INTRODUCTION

Section 16 of the Constitution of South Africa protects the individual’s right to freedom of expression. At the same time, Section 28 of the same Constitution states that the best interests of a child are of primary importance in every matter affecting the child. Yet, within the context of pornography, the social reality of South African society has created a situation in which, it will be argued, these two rights cannot mutually co-exist.

This article will look at how pornographic media is available to under-aged users, specifically the already marginalised under-aged sector of the South African population. It will argue that the availability of pornography is just one more way in which the systemic discrimination against this section of the population is taking place. This will be done by looking at theoretical, non-experimental and clinical evidence illustrating the negative impact that pornography exposure has on children and by exploring the social reality of South Africa in order to argue that it is a situation that leaves children even more vulnerable. The legislation relating to this debate will also be explored, in order to argue that those broadcasting and/or selling pornography are contravening some very fundamental South African laws. Lastly, the role of faith-based organisations (FBOs) in this issue will be discussed by looking at how FBOs can get involved effectively in addressing this important and worrying issue.

A strong emphasis will be put on pornographic films and advertisements that are screened on free-to-air television, as these are the pornographic sources that are most easily accessible and are completely free. Yet the article will also look at pornography available on the internet and via cellphone downloads.

Defining pornography

Pornography is difficult to define (Malamuth et al. 2000:27–28; Fisher & Barak 2001:313; Nelson 1982:172; Benedek & Brown 1999:237). The reason for this difficulty is the moral and legal implications that stem from a definition. If certain material is labelled as pornographic due to a certain definition of pornography, the definition thus has moral and/or legal implications (Allen et al. 1995a:277).

The word itself comes from the Greek word pornographos which means ‘the depiction of prostitutes, describing the life, manners, and customs of harlots and their patrons’ (Nelson 1982:172). Within this
article, the definition of Allen et al. (1995a:259) will be used, who define pornography as ‘media material used or intended to increase sexual arousal. Such material generally has verbal or visual images of exposed sexual organs and depictions of sexual behaviours.’ This definition will be used as it is functional, receiver-orientated and meant for scientific research purposes (Allen et al. 1995a:259). Thus, though it is deficient for policy or judicial purposes, it is functional for research, as it gives a set of definitional limitations (Allen et al. 1995a:277).

A confusing debate

Much research has been done on the effects of pornography. Yet the results have been contradictory, debatable and confusing. Scholars read the same evidence and interpret it in different and very often contradictory ways (Thompson et al. 1990:74). In the words of Allen et al. (1995b:5) ‘the controversy becomes more tangled and confusing despite the growing body of empirical efforts to establish what effects of exposure to pornography, if any, exist’. Thus within the scientific establishment there is no consensus about the effects of exposure to pornography (Allen et al. 1995a:259). This lack of consensus is not only present in research on the effects of pornography. Studies on the effects of media in general are delivering the same inconclusive, contradictory, contested results (Boyle 2000:187).

Yet this does not necessarily mean that media in general, and pornography specifically, has no effect. According to Boyle (2000) it rather means that research into the effects of media has consistently taken the wrong approach. In trying to provide scientific evidence that proves the negative effects of pornography, researchers have unwittingly provided more ammunition for arguing for the ‘no-effect’. As each study provides evidence that can be criticised, the validity of the argument becomes weaker and weaker.

Because science has no way to answer the question, predictably the search for causation and the use of science leads most everyone to conclude that we just don’t know enough to say for sure. But a shift in emphasis and method offers a way to state not The Truth (or conclude that we don’t yet know The Truth), but a way to tell true stories and begin to make trustworthy moral and political decisions.

(Jensen in Boyle 2000:187–188)

Therefore, this article will attempt to avoid the traditional debate. It will not attempt to prove empirically that pornography leads to deviant sexual behaviour, nor will it try to prove the opposite. Rather, it will look at pornography specifically as it relates to the plight of children in a particular social and societal context. In the process, it will attempt to give an indication of what decisions will be trustworthy moral and political decisions.

PORNOGRAPHY AND CHILDREN

The fact that people perceive pornography as being harmful to children is revealed by the fact that most countries forbid the selling of pornography to children. This is also the case in South Africa. A number of laws are in place to prevent children from being exposed to pornography.

For obvious reasons, clinical studies on the effects of pornography on children cannot be extensive. Ethical considerations keep researchers from purposely exposing children to pornography in order to study its effects on them (Benedek & Brown 1999:237). Moreover, most parents would refuse to allow their children to participate in such a study and using children in a study without their parents’ consent is unethical (Thornburgh & Lin 2000:144).

