The impact of SME access to finance and performance on exporting behaviour at firm level: A case of furniture manufacturing SMEs in Zimbabwe

Orientation: Globally, the majority of Small and Medium-sized entities (SMEs) are resource constrained. As a result, not all SMEs are able to fully exploit the benefits associated with international trade as they face challenges when exporting their produce.

Research purpose: This article presents an investigation into the impact of access to finance on firm performance and exporting behaviour of SMEs in Harare, Zimbabwe.

Motivation for the study: The article stems from the observation that although there is a growing importance and contribution of SMEs worldwide, research has shown that only a few of these SMEs are involved in international trade.

Research design, approach and method: A cross-sectional study was employed with quantitative methods being utilised. The collected data were analysed using a structural equation modelling technique, which employed the Smart partial least squares software (version 2.0).

Main findings: The key findings reveal that a significant positive relationship between access to finance and SMEs exporting behaviour does exist. Furthermore, the study’s findings challenge the notion that firm performance has a significant impact on exporting behaviour and show a negative impact of access to finance on SME firm performance.

Practical/managerial implications: There is a need to put systems in place in Zimbabwe that will (1) prioritise the need to have clear routes to market and increase awareness among SME owners, and (2) help SMEs overcome high costs associated with participating in export of goods and services.

Contribution/value-add: The article provides a unique empirical analysis of the relationship that exists between access to finance, firm performance and export behaviour of SME firms in Zimbabwe, and thereby makes a valid contribution to SME literature.

Introduction

Financing of small- and medium-sized entities (SMEs) has been a subject of debate between policymakers, researchers and other stakeholders globally. The debate is fuelled by the important role that SMEs continue to play in the private sector across the globe and includes employment creation (Ayyagari et al. 2016; Naudé & Chiweshe 2017).

Small- and medium-sized entities constitute a vast section of the private sector in the majority of developed and developing countries (Beck & Demirguc-Kunt 2006). From a regional perspective, Irene (2017) cited Abor and Quartey (2010) and provided a Southern African perspective stating that SMEs contributed 57% towards the gross domestic product (GDP) and accounted for 61% of jobs created in the country. From a global perspective, Stouraitis, Harun and Kyritsis (2017) cited Gerlach-Kristen, O’Connell and O’Toole (2015) indicating that SMEs contributed 50% of the global GDP and 60% of global employment.

After a review of 50 empirical studies focusing on the job creation of SMEs in developing countries, Deji, De Kok and Veldhuis-Van Essen (2013) concluded that SMEs created two-thirds and 80% of all formal jobs in emerging and low-income economies, respectively. The above stated figures are a testimony to the important role that SMEs continue to play across the globe towards economic stability and development.

Milanzi (2012) also acknowledged the importance of SMEs and their contributions towards a nation’s total exports. In that regard, Edmunds and Khoury (1986) provided evidence revealing...
also investigates whether access to finance has an impact on SME performance. In addition, the impact of firm performance on SME export behaviour is examined.

The next section reviews literature on the constructs of the study leading to hypotheses formulation. Thereafter, the study further reviews the literature justifying why the study focused on SMEs in Zimbabwe. This is performed by focusing on four aspects. Firstly, the definition of SMEs in the Zimbabwean context; secondly, SMEs’ access to finance and exporting behaviour in the Zimbabwean context; thirdly, SMEs’ access to finance and performance in the Zimbabwean context, with the last aspect being firm performance and exporting behaviour in the Zimbabwean context. Later sections focus on the research objectives, methodology, results, implications to theory and practice. The last section provides the conclusion of the study.

**Literature review**

Economic literature has recently emphasised the strong impact of access to finance on entity decisions to engage in export activities (Chaney 2005; Manova 2006). Chingwaru and Jakata (2015) argued that accessing foreign markets is associated with large additional fixed and sunk costs, related to information gathering on foreign markets, establishing a reliable distribution system, adapting products to foreign tastes and preferences as well as environment. In addition, variable costs in foreign markets are high relative to the domestic market and these include shipping and insurance as well as other trade barriers. Saddening to note is the fact that SMEs continue to struggle to meet these costs because of limited access to finance.

In keeping with the foregoing, Bernard, Stabilito and Yoo (2010) argued that inadequate access to financial services is one of the main constraints facing entities in their bid to enter the export market. Beck and Demirguc-Kunt (2010) also echoed the same sentiments, stating that SMEs are financially more constrained than large firms and are less likely to have access to formal finance (long-term loans).

