direct knowledge – to witness’. Zodhiates (2000) declares this verb as:

a. [μαρτυρεῖν] to be a witness, to be able or ready to testify, meaning in favour of whom or what one bears testimony (John 3:26; 5:33; 18:37)

b. [μαρτυρεῖν] to bear witness, to testify to the truth of what one has seen, heard, or knows. (p. 3140)

These dictionaries are unanimous that the essence of μαρτυρεῖν seems to be ‘to testify or witness about the truth one has seen, heard or knows’.

**To testify** [μαρτυρεῖν] in the Gospel of John: The Johannine usage of the verb μαρτυρεῖν in both the Gospel and 1 John refers merely to the figure of Jesus as such, to his person and his significance (Strathamann 1978:IV, 497). The Gospel’s usage distinctively portrays by the numerous passages the witness to Jesus. In the Gospel of John, many references about ‘testimony’ occur. The following references refer only to testifying about Jesus, his person and significance: The Baptist ‘testifies about him’ (Jn 1:15); the essence of the Baptist’s witness is, ‘[I] have testified that this is the Son of God’ (1:34). In John 3:11 (also 15:27; 21:24), the discourse of Jesus became the preaching of the Evangelist. The work he was doing and the Father ‘testify to me’ (5:31–39; cf. also 8:13–18; 10:25). ‘When the Advocate comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, the Spirit of truth who comes’ (8:13–18) is to bear witness to him (5:32) or about himself (5:32). Testimonies about the aforementioned took place through the scriptures (5:39), through the Baptist (1:7f., 15, 32, 34; 3:26; 5:33), through God (5:32, 37; 8:18), through the works that the Father causes Jesus to do (5:36; 10:25), and through Jesus himself.

After Jesus’ return to the Father, the witness of the Spirit, who is the Spirit of truth (also referred to as ‘the truth’; Jn 15:26; 1 Jn 5:6), bears witness to the Son of God as the One who gives eternal life (1 Jn 5:5–11). Finally, the witness given by the disciples themselves (Jn 15:27; cf. 1 Jn 4:14) is a confession (Strathamann 1978:IV, 498). Both the authors of John and 1 John declare to have been witnesses in the historical sense, eyewitnesses to the historical Jesus (1 Jn 1:1; 1 Jn 1–3; Strathamann 1978:IV, 497).

**To testify** [μαρτυρεῖν] in 1 John: In 1 John, Jesus is distinctively portrayed by a number of passages that speak about witness to Jesus. In 1 John, the author did not describe any factual history of Jesus nor certain significant events in his life, such as his birth, death or resurrection. Where the author uses the verb testify in 1 John, the entire life of Jesus is presupposed and even accentuated in order to portray his divine identity (1:2; 4:14; 5:5–12).

**Chapter I**: In 1:2, the author writes, ‘And the life was revealed, and we have seen (ἐπισημάζω) it and testify to it and declare to you the eternal life that was with the Father and was revealed to us’. In this verse, the author refers to ‘the life’ (ἡ ζωή) and not ‘life’ (ζωή). He wants to personify the term ‘life’.

---

31. Throughout 1 John there are 10 references to testifying (μαρτυρεῖν, 1:2; 4:14; 5:5, 7, 9 [3x], 10 [2x], 11).


33. John 19:34–35: ‘… one of the soldiers pierced his side with a spear, and at once blood and water came out. (He who saw this has testified so that you also may believe. His testimony is true, and he knows that he tells the truth).’

This witness is not concerned with the factuality of Jesus’ history, although this is assumed and even accentuated (Jn 15:27; 21:24; see also 3:11 and 1 Jn 1:2; 4:14); neither is it a witness regarding certain important events in the Jesus narrative, like his birth, death or resurrection. The witness is merely to ‘the nature and significance of His person’ (Strathamann 1978:IV, 498). It is a μαρτυρεῖν περὶ αὐτοῦ (Jn 1:15); see also Jesus’ references περὶ ζωῆς or περὶ ἑαυτοῦ (Jn 5:31–39; 8:13–18; 10:25; 15:26). The content and quintessence of this witness is ὁτί ὁ λόγος ἐστιν ὁ νόος τοῦ θεοῦ (Jn 1:14). This is a reference to his eternity (also cf. Jn 1:15). Jesus, numerous, also refers to ὁ πατὴρ με ἀπέστη (Jn 5:36 ὁ; also cf. 1 Jn 4:14). He is the incarnate Word (Jn 1:14), for he came from heaven. When he talks about God he bears witness to what he has seen and heard from God (cf. 3:32; cf. also 3:11). He is the truth (14:6), and thus to bear witness to it (5:33; 18:37) is to bear witness to him (5:32) or about himself (5:32). Testimonies about the aforementioned took place through the scriptures (5:39), through the Baptist (1:7f., 15, 32, 34; 3:26; 5:33), through God (5:32, 37; 8:18), through the works that the Father causes Jesus to do (5:36; 10:25), and through Jesus himself.