For example, The Businesses Act, 71 of 1991, prohibits adult premises from even allowing those under 18 years of age onto their premises. The Act sets out what a business must do in order to prevent those younger than 18 years from seeing and/or buying/accessing their wares (South African Government 1990b).

There is growing demand to protect children from sexual exploitation as well as from exposure to illicit material … It is important that we should try to accumulate as much information as possible about the crime of child pornography, the threat of technology to children as well as the exposure of pornography to children. We must not delude ourselves: staggering numbers of children in South Africa are at risk of or are being exposed to illicit material …

(Gigaba 2008)

Yet even if one assumes that exposure to pornography is harmful to children – as most people do – if no clinical studies can be done, how does one know what these effects are? Certain theories explore and predict how pornography exposure can affect a child. Also, non-experimental studies and clinical observation also give us some clues about how pornography affects children.

Child-development theory

Theories on child-development show that all children (within a normal environment) go through predictable phases of sexual development10. Certain events and/or experiences – such as viewing pornography – can disturb this process of sexual development. Viewing pornography can disturb – even accelerate – a child’s appropriate, normal sexual development (Benedek & Brown 1999:237–238).

Child-development theory also highlights the fact that the effects of exposure to any phenomenon are to a large extent influenced by the individual child’s stage of development (Benedek & Brown 1999:237). The individual’s processing ability stands in direct relation to his or her age (Emmers-Sommer & Allen 1999:490). The effects of exposure to pornography will thus be largely determined by the child’s stage of development.11 Exposure to phenomena that are not age-appropriate can lead to, for example, sleep disturbance, nightmares [and] regressive behaviour, amongst other things (Benedek & Brown 1999:237).

This links with what Kubey (1996) has to say on the role of first or early sexual gratifications in the development of sexual behavioural patterns. Early literature on sexual behaviour indicates a strong link between the specific and particular ways in which the individual’s first or early sexual gratifications were obtained and the object or means of that gratification. What this means is that

[If one’s primary means of sexual gratification at an early and impressionable age is via a particular technique or a particular object of desire, then there may be a kind of fixation on that technique and/or object.]

(Kubey 1996:29)

A child’s brain is programmed for sexual orientation during certain critical periods in childhood. Exposure to healthy sexuality and sexual norms can result in a healthy sexual development12.

10These phases are, (1) the pleasurable sensations from infant-mother contact, (2) differentiation between self and others and appreciation of own genitals, (3) incorporation of own sexual parts into a body concept, (4) limited exhibitionism, (5) expansion of erotic interests and (6) integration of genitals and genital function within self-concept (Benedek & Brown 1999:237).

11This finding is supported by a study done by Cantor et al (in Greenfield 2004). Over 200 college students were asked to relate an instance where sexual media content had a strong effect on them. Almost 85 per cent reported that it was a movie that they were - according to the movie’s rating - actually too young to see (Greenfield 2004:746).

12For example, The businessman or, 71 of 1991, prohibits adult premises from even allowing those under 18 years of age onto their premises. The Act sets out what a business must do in order to prevent those younger than 18 years from seeing and/or buying/accessing their wares (South African Government 1990b).

13These laws will be discussed later in the article.
orientation, while exposure to pornography can lead to certain deviant behaviour becoming imprinted (Chetty & Basson 2006:12). Most sexual and pornography addictions are formed in middle childhood or early adolescence (Cline 2001:16).Thus, the viewing of pornography at too young an age may lead to the individual becoming fixated on either pornography as the means of sexual gratification, or on the specific type of sexual activity portrayed as the chosen means of sexual gratification.

Modelling theory

Modelling theory is based on the premise that observation creates acquisition. One need not necessarily directl...
for distinguishing what is real from what is fictional, as our evolutionary environment did not pressurise us to develop such mechanisms. Storytellers told fictional tales, but it was easy to discriminate between story and reality. But now advanced, refined technology is telling the stories and it is not so easy anymore. That is why mass media has such a decided influence (Malamuth & Impett 2001:274–275).

SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Most people (and also the South African government) seem to agree that exposure to pornography has a negative influence on children, or at least that children should not be exposed to pornography.