The global financial crisis of 2007 resulted in the world’s biggest recession since the great depression (Carbó-Valverde, Rodríguez-Fernández & Udell 2016). Contessi and De Nicola (2012) reported that the world’s GDP fell by 0.57% with the advanced economies experiencing a 3.48% decline, recorded as the largest decline in 50 years. International trade across the world suffered a huge collapse during this period. Contessi and De Nicola stated that the volume of exports fell by a staggering 11.2% and 7.6% in advanced and emerging economies, respectively. This unexpected and costly decline in global trade resulted in vast research into the causes and implications of such a decline. The global decline in trade volumes was attributed largely to the credit crunch because it reduced exporters’ access to finance.

The global financial crisis affected the financial sector of many countries and Zimbabwe was not spared. Muvingi (2011) reported that Zimbabwe’s financial sector has experienced
a very difficult financial crisis in the past 10 years which has resulted in many bank closures and liquidations. The list of casualties include: United Merchant Bank, Universal Merchant Bank, Trust Banking Corporation, Intermarket Banking Corporation, Time Bank, Barbican Bank Limited and Royal Bank Limited. The collapse of some major banks in Zimbabwe, coupled with the liquidity crunch resulting from the use of hard currency, affected SME ability to access finance in order to engage in export-oriented productive activities.

As highlighted previously, Zimbabwe’s formal economy collapsed in the year 2000. On this subject, Sanderson (2014) concurred with Chipangura and Kaseke (2012) that from the year 2000, there was an economic downturn in Zimbabwe, forcing companies to close down or downsize their operations. Many foreign companies left the country for stable economies such as South Africa.

This new reality saw many Zimbabweans jobless, leading to an increase in new venture start-ups in the form of small businesses for survival purposes. The Zimbabwean government and practitioners have come to acknowledge that SMEs can be the answer to the worsening trade deficit (Mudavanzo, Mudhala & Mudavanzo 2014). Through proper financial support and export training, SMEs have the capacity to lift the economy of Zimbabwe and help create the much needed jobs to deal with high levels of poverty and unemployment. With a static trend in export growth and trade deficits currently facing the country, SMEs can be a tool to improve trade balance and livelihoods (Calabrò & Mussolino 2011). Sanderson (2014) further stated that to support SME, provisions were made in the Zim-Asset, the Zimbabwean economic blueprint, in which SMEs and cooperatives were scheduled to receive funding and start-up capital.

The next section reviews the literature on the constructs of the study leading to the formulation of hypotheses. After this section, research objectives are presented, and the methodology, results, implications to theory and practice are discussed. Last, the conclusion is also presented.

**Small- and medium-sized entity access to finance and exporting behaviour**

The availability of finance is critical to SMEs. Milanzi (2012) revealed that limited access to finance significantly affected the export behaviour of Tanzanian SMEs. Bellone et al. (2010) found that SMEs with better access to finance were more likely to venture into international markets as opposed to those who had poor financial health. In addition, entities with better financial health enjoyed a short decision-making period regarding serving foreign markets as opposed to those with poor financial health.

The findings by Bellone et al. (2010) are contrary to the previous belief that pursuing foreign markets improved the financial position of exporters (Campa & Shaver 2002; Ganesh-Kumar, Sen & Vaidya 2001; Greenaway, Guariglia & Kneller 2007). Ughetto (2008) provided evidence, indicating that SMEs struggle to access external finance targeted for innovation and export development purposes. Because of the uncertainty and risk mix, SMEs find it difficult to present sound business plans that are export oriented and, in the process, they scare away potential funders and this increases the loan application refusal rates (Riding et al. 2010).

In dealing with global markets, there is high commercial risk as opposed to local markets. In such cases, investors shy away when there is no sound strategy and legal framework to protect their finances (Love & Roper 2015). In that regard, Bellone et al. (2010) concluded that inadequate access to finance acted as a barrier to SMEs’ participation in exporting activities. This brings forward the argument that exporting activities can only be pursued by entities that are financially healthy with the capacity to pay for high upfront or sunk entry costs. The thinking here is that when SMEs have access to finance, they gain the ability to improve on their flexibility, they get to improve on their innovation capacity and invest in the needed equipment and technology (Naude & Chiweshe 2017). When the above is achieved, SMEs will not only be able to improve on their productivity but they will also meet quality standards in line with other firms that are into buying and selling of goods and services on the global market (Chipangura & Kaseke 2012).

Exporting entities have to incur large sunk entry costs to establish themselves in the global market. Thus, entities who cannot afford to pay for sunk entry costs may not have the privilege to market their offering in the global market (Chaney 2005). This notion is well supported by theory, for example the new-new trade theory. According to the new-new trade theory, the significant trade obstacles faced by entities are in the form of fixed costs that have to be addressed prior to any meaningful trade activities taking place. A study by Moini (2007) provided evidence where non-exporting entities in the USA unanimously singled out high upfront costs as the major factor deterring them from pursuing global markets.