---

34. Tritus (1978:1049) refers to three features that testimonies and witnesses in antiquity should have adhered to: (1) Witnesses are keenly involved in those things they endeavour to render. They cannot but speak to what they have experienced. (2) Witnesses are held accountable for the correctness of their testimonies. Perjury was regarded as a serious offence and heavily punishable. Four times Paul declares, ‘God is my witness’. Preachers and Bible writers were driven back to the scriptures whereby their witness is to be judged. (3) The witnesses had to be trustworthy to both the bare facts of the Christ event and their meaning. This implies the presentation of Jesus as the Son of God, ‘fully rounded, finely balanced, many sided yet unitary.’

35. See also 1 John 4:14, ὅτι ὁ πατὴρ ἀπέστη γεγονός των νόμων συμβαίνει τοῦ κόσμου ἥτις ἐμφανίσατο ἐν οἴνῳ ἐν παραπόνισται ἐν ὁμοιότητι τοῦ γεγονός τοῦ κόσμου ἐστιν.

36. In John 19:35, the author states the objective of his testimony, ‘that you also may believe’.

37. See also 1:1, τοῦ λόγου τῆς ζωῆς, and 1:2, τὴν ζωὴν τὴν αἰώνιον. In both cases, the definite article has been used.
This verse certainly would have recalled among the audience both John 1:4 (‘In Him was life, and the life was the light of men’; NKJV; also 5:19–29) to link it with the personification of life and John 1:14 (‘And the Word became flesh and lived among us, and we have seen his glory’), to link it with the verb ‘revealed’, which occurs twice in 1 John 1:2. This reference and connection to Jesus as ‘Life’ could have recalled the words and content of 1 John 4:9, 10, 14.\footnote{41}

The author certainly claims to have been a witness in the historical sense, that is, an eyewitness to the historical Jesus (1 Jn 1:1–4).\footnote{42} It is disclosed to and experienced only by the believer (1 Jn 5:9f); nor is it disclosed merely to believers who were eyewitnesses in the historical sense but to all believers.\footnote{43} Therefore, when the first audience read 1:1–3, they could have recalled and envisaged (reference to ‘eyes’, τοῖς ὠφθαλμοῖς 1:1) the entire life of Jesus as portrayed in the Gospel, namely, his baptism, discourses, miracles, crucifixion and resurrection (the author uses the verb ἔφθασαν three times). The verbs ‘revealed’ (2x), ‘have seen’ (3x), ‘have heard’ (2x) and ‘testify’ relate closely and are experiential language and activities. The verb ‘revealed’ (ἔφθασαν) recalled the incarnation of the Son of God referred to in 1 John 1:14. The literary context of the verb ‘seen’ also carries the meaning of physical sight and experience.

According to Thomas (2006:67), the author’s testimony in 1:2 labels himself (the author) with those who faithfully identify themselves with Jesus and his accomplishments. The present tense in which the verb is used implies that the testimony of the author (and those in the tradition, μαρτυροῦμεν) indicates in this context that their (plural) testimony, an ongoing activity, is still about Jesus (Thomas 2006:68). This testimony is based on what they have seen, heard and touched (1:1–4) and legitimises the truth of the testimony of the author.

**Chapter 4:** In 4:14 the author writes, ‘ανδ we have seen [τοιοῦτο] and do testify that the Father has sent his Son as the Savior of the world’. The core of this verse is equivalent to the previous verse (1:2) except that the verb τοιοῦτο

\[\text{[we have seen] is used instead of ἔφθασαν. In this context, the verb ‘seen’ [τοιοῦτο] relates to interpretation and understanding: to perceive that Jesus is the Son, the Saviour of the world.} \]

The recurrence of the verb ‘see’ (τοιοῦτο) recalls the previous occurrences\footnote{44} of this verb in the Gospel of John (1:14; see his ‘glory’\footnote{45} and 1 John 1:1 (see him as the ‘word of life’)). Even the reference to Jesus the Son as ‘the Savior of the world’ is also peculiar here. Kruse (2000:164) points out that this reference to Jesus (σωτήρ τοῦ κόσμου) is found only twice in the New Testament, here and in John 4:42. This would have intensified the imagination and experience of the audience. In this context then, to ‘testify’ emphasises the verb ‘to see’ (τοιοῦτο), even though the author has stated in 4:12 that ‘[n]o one has ever seen God’, but ‘we have now seen his Son as the Saviour and consequently ‘seen’ (experienced) the Father (Jn 14:9). It is possible that the ‘visible’ sight (on the part of eyewitnesses) and belief in the God who sent his Son converge into an integrated ‘vision’ and ‘experience’ of God’s loving act of salvation (Thomas 2006:227).