Yet pornographic film is being shown on South African free-to-air television.17 According to the Code of the Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa (BCCSA), these films must be broadcast within the watershed period18 – the period between 21:00 and 05:00 – and progressively more adult content is allowed with the advance of the watershed period (Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa 2003)19. Broadcasters must assist the audience in choosing programmes by, for example, preceding it with guidelines as to appropriate age and content (Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa 2003).

Yet placing an age restriction on a film when it is screened on television is not preventing South African children from viewing these films. ‘XX’ and ‘X18’ films are according to South African law not allowed on television. But the broadcaster itself can determine what the rating and age restriction of a film is. While the Film and Publications Act instated a board that takes responsibility for rating films, broadcasters do not have to adhere to these regulations.

[A broadcaster] shall be exempt from the duty to apply for a classification of a film and… shall in relation to the exhibition of a film not be subject to any classification made by the Board or any condition imposed in relation to the exhibition of the film by the Board… (South Africa 1996b)

Thus a broadcaster can decide on its own ratings.

South Africa has experienced a 91.3 per cent growth in internet users in the period between 2000 and 2008 (internetworldstats 2009). Currently, South Africa is fourth in the Africa Top 10 Internet Countries rating, with an estimated 4.6 million internet users (internetworldstats 2009). If one types in ‘free porn’ on Google, the most popular search engine in South Africa, one gets 53 300 000 hits.20 Pornographic sites can be entered at the click of a button and pornography can be viewed at no cost. There is also no-one checking whether the person who is viewing the pornography is actually 18 years or older.

Companies advertise pornographic pictures, which are sent to an individual’s phone for as low as R5. Anyone can sms these pictures, and available on the internet is violating a number of their activities, their children’s activities, then the socialisation process is (to say the least) undergoing some changes.

There are many households in South Africa where adequate parental guidance and influence are not present. Benedek and Brown (1999:239) highlight the disastrous consequences of this fact by stating that the children who are already most vulnerable within society – namely, children in single-parent homes, without parents, with mental and/or emotional problems, in dysfunctional families, and/or children who are mentally challenged or have been abused – are the children who are most at risk when it comes to pornography.

For broadcasters and companies to hold parents solely responsible for keeping children away from pornography is tantamount to ignoring the social reality of South Africa. South Africa is a country where 0.7 per cent (118 500 children) of all children under 17 years live in a child-headed household (Centre for Applied Legal Studies 2008). In South Africa, there are an estimated 2.5 million orphans (United Nations Children’s Fund 2008). In 1998, 22 per cent of all South African households were single-parent households (Single Parent Centre 2008). This results in arguably millions of children who are not properly supervised.

Thus we have a society where there are millions of children who do not have parents or parental figures who can educate, discipline and police their television, cell phone and internet habits. Furthermore we are a society afraid of, and hesitant to talk about, sex and sexual matters. If one is aware that in many homes, adequate parental control is not present and that pornography is easily accessed by children due to a broadcaster’s or business’s actions and if one is aware that pornography is harmful to children, one can argue that such a broadcaster or business is not honouring its duty to be socially responsible, as the broadcaster or business is wilfully creating a situation in which children are exposed to pornography.

POLICY AND LEGISLATION

It can be argued that broadcasters and companies broadcasting or selling pornography are not only being socially irresponsible, but that they are also contravening the law. A number of South African laws either refer to the exposure of children to pornography or are applicable to the situation. These will now be discussed.


The South African Bill of Rights includes a special section – Section 28 – dedicated to the rights of the child. The Constitution recognises children as being among the most vulnerable members of society and thus in need of special protection.

Section 28 states that a ‘child’s best interests are of paramount importance in every matter concerning the child’ (South Africa 1996a). Insofar as this clause is concerned, it can be argued that pornography on free-to-air television, advertised by companies selling it via cellphones, and available on the internet is violating the South African Constitution.

17 South Africa currently has four television stations that are available without a satellite dish or decoder. There are three state-owned South African Broadcasting Corporation (SABC) channels and a R225 p/a license fee is applicable to these. The privately owned e.tv is the channel which currently broadcasts the most pornographic film and advertisements.

18 Within the watershed period all programmes which contain scenes of violence, sexually explicit conduct and/or offensive language which is meant for adult audiences must be broadcast. Such programming is not allowed outside of the watershed period (Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa 2003).

19 For example, a film that may not be broadcast at 21:00 due to its content can be broadcast at 06:00.

20 This was the number of hits on 16 July 2010.
Children are seeing the pornography on free-to-air television, on the internet and on cellphones. It can be due to inadequate parental supervision, absence of parents or guardians, by a deliberate violation of rules, or by accident.