Conversely, pursuing international markets may improve an entity’s access to external finance chances (Bellone et al. 2010). First, in principle, entities participating in global markets should be enjoying stable cash flows as opposed to non-exporters. This is because exporters are leveraged against demand-side shocks, given that global markets are imperfectly correlated. In addition, exporters’ turnover is internationally diversified (Bridges & Guariglia 2008; Chingwaru & Jakata 2015). Second, participating in global markets should send the signal of an entity’s efficiency and competitiveness to local funders (Esteve-Pérez & Rodríguez 2013). Entities with exporting capabilities send the message to local funders as well as international funders that they are the best at what they do. As a result, funders both locally and internationally should at least view exporting as another uncostly method of
evaluating the profitability potential of an investment. Thirdly, trading in global markets can enhance the entity’s access to international financial markets, especially in countries where the entity’s goods are being sold. According to Tornell and Westermann (2003), foreign exchange revenues represent a strong collateral security to global investors.

Evidence exists suggesting that inadequate funding of SMEs by state agencies and private investors has been one of the many critical barriers for SMEs to pursue international markets (Gombarume & Mavhungutse 2014; Pinbo & Martins 2010). In support of the previous notion, relying on data from transition countries, Gashi, Hashi and Pugh (2014) found that adequate access to external funding increased SMEs’ propensity and intensity to pursue international markets. Given the above discussion, the following hypothesis was formulated:

**H₁:** Access to finance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs.

### Small- and medium-sized entity access to finance and performance

In order for SMEs to finance their daily production activities and perform well, a healthy financial position is critical, more importantly, a significant positive networking capital (current assets minus current liabilities) position (Bhunia 2010). Before production activities begin, SMEs need finance for start-up purposes, investing in capital and undertaking research and development activities, among others. After being fully established, finance is required to finance daily activities, for example the purchase of raw materials and payment of current liabilities as they mature. To finance these critical activities, various sources of finance exist, which therefore makes their accessibility more critical to SMEs, as they determine their performance and continued existence. These include formal (long-term and short-term debt, equity from entity owner, among others) and informal sources comprising loans from friends and immediate family members (Fatoki & Asah 2011).

In accordance with the finance theory, only the permanent portion of working capital should be financed through long-term debt (Gitman 2000). This leaves the financing of non-permanent working capital to short-term sources of finance, namely trade credit, bank overdraft, tax provisions and short-term bank loans among other alternative current liabilities that can be used to fund non-permanent working capital requirements (Padachi, Howorth & Narasimhan 2012).

In situations where a negative net working capital exists, short-term sources of funding could as well be used to finance non-current assets; by so doing, the aggressive approach will have been adopted (Bhattacharya 2001). Research provides evidence that SMEs mostly prefer the use of informal sources of finance (Padachi et al. 2012). This is a strategy which the majority of SMEs implement solely to maintain firm ownership.

Firm performance is directly dependent on access to long-term and short-term performance. In support of the previous statement, Balamoune-Lutz and Lutz (2017) recently surveyed 25 500 female-owned firms (only) in the Middle East and Africa. The results indicate that inadequate access to finance significantly contributed to poor entity performance. Zindiye (2008) concurred and pointed out that because of inadequate access to finance, SMEs are without the capacity to buy quality raw materials to produce quality goods and services that adhere to international standards, expected of firms competing in the global market. In other words, owing to poor access to finance, SMEs find it challenging to live up to their performance outcomes (Karedza et al. 2014).

Vanacker, Collevaert and Zahra (2016), relying on longitudinal data from 162 633 European entities across 26 countries, found evidence that slack financial resources (more than the required minimum level for operational purposes) enhanced the entity’s performance level. However, the higher the slack level of financial resources, the lower the entity’s performance enhancement was. They further provided evidence that in European countries where weak credit rights were found, abundant financial resources had a positive effect on entity performance. Literature reviewed in this section suggests that access to and availability of finance plays a pivotal role in the performance of SMEs. In light of this discussion, the following hypothesis was formulated:

**H₁:** Access to finance has a positive impact on firm performance of SMEs.

### Firm performance and exporting behaviour

Katsikeas and Skarmeas (2003) pointed out that exporting is critical to SMEs as it can enhance the skills and capabilities of owner or managers. Naude and Chiweshe (2017) concurred and pointed out that in the 21st century, firms are expected to compete in international markets given that more markets have opened up, creating a potential increase in revenue. Thus, by pursuing global markets, SMEs stand to benefit and learn very much from competition (Tambunan 2011). Research by Claver et al. (2007) provides evidence that venturing into international markets is critical for SMEs as it exposes their products to a large potential market. Given that the products are of good quality and conform to international standards, SMEs stand a chance to improve their balance sheet position through retained profits (Naude & Chiweshe 2017).