The verb μαρτυροῦμεν [we testify or witness] is used in the present tense and indicative mood to refer to an ongoing activity (cf. Smalley 1989:252). Therefore, the audience knew that witnessing is an ongoing activity in the community dealing with their relationship with the Father, which is enabled by the Spirit through Jesus. Thus, testifying is a result of the experiencing of God in the community. Through testimony, God is experienced by both the one who testifies and by those who hear it. In this context, then, the author indicates that his testimony (singular) is an ongoing activity about Jesus that is based on what he has seen. The reference to seeing legitimises the truth of the testimony of the author.
Chapter 5: The highest frequency of the verb μαρτυρεῖν (5:6, 7, 9, 10) and noun μαρτυρία (5:8, 9 [bis], 10 [bis], 11) occurs in Chapter 5. One reference refers to the testimony of people (5:9). There is reference to the testimony of ‘water’, ‘blood’ and the Spirit. The rest (six occurrences) refer to the testimony of God. Twice the author refers to the ‘witness of God’ [ἡ μαρτυρία τοῦ θεοῦ, 5:9] and twice that God ‘witnesses’ [μαρτυρώμενος].

The author refers firstly to the Spirit in the list of witnesses (5:6). Strathmann (1978:IV, 500) argues that according to the context, this witness of the Spirit resonates with the witness of God, referred to in 5:9–11. The idiomatic phrase in 5:10a (‘He who believes in the Son of God has the testimony in himself’) shows that this witness of the Spirit is the testimonium spiritus sancti internum (Strathmann 1978:IV, 500), in virtue of which mankind may be sure of the content of the divine μαρτυρία.

In 5:7–8, the author adds two more witnesses who witness with the Spirit: the water and blood. Reference to the testimony of the ‘Spirit’ is mentioned first because the Spirit testifies through the water and blood (as in 5:6; see Smalley 1989–281). A number of scholars are unanimous that the explicit reference of witness of the water and blood denotes the historical events surrounding the humanity and ministry of Jesus and the salvific character of Jesus (cf. Smalley 1989–280). It signifies the public life of Jesus, starting with his baptism (water) until his crucifixion (blood). The Spirit who descended on Jesus at his baptism (Jn 1:32) was a witness to the water and blood. Jesus gave this Spirit also to his disciples after his resurrection (Jn 20:22; cf. 7:39) to empower them for their mission (Jn 20:22; cf. 15:26–27; Smalley 1989–282). The audience knew this because already in John 15:26 Jesus said, ‘When the Advocate comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, the Spirit of truth who comes from the Father, he will testify on my behalf’. This similarity would not be missed by the audience. Believers continuously have fresh experiences of the Spirit, especially in their participation in the sacraments. The testimonies (witness) of the divine and the ‘water and blood’ are necessary to confirm that the author’s testimony is true and that God is involved in the mission of Jesus.

The lived experience of Jesus as the Son of God by the believers is further strengthened and fostered by the clause, ‘has the testimony in himself’ (ἐὰν εἰσὶν, 5:10). For Akin (2001:201), this clause suggests that the author is referring here to ‘the inner testimony of the Spirit in the heart of the believer’.

Smalley (1989:285–286) asserts that the internal witness of the Spirit of God shows believers that this “internal testimony” (of the Spirit) balances and complements the external and historical witness of the “water and blood”, the baptism and death of Jesus.

In 5:9, the author introduces the ‘testimony of God’. The testimony concept in 1 John culminates in this paragraph. As already pointed out, twice the author refers to God’s testimony and twice that God testifies. From 5:11, the author gives content to the ‘witness of God’. He introduces the verse with Kai ὁ θεός ἔχει γνῶσιν ἑαυτοῦ [And this is the witness], a formula familiar to the audience. Thus, both the authority and approval of God have labelled the truth of the message concerning Jesus Christ (Akin 2001:200). This formula points to the clause ‘that God gave us eternal life’. Thus, God who ‘testifies’ is the one who gave ‘eternal life’ (Thomas 2006:260). In the prologue of 1 John, the author refers to Jesus as ‘that eternal life’ (1:2). He ends 1 John by describing eternal life as knowing Jesus, who is true, and being in him who is true (5:20). The believer’s experience of living in the light (truth) a life of love and rightousness reveals divine characteristics, as well as of eternal life, and subsequently proves that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God.