Television pornography and cellular pornography are meant for adult viewers. It can be argued that adults should not be prohibited from seeing such films or seeing the advertisements to buy it simply because children are then also exposed to it, because children are not supposed to watch it or buy it. But the Constitution demands that the ‘child’s best interest is of paramount importance in every matter concerning the child’. Pornography has negative effects on children. It is in their best interest that they are not exposed to pornography and that pornography be removed from television and advertisements. The child’s right not to be exposed to pornography should be of more importance than the adult’s right to pornography on free-to-air television stations and in advertisements. Adults can still access pornography in other ways, should they wish to.

**THE CODE OF THE BROADCASTING COMPLAINTS COMMISSION**

It is argued by broadcasters that the pornographic films and advertisements televised at the moment in South Africa do not contravene the Code of the BCCSA. The Code has prescriptions regarding the screening time and content that is allowable. Yet the BCCSA’s Code itself recognises that it will not provide regulations for best practices in every context. In Section 12, it is stated that ‘The Code is therefore not a complete guide to good practice in every situation’. Nor is it necessarily the last word on the matters to which it refers. Views and attitudes change, and any prescriptions for what is required of those who make and provide programmes may be incomplete and may sooner or later become outdated. The Code is subject to interpretation in the light of changing circumstances, and in some matters it may be necessary, from time to time, to introduce fresh requirements.

(Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa 2003, emphasis added)

Not violating the BCCSA’s Code thus does not mean that a broadcaster is actually engaging in best practices. The Code itself realises and recognises this. Thus arguing that scheduled programming is in accordance with the prescriptions of the Code does not mean that one is engaging in socially responsible programming. Children are viewing the pornographic films and images due to the South African social reality and it can be argued that the ‘changing circumstances’ of which the Code speaks is now present and that ‘fresh requirements’ should be introduced.

21 A study targeting learners between the ages of 13 and 17 in schools in Cape Town, Johannesburg and Durban showed that 64% have seen pornographic images while on the internet and 70% have come across pornographic sites while on the internet. Also, 67% have seen one or more pornographic films, usually on DVD and 81% have seen pornographic images on a cellphone (Chetty & Basson 2006:15–25).

22 The case of Dial-A-Porn in the United States of America is an example where the government chose the child’s right not to be exposed to pornography over the right of the adult to pornography. Dial-A-Porn telephone numbers – which the individual can call in order to have a highly sexual conversation – were being dialed by children. Some of them acted out what they heard, and some children became addicted to phoning Dial-A-Porn. Due to this, Congress passed legislation that prohibits obscene Dial-A-Porn messages and which restricts access to indecent messages (Cline 2001:10–11).

23 When protesters marched on the e.tv offices in 2007 and 2008, protesting against the pornographic films broadcasted by the station, e.tv’s regulatory affairs executive officer, Otfiete Bop Tshweu, had the following to say: ‘We submit that in broadcasting that the time slot for these films is appropriate, the warnings are adequate, the content does not breach the code and there is no evidence that these films contribute to sexual crimes (emphasis added)’ (Citizen Reporter 2007). Head of publicity, Vasi Vass, stated that ‘e.tv prescribes to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission of S.A’s code of conduct, which is sanctioned by Icasa, therefore whatever e.tv broadcasts must be lawful’ (Tissen 2008).


The Sexual Offences Act was passed in 2007. The Act was passed in order to have legislation in place that can address the sexual violence that is rife in South Africa. The Act has specific clauses which address the problem of children being exposed to pornography. Section 19 of this Act is particularly relevant in this regard and states that:

A person (‘A’) who unlawfully and intentionally exposes or displays or causes the exposure or display of –

(a) any image, publication, depiction, description or sequence of child pornography or pornography

(b) any image, publication, depiction, description or sequence containing a visual presentation, description or representation of a sexual nature of a child, which may be disturbing or harmful to, or age-inappropriate for children, as contemplated in the Films and Publications Act, 1996 (Act No. 65 of 1996), or in terms of any other legislation or

(c) any image, publication, depiction, description or sequence containing a visual presentation, description or representation of pornography or an act of an explicit sexual nature of a person 18 years or older, which may be disturbing or harmful to, or age-inappropriate, for children, as contemplated in the Films and Publications Act, 1996, or in terms of any other law, to a child (‘B’), with or without the consent of B, is guilty of the offence of exposing or displaying or causing the exposure or display of child pornography or pornography to a child.