An SME’s productive capacity is also likely to improve given that the entity will be serving at least one market. Lejárraga et al. (2014) provided evidence indicating that pursuing international markets led to an increase in productivity. As SMEs attempt to avoid losing potential sales to competitors, owing to empty shelves, they are compelled to invest in technology for effective and efficient utilisation of resources through productive innovative means. Productive innovative means are an outcome of further investments in research and development activities (Esteve-Pérez & Rodríguez 2013).
Investing in technology is critical as that enables the SME to reduce lead time leading to high productivity (Aw, Roberts & Winston 2007). Eliasson, Hansson and Lindvert (2012) supported the previous notion. Relying on evidence from 14 000 Swedish SMEs, where significant investment in physical capital was pursued for innovation purposes, Eliasson et al. (2012) found that exporting SMEs were performing well as opposed to non-exporters. Thus, SMEs tend to benefit from engaging in exporting activities as it has positive effects on the performance (Chingwaru & Jakata 2015).

Conversely, the self-selection hypothesis points out that SMEs who perform well are the ones that are most likely to pursue international markets (Wagner 2007). This thinking comes into perspective given that there are fixed costs or barriers to internationalisation. In other words, only entities with the capacity to overcome fixed costs will pursue international markets. Thus, entities who export are better performers than non-exporters (Greenaway & Kneller 2007), which implies that entities do not export because they enjoy the benefits associated with internationalisation but because they perform well from the beginning (Love & Roper 2015). High-performers have the capacity to offset fixed costs associated with internationalisation. These fixed costs include costs associated with market research activities, costs for establishing a reliable distribution network, costs of identifying and negotiating with potential partners and costs for adjusting the offering to suit the international markets (Chingwaru & Jakata 2015).

In agreement with the above notion, Helpman, Melitz and Yeaple (2004) also argued that pursuing international markets is relatively easier for high-performing entities that have the capacity to overcome fixed costs associated with going global. Evidence provided by Aw, Roberts and Xu (2011) indicated that an entity’s productivity enhanced its chances of pursuing global markets and engaging in research and development initiatives. Hilmersson (2014) also pointed out that research unanimously agrees that there is a positive relationship between pursuing global markets and SME performance. In light of this debate, the article posits that:

$$H_1$$: Firm performance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs.

Fafchamps and Schündeln (2013) examined the relationship between local financial development and firm performance in Morocco; Boermans and Willebrands (2011) analysed the influence of financial constraints on firm performance and Atieno (2009) examined linkages between access to finance and the performance of small-scale enterprises in Kenya. Berman and Hericourt (2009) investigated the influence of access to finance among other finance-related factors and their influence on the entity’s decision to buy and sell goods or services at a global stage. Relying on data from 5000 entities plying their trade in emerging and developed countries, Berman and Hericourt (2009) found that availability of finance played a big role in the entity’s decision to engage in buying and selling of goods or services at a global stage. Figure 1 presents the proposed conceptual research framework.

Conversely, the self-selection hypothesis points out that SMEs who perform well are the ones that are most likely to pursue international markets (Wagner 2007). This thinking comes into perspective given that there are fixed costs or barriers to internationalisation. In other words, only entities with the capacity to overcome fixed costs will pursue international markets. Thus, entities who export are better performers than non-exporters (Greenaway & Kneller 2007), which implies that entities do not export because they enjoy the benefits associated with internationalisation but because they perform well from the beginning (Love & Roper 2015). High-performers have the capacity to offset fixed costs associated with internationalisation. These fixed costs include costs associated with market research activities, costs for establishing a reliable distribution network, costs of identifying and negotiating with potential partners and costs for adjusting the offering to suit the international markets (Chingwaru & Jakata 2015).

In agreement with the above notion, Helpman, Melitz and Yeaple (2004) also argued that pursuing international markets is relatively easier for high-performing entities that have the capacity to overcome fixed costs associated with going global. Evidence provided by Aw, Roberts and Xu (2011) indicated that an entity’s productivity enhanced its chances of pursuing global markets and engaging in research and development initiatives. Hilmersson (2014) also pointed out that research unanimously agrees that there is a positive relationship between pursuing global markets and SME performance. In light of this debate, the article posits that:

$$H_1$$: Firm performance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs.