Here the author uses ‘eternal life’ analogously to how Jesus uses it in John 17:3: ‘And this is eternal life, that they may know (γνῶσιν) you, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom you have sent’. This refers to a quality of life emanating from having true fellowship with God and his Son, who are both light, righteous, truth and love. This starts with a person’s conversion from ‘death’ to ‘life’ (cf. 1 Jn 3:14–15). It involves knowing God and Jesus, where the verb ‘knowing’ involves a close, personal and intimate experiential relationship with the divine (cf. Thomas 2006:262; Strecker 1996:195). For Köstenberger (2004:488) ‘to know’ God does not denote cognitive knowledge (the Greek perception); it rather denotes living in fellowship with God. Through fellowship with God, God becomes known. This implies a certain quality of life (cf. Smalley 1989:287). These early Christians experienced God’s testimony regarding Jesus as the Son of God when they loved one another, lived in the light and lived in righteousness.

This section also, as the previous two (1:2; 4:14), emphasises the continuity of witnessing. The present participle mood of the verb (present active indicative in 1:2 and 4:14) indicates that the witness of these three is an ongoing and continuous event. It is still happening. It is in accord with the Fourth Gospel, ‘where the act of believing is grounded on the witness or testimony of others’ (Thomas 2004:254). The object is also Jesus. The difference between this text and the other two (1 Jn 1:2; 4:14) is that here the subject of testifying is different. God, the Spirit and the water and blood are testifying, and not the author. The high frequency of ‘testifying’ in this pericope verifies that continuous testimonies validate more and more
the reality, truth and assurance of the content of these testimonies. For those who persevere in testifying, the more the content becomes real and experiential, as was the case with the author. The testimony of the author culminates in 5:13: ‘I write these things to you who believe in the name of the Son of God, so that you may know [experience] that you have eternal life’.

Conclusion

This research pointed out how the author of 1 John decisively utilised four different verbs, ‘declaring’, ‘proclaiming’, ‘confessing’ and ‘testifying’, to emphasise and to convince his audience from different perspectives and probably also to foster different lived experiences, to believe that Jesus is the incarnated Son of God. Analogous to this modus operandi the author simultaneously opposed the deceivers who had a disturbed view of his confession regarding the identity and character of Jesus. I am convinced that the author purposefully used these four verbs to foster certain lived experiences (affects) to achieve this objective (effect) – to believe him and not the deceivers that Jesus is the incarnated Son of God. 1 John 5:13 summarises this: ‘These things I have written to you who believe in the name of the Son of God, that [finally] you may know that you have eternal life, and that you may continue to believe in the name of the Son of God’.

By using the plural (ἀπαγγέλλομεν, ἀναγγέλλομεν, μαρτυροῦμεν) in Chapter 1 the author introduces himself to be in line with the message of the tradition. When he twice uses the verb ‘declaring’ (ἀναγγέλλων; 1:2, 3) it was to foster lived experiences of the entire ministry of Jesus, the reality of the existence of the Son of God incarnated as Jesus. Then, the author uses ‘proclaiming’ (προclaimer; 1:5) to foster lived experiences of the truthfulness of God, who is said to be ‘light’. He connects God with Jesus, who is also portrayed in the Gospel of John as light. The proclamation of the author fosters a lived experience of the unity between God and Jesus Christ his Son (1:5, 7). This is crucial for the forgiveness of sin (1:9, 2:2). The author uses the verb ‘confessing’ (μαρτυρῶν; 1:9; 2:23, 4:2, 3, 15) only in the singular. Except for its use in 1 John 1:9 the verb occurs in heretical contexts, where this verb should foster lived experiences, where the audience upon hearing this message is put to a test of choice. If they accept the author’s confession about Jesus they will experience God’s Empowering Presence: The Holy Spirit, Eerdmans, Grand Rapids, MI.

meaning and ‘lived experiences’ into coherent thoughts and ideas. These thoughts and ideas are then recreated in the minds of the audience, such that the ultimate goal is the embodiment of the words as they become ‘lived experiences’ of the divine that could transform their lives.
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