(South African Government 2007, s. 19)

Thus the law states that exposing a child to pornography is illegal, even if it is done with the consent of the child. Therefore, if a broadcaster screens pornographic films and/or images, or if a company is selling pornographic films and/or images, or a newspaper or magazine prints pornographic images, whilst knowing that children are seeing these films or images, due to whichever reason, it can be argued that such a broadcaster or company or publisher is contravening the law.

**FACING THE REALITY**

The role of faith-based organisations

What this article argues is that we are facing a stand-off in South Africa. On the one hand, the right to freedom of expression, as enshrined in the Constitution; on the other, one finds the Constitution stating that the child’s best interests are always the most important issue.

The reality is that it is the already marginalised children who are most at risk when it comes to the issue of the wide availability of pornography. It is the children without parents, without proper supervision and guidance. They are the ones being exposed to pornography, for they have no-one who shields and protects them from it and thus they have a much greater chance of falling victim to all the negative effects of being exposed to pornography at too young an age. There is no-one who protect their rights, no-one with a big enough voice who will champion their cause.

Potentially there are four different ways in which FBOs can get involved in this issue. Firstly, they can take the legal avenue; secondly, they can take up the role of watch dog; thirdly, they can look at how they talk about sex and sexual matters and, fourthly, they can practically empower individuals and parents.

**Taking legal action**

Three laws were explored earlier on in this article and it was explained how broadcasters and businesses are infringing these...
laws. Thus there is room to take legal action in the issue of the broadcasting and advertising of pornography.

Some researchers argue that the attempt to police the spread of pornography is driven primarily by the need of certain groups to enforce their values onto others. They are of the opinion that morality policy theory explains this need of some to restrict access to pornography. Those opposing pornography really do so in order to force their values onto others (Smith 1999:23). If one looks at the way in which certain Christian groups are opposing pornography on free-to-air television, one can understand that many who see this believe that Christians do so in an attempt to enforce their value system onto others. This has lead to some quite negative critique against the Christian anti-pornography movement in South Africa.

Therefore, one should maybe find new ways of entering the debate, ways that are credible, ways that do not let one come across as a minority trying to enforce certain moral principles onto others. One option is to not attack the broadcasters and/or companies directly, but to rather approach the issue through their governing bodies and/or the legislation that is applicable to their businesses. For example, by looking at children’s rights and the South African social reality, it can be argued that broadcasters and businesses are infringing actual existing South African laws. One can also directly approach the BCCSA, arguing that the ‘changing circumstances’ to which their own code refers is now and that ‘new requirements’ should be introduced into the Code. Approaching the issue in such a way will hopefully give the anti-pornography lobbyists a bit more weight and credibility. It will also – hopefully – lead to a more informed and aware public.

A watch-dog
It is important to be aware that our broadcasters, for example, do not always obey the laws to which they are obligated to submit. Even though e.tv, for example, always defends their programming by stating that it is in accordance with the BCCSA’s Code of Conduct (Tissen 2008), this is not always the case. e.tv has been guilty of changing the age restriction of a film without sufficient reason, of broadcasting a pornographic film of a nature and at a time that contravened the BCCSA, of giving inadequate classification regarding the content of films, of broadcasting promotional advertisements for adult films outside of the watershed period, etcetera (Broadcasting Complaints Commission of South Africa 2009).

It is important that the public complaints when broadcasters, publishers and/or businesses violate laws and/or codes of conduct to which they have to adhere. If they are not brought to heel, they will do it again. The BCCSA takes such complaints quite seriously and broadcasters have been fined for their non-compliance. As governing bodies and codes of conduct in such matters are usually quite reliant on public opinion, it is important to give voice to complaints.

Changing how faith-based organisations talk about sex
DeLashmutt (2006:47) describes the type of sex that is promoted by pornographic sexuality as predominately focusing on genital sexuality, with little time spent on developing romance, and/or positioning sexuality within a relationship or community. But he – controversially – argues that the (Christian) church is guilty of the same focus and emphasis upon genital sexuality (DeLashmutt 2006:52).