Fafchamps and Schündeln (2013) examined the relationship between local financial development and firm performance in Morocco; Boermans and Willebrands (2011) analysed the influence of financial constraints on firm performance and Atieno (2009) examined linkages between access to finance and the performance of small-scale enterprises in Kenya. Berman and Hericourt (2009) investigated the influence of access to finance among other finance-related factors and their influence on the entity’s decision to buy and sell goods or services at a global stage. Relying on data from 5000 entities plying their trade in emerging and developed countries, Berman and Hericourt (2009) found that availability of finance played a big role in the entity’s decision to engage in buying and selling of goods or services at a global stage. Figure 1 presents the proposed conceptual research framework.
Mupemhi (2013) stated that among other findings across the 10 provinces of Zimbabwe, SME internationalisation is hindered by the unavailability of funds. Small- and medium-sized entities need funds to internationalise and this practice requires finances to cover costs. Such costs include international market research, development of export markets, international exhibitions, fluctuating foreign demand, shipment costs, insurance and non-payment of delivered goods. Lopez (2007) and EFIC (2008) identified the lack of adequate financial resources as the greatest factor limiting SME internationalisation. Zimbabwe and some Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and non-OECD countries such as Brazil, Russia, India, China and Israel have come up with new schemes that support SME exporting performance. The plans cater for direct financing, provision of soft loans through banks or government agencies to cover pre- and post-shipment costs and the provision of insurance to cushion against non-payment after deliveries (Mupemhi 2013; OECD 2009).

Small- and medium-sized entity access to finance and performance in Zimbabwe

Access to finance is the key determinant of SME performance in Zimbabwe (World Bank Ghana Office 2013). Fanta et al. (2017), in their study on four SADC Ghana countries, namely Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe, highlighted that about 50% of small business owners in Zimbabwe start and grow their entrepreneurial endeavours out of their own money and a third out of informal finance. He mentioned that in Zimbabwe, a maximum of only 2.3% of SMEs have access to bank credit, 11.3% from informal finances, 1.6% from other formal sources and none from trade.

The above scenario implies that those individuals without access to the stated sources lack finances for start-up and growth, and hence they only perform poorly regardless of how much enthusiasm they start off with. This is so because informal loans are small; they are mostly used in small business performance for financing operations (working capital) rather than growth (expansion) (Fanta 2012); and informal lenders charge unreasonably high interest rates that erode profit of small firms, according to Bolnick (1992).

The lack of access to finance is the primary obstacle (constituting 50% obstruction) to growth in Zimbabwe followed by market-related problems (13%) such as competition, lack of customers, lack of enough stock, and so on, and other problems (37%). Gombarume (2014) in a study on 100 SMEs in Chitungwiza, Zimbabwe, also noted that most of the SMEs were not getting enough financial support from financial institutions and were thus not performing well. It was recommended that the government should set up a loan guarantee scheme and SMEs should formalise their activities to get financial support from financial institutions.

Tinarwo (2016) highlighted that because of the buyer dominated market, where buyers negotiate and bargain until prices are lowered unprofitably, the operators of SMEs in Zimbabwe have resorted to producing substandard products. This enables them to conserve costs, leading to poor SME performance because customers begin to lose confidence in doing business with SMEs operating on the market and this forces them to source alternatives.

Firm performance and exporting behaviour in Zimbabwe

There is not much literature on the link between firm performance and exporting behaviour for Zimbabwe. However, Van Biesebroeck (2005) in a study of nine sub-Saharan African manufacturing countries, including Zimbabwe, found that exporters are systematically more productive than domestically oriented producers in the same country and industry and that exporters improve their relative performance after they enter foreign markets. On the other hand, Biggs and Shah (2006) also highlighted that most sub-Saharan countries penetrate the international market through the formation of tight networks; however, this increases the performance of the local SMEs at the expense of the other outsider nations with whom trade is being conducted. This therefore leaves room for further investigation into a truer model of the relationship between firm performance and exporting behaviour, particularly in the case of Zimbabwe.

Research objectives

This article assessed:

- the impact of access to finance on the export behaviour of SMEs in Harare, Zimbabwe;
- the impact of access to finance on SME performance;
- the impact of firm performance on SME export behaviour.

Research methodology

This study followed a descriptive analysis because the underlying relationships of the research variables (access to finance, firm performance and export behaviour) surrounding the problem were known (Cant et al. 2003). A quantitative research technique was used with the aim of obtaining SME owners’ or managers’ understanding of the impact of access to finance on their firm’s performance and export behaviour in Harare, Zimbabwe. To gather primary data, a self-administered questionnaire was used. The measures used in the questionnaire were adopted from extant literature that investigated issues related to the study’s objectives.

Sample and data collection

Self-administered questionnaires were used for the collection of data from the furniture manufacturing SME owners or managers. The SME owners or managers whose businesses are located in Harare, particularly the Zengeza and Glenview clusters; who are involved in the direct manufacturing of wood and steel furniture, with a firm of 2 years old or more; and who comply with the definition of a small and medium enterprise contained in the CZI were the respondents in this study. The Harare Municipality authorities were approached.
to obtain the sampling frame which comprised a list of 1520 enterprises registered for both Glenview (1300 SMEs) and Zengeza (220). Stratified random sampling was used to select two strata on the basis of wood furniture manufacturer and steel furniture manufacturer. Wood furniture manufacturers constituted 70% of the population, while steel furniture manufacturers accounted for 30% (Muponda 2012). Simple random sampling was then used to select the single sample for all the targeted SMEs and a sample size of 245 SMEs was selected, assuming a 93% confidence interval. Of the 183 SMEs, 86% were from the Glenview cluster, while 14% were from Zengeza. Using both the stratified and simple random probability sampling enhanced the sample representativeness of the furniture manufacturing SME population in Harare. Of the 245 questionnaires issued, a total of 159 (of which 135 were from the Glenview cluster and 24 were from Zengeza) were returned and usable for data analysis for this article. Thus, on average, the response rate was 65% which is acceptable. The self-administered questionnaires were designed in a way that allowed the researchers to perform confirmatory factor analysis indices, particularly the chi-square or degree of freedom (CS/DF), the comparative fit analysis and the incremental index of fit (IIF) (Hove, Sibanda & Pooe 2014).

Measurement instrument and questionnaire design

The research measures for this article were operationalised relying on extant literature. Necessary adjustments were made so as to align the concepts with the study’s objectives. To measure access to finance, four items were adapted from Contessi and De Nicola (2012) and Spicer (2010). To measure firm performance, nine items were adopted from Contessi and De Nicola. Finally, to measure SME export behaviour, five items were adapted from Ruiz-Fuensanta (2010). A five-point Likert-scale, with coding values ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree, was used in all the measurement items.

The study measures

Export behaviour of small- and medium-sized entities

Different studies have used different indicators to operationalise export behaviour. Milanzi (2012) was of the opinion that export behaviour has been studied widely using different conceptualisations and measurements. Basile (2000) and Wakelin (1998) defined export behaviour as an entity’s likelihood to buy and sell goods or services in a global market and also as the propensity to export for the exporting firms. For the present study, the authors also define export behaviour as both the likelihood to engage in buying and selling of goods or services in a global market and the propensity to sell goods or services in a global market. The authors employed five items used in Ruiz-Fuensanta (2010), namely international experience, timing of entry in export markets, export intensity, management’s attitudes and perceptions and export motivation.

Access to finance

Unavailability of finance was singled out as the main reason behind the high failure of SMEs in Van Eeden et al.’s (2001) study. Five years later, Beck and Demirguc-Kunt (2006) also found similar results. For newly established SMEs with no credit record, access to finance could be very difficult especially when the owner has few assets to guarantee lenders that he or she has the capacity to service the loan. The other challenge is that of moral hazard, that is to say, SME owners or managers may divert the money to other undisclosed projects. This challenge is fuelled by poor financial control measures highly exhibited among SMEs (Stokes & Wilson 2006). In this study, access to finance was measured by the following four items adopted from Contessi and De Nicola (2012) and Spicer (2010): (1) access to long-term debt finance, (2) finance and other sources to expand decisions that influence the place and time to export, (3) access to credit as an obstacle to business and cash flow as well as (4) payment risks that influence the decisions of the place and time to export.

Firm performance

To define entity performance, the study by Melville, Kraemer and Gurbaxani (2004) focused on the impact of technology on various business functions. Firstly, when the firm has invested in technology, the thinking is that it should be enjoying reasonable production costs. Secondly, the entity must be able to at least compete effectively in the market, and thirdly, the entity should be able to improve its revenue base. Research has also introduced the entity’s marketing performance in an attempt to broaden the definition of performance, for example Homburg and Pflesser (2003), Hooley et al. (2005), Wong and Merrilees (2007) and Hove et al. (2014). In this study, performance was viewed as a unidimensional construct and was measured through objective performance dimensions, namely revenue size, revenue growth, average returns, growth in returns and the entity’s market share.