The church strongly advocates sexual purity. Sexual acts must take place within a marriage. Furthermore, the Christian religion has a long tradition of condemning sexuality for its own sake. The purpose of sex is that of procreation (DeLashmutt 2006:52). While most churches are not that fundamentalist anymore, the focus on virginity that many espouse does also lead to an inordinate focus on genital sexuality. It does not matter what else the individual does, as long as the hymen stays in place. It does not matter what else goes on in a relationship, as long as they get married before they have sex. Such a focus on sexual purity also gives sex a genital focus. It is all about the deed and little time is spent on the context, relationship and community in which it occurs. To quote DeLashmutt (2006):

> Prayers for restored virginity and Silver Ring Thing’s fascination with teenage moral purity reflect a common tendency towards an overly genitality centred disembodied sexual ethic. Such a fascination removes the body from the sexual organs and contemplates a sexuality that is an isolated and commoditised experience. Sex in popular culture and in porn culture, in church and in the media, is all about a protected experience which exists outside of the realm of consequence. (DeLashmutt 2006:55)

The church – and all FBOs – should thus start looking more holistically at the issue of sex. How they talk about sex and sexual matters must change, lest they stay guilty of contributing to disembodied sex, just like pornography.

Practical ways of empowering individuals and parents
There are certain things that the church can do to empower individuals and/or parents so that they and/or their children are not influenced by pornography exposure.

Critical viewing
Critical viewing is a skill that can be learnt by children, through which they are taught how to better interpret what they see in the media. Thus this is an important skill not only when it comes to pornography, but for media in general. If a child has these skills, he or she will be able to distinguish between real or unreal, factual or fictional, realistic or unrealistic. A child is also taught how to think about alternatives to the options given in the portrayed situation (Donnerstein & Smith 2001:302).

If one takes into account the fact that many children do not have parents and/or parental role models, it could be very valuable if schools could teach children critical viewing skills.

Parental guidance
Studies on televised violence have shown the difference it makes if parents view programmes with their children and discuss the realities of violence with them. Such interaction can lessen the negative impact of the media (Donnerstein & Smith 2001:302).

The researcher does not suggest that parents watch pornographic films with their children. But watching programmes with sexual content and afterwards discussing sex, the impact, alternatives, etcetera can lead to a child who is equipped to handle pornographic content, should he or she be exposed to it.

Promote programs with positive sexual content
The church can inform parents and people in general about programs and films with positive sexual content (Donnerstein & Smith 2001:302). If what people are exposed to influence them, start exposing them to positive things!

Address internet pornography
The issue of internet pornography is difficult to address. While in South Africa it is illegal to host a pornographic site which has child pornography, other pornographic sites are allowed. South Africans also have access to sites from around the world. South Africa does not have jurisdiction over these sites.

Stack et al. (2004) did a study that suggests a way that the FBOs can help address this issue. They found that weak ties to religion and an unhappy marriage were strong predictors for the use of internet pornography. Thus, if an individual has strong ties to religion and a happy marriage, he or she is less likely to access internet pornography (Stack et al. 2004:86).
These are areas in which FBOs can get involved. Faith-based organisations have the ability to help an individual develop stronger religious links and deeper faith. They also often have teaching, counselling and courses that can help an individual to develop a stronger marriage.

Practical ideas

Greenfield (2004:746–748) offers some practical ways for parents to counter the influence that pornography has on their children. These are:

- maintain an open family communication style
- be open to discussing sex with your children
- develop and maintain a warm and communicative parent–child relationship
- make sure your child gets sex education
- discuss media experiences with your child
- use the different forms of media with your child
- put the computer in a public place in your home
- restrict the use of Internet and other ways of accessing pornography.

CONCLUSION

It is not argued that all pornography should be banned. The effects of pornography on adults are the subject for another article. It is simply argued that the effects of pornography on children are so far-reaching and potentially negative that Section 16 of the Constitution should be more carefully applied. Honour Section 28 of the Constitution by removing pornographic content from free-to-air television stations and publications and by restricting the selling of pornography via cellphones. If done so one can dramatically limit the ways that children are exposed to pornography. Adults can still access pornography in other ways.

The purpose of this article is to highlight the implications of the availability of pornography in South Africa and to hopefully thereby stimulate conversation about it. This is an issue in which FBOs have to become involved. It is no longer enough just to condemn the use of pornography. One has to become actively involved in protecting people from it. While the use of pornography will most probably always be a protected right under Section 16 of the South African Constitution, the ways in which children are being exposed to it can be addressed without violating Section 16.

At the moment, it is the marginalised children of our society who are most adversely affected by the current situation. They have no parents or parental figures that can protect, guide and discipline them. Faith-based organisations should step up in these areas in which children are being exposed to it can be addressed without violating Section 16.
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