Results

Respondent profile

The demographic and SME profile information is presented in Table 1. The SME owners or managers who participated in this survey were asked to provide information on their gender, age and educational background. In addition, some business profile information was obtained from the following: the firm age, number of employees and average annual turnover. The respondents were predominantly males (92%), with 8% females. With regard to age, 29% of the SME owners or managers were 30 years and below, 64% were between the ages of 31 and 60, while 7% were older than 60 years. A majority of the participant SME owner or managers (56%) had secondary education qualifications, followed by diploma and certificate holders (28%). Of these SME owners or managers, 9% had degrees and postgraduate qualifications, 4% had no formal education at all, while 3% had primary education.
Regarding the SME profiles, 72% of the SMEs were aged between 2 and 10 years, 21% were between 11 and 20 years, while 7% had been in business for more than 20 years. Of these SMEs, 81% employ fewer than 20 workers, while 19% employ between 20 and 35 workers. About 75% of the surveyed SMEs generate an average annual turnover of less than R4 million, while 25% have a turnover of less than R5 million per year. The SME owners or managers were also asked whether their firms have access to finance (long-term loans). A majority (81%) of these SME owners or managers indicated that they do not have any access to finance (long-term loans) for their expansion and growth, while 8% indicated that they have access and 11% were neutral regarding their access to finance. Some of the reasons that were provided for lack of access to finance include: lack of collateral security, absence of business plans, poor bookkeeping skills and lack of transparency. The SME owners or managers were also asked whether their firms are exporting or intending to export. The findings revealed that 77% of these SMEs indicated that they are not exporting and have no intentions of exporting their goods, 12% are exporting and are intending to export, while 11% were indifferent with regard to their intentions to export. Financial constraints increased competition in international markets and high transportation costs were the most cited reasons for not exporting and not intending to export.

**Data analysis and results**

A structural equation modelling (SEM) technique that employed Smart partial least squares (PLS) statistical software was used to test the hypothesised impact of access to finance on firm performance and the export behaviour of SMEs. Smart PLS was used in this study as it allows the use of relatively smaller samples compared to other software such as Amos and Lisrel. This study used a sample of 159 SME owners or managers which is relatively smaller than the sample sizes required by other SEM software to obtain robust results.

**Measurement model**

Reliability refers to the similarity of results provided by the independent but comparable measures of the same object or construct, or an index of consistence (Churchill & Iacobucci 2010:258). The primary measures of reliability in this study included composite reliability (CR) and Cronbach’s alpha values. Validity was measured in two categories: convergent and discriminant. Convergent validity was measured using the item or factor loadings exceeding 0.5 on their respective (priori) constructs (Chinomona 2013). Discriminant validity was measured using the average variance extracted (AVE) values and ensuring that there were no significant inter-research variable cross-loadings (Chin 1998). Smart PLS statistical software was used to perform a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and estimating the SEM for testing the research hypotheses. The CFA results are reported in Table 2 and Figure 2, while the SEM results are presented in Table 4 and Figure 2.

Composite reliability and the Cronbach’s alpha values were primarily used to assess the reliability of the three research constructs measures, as previously noted in this article. The CR values ranged from 0.786 to 0.836, while the Cronbach’s alpha values ranged between 0.735 and 0.877 (see Table 2). All these reliability measures of CR and Cronbach’s alpha were above the recommended threshold value of 0.7, thereby confirming the reliability of the three research construct measures (Nunnally & Bernstein 1994).

Convergent validity as noted earlier was measured by checking whether individual factor loadings for each corresponding research construct were above the recommended value of 0.5’ (Anderson & Gerbing 1988; Hove et al. 2014). As shown in Table 2, only one item (access to finance [AF] 1 – SMEs’ access to long-term debt) among the four measures of access to finance had a factor loading (0.953) of above the recommended threshold of 0.5. Firm performance, just like access to finance had a factor loading (0.953) of above the recommended value of 0.5. Convergent validity, just like access to finance, had one item with a factor loading of above the acceptable value of above 0.5. The item is profitability (FP 2) and it had a factor loading of 0.653. All the export behaviour items, except for export timing (EB 2) which had a negative factor loading –0.823), had positive factor loadings of above the recommended threshold of above 0.5, and ranged between 0.622 and 0.907. In light of the above, it can therefore be concluded that most of the items used to measure the three

---

**TABLE 1a:** Sample demographic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–60</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma or certificate</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree or postgraduate degree</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1b:** Sample small- and medium-sized entity profile characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firm age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–10 years</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20 years</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 20 years</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual turnover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than R4 million</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than R5 million</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
research variables of this article measured more than 50% of the variables of access to finance, firm performance and export behaviour in SMEs.

Also, as previously noted, the presence of discriminant validity in the items was measured by the AVE values greater than 0.5 (Fornell & Larcker 1981; Hove et al. 2014). As shown in Table 2, all the AVE values in this study ranged from 0.602 to 0.775. However, in order to ensure the existence of satisfactory discriminant validity between the research variables, the square root of the AVE of each factor was calculated and, as required, they exceeded the correlations between that factor and all other factors (Fornell & Larcker 1981). In this study, the squared roots of AVE ranged from 0.776 to 0.880, thereby exceeding the correlations between the three research constructs, which ranged between 0.278 and 0.758 (see Table 3). This further confirms the existence of discriminant validity. Above all, these results ‘confirm the reliability and validity of the construct measurements used’ (Hove et al. 2014:170).

Some of the criticisms on Smart PLS include its inability to provide the goodness-of-fit (GoF) measures for the full

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Accuracy analysis statistics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research construct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AF, access to finance; FP, firm performance; EB, export behaviour. CR, composite reliability; AVE, average variance reliability. * Scores: 1 – strongly disagree; 2 – disagree; 3 – neutral; 4 – agree; 5 – strongly agree; LV, latent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Inter-construct correlation matrix.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research constructs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF, access to finance; FP, firm performance; EB, export behaviour.
path model. In addition, it only provides the $R^2$ values for the dependent variables (firm performance and export behaviour in this article). However, this study calculated it using a global GoF method, which takes into account both the quality of measurement and the structural model, as proposed by Tenenhaus, Amato and Esposito Vinzi (2004). The following formula was used:

$$\text{Goodness-of-fit} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{average of all AVE values} \times \text{average of all } R^2}{2}} = \sqrt{0.684 \times 0.220} = 0.387$$

Based on the calculations above, the global GoF for this study is 0.387 which is above the acceptable ‘threshold of 0.36’ suggested by Wetzels, Odekerken-Schroder and Van Oppen (2009) (Hove et al. 2014). Thus, it can be concluded that the research model provides an overall GoF. Figure 2 and Table 4 presented in the next section help in the discussion of the hypotheses testing results.

**H1:** Access to finance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs

This study posits a positive and significant linear relationship between access to finance and SMEs’ export behaviour in Harare, Zimbabwe. Figure 2 and Table 4 present the findings on H1. As revealed in Figure 2, H1 has a positive path coefficient of 0.731. The positive path coefficient of 0.731 exceeds the recommended threshold value of 0.2, thus validating the posited positive impact of access to finance on SMEs’ export behaviour. Table 4 reports a $T$-statistic value of 11.891 for the posited association between access to finance and SME export behaviour. The $T$-statistic of 11.891 is greater than the recommended and acceptable threshold of 2.0 (Chinomona 2013), thus indicating that access to finance (debt) by SMEs has a significant and positive impact on their export behaviour. This means that if, on the one hand, SMEs lack access to finance, they will export less or will not export their goods at all. On the other hand, their access to finance such as long-term loans will enable the SMEs to export more or intend to export more. Thus, the findings in Table 4 and Figure 2 support $H_1$ because the two criteria of significance and positive path coefficient are met. As posited, the findings of this study suggest that access to finance can enhance the export behaviour of SMEs, through increased experience in the export market, not postponing their export intentions, better management perceptions and attitude towards exporting and higher export intensity. These findings are consistent with Muponda’s (2012) reasoning, which was that lack of access to adequate finance in the form of both long-term loans and equity capital explains why all the firms (including SMEs) reported that they had not established any export markets for their products, even though they had potential to do so. In light of the above, this study therefore strongly validates and supports the hypothesis that access to finance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs in Harare, Zimbabwe.

**H2:** Access to finance has a positive impact on firm performance of small- and medium-sized entities

A positive and significant impact of access to finance on firm performance of SMEs was also hypothesised in this article. The SEM results that validate or invalidate this relationship are shown in Figure 2 and Table 4 as earlier noted. Figure 2 shows a positive path coefficient of 0.276 for the posited linear relationship between access to finance and firm performance. The path coefficient of 0.276 exceeds the recommended path coefficient threshold of 0.2, thus validating the hypothesised positive impact of access to finance on firm performance of SMEs. Table 4, however, reports a weaker $T$-statistic value of 1.632 for the posited linear relationship between access to finance and firm performance of SMEs, which falls below the recommended threshold value of 2.0. This shows that the positive impact of access to finance on SMEs’ firm performance is insignificant. Thus, although there is a valid positive path coefficient supporting the posited positive impact of access to finance on SMEs’ firm performance, the $T$-statistics of less than 2.0 renders $H_2$ unsupported for insignificance. This could be because there are factors that explain firm performance of SMEs other than their access to finance. Some of these factors include their access to market, technology and infrastructure to name but a few. The findings suggest that access to finance on its own cannot significantly explain the profitability of SMEs; other non-finance factors should be taken into consideration. As such, this study invalidates and fails to support the hypothesis that states that access to finance has a positive impact on firm performance of SMEs. Thus, the study fails to reject the null hypothesis that claims that access to finance has a negative impact on firm performance.

**H3:** Firm performance has a positive impact on export behaviour of small- and medium-sized entities

This study also hypothesised that firm performance has a positive and significant impact on SME export behaviour. As earlier seen in Figure 2, a weaker positive path coefficient of 0.097 is reported for H3. The path coefficient falls below the recommended 0.2, thus invalidating the H3. Table 4 complements the weaker and invalidated positive path coefficient in Figure 2, with a weaker $T$-statistic value of 0.934, which falls below the acceptable threshold value of 2.0. This implies that firm performance has a weaker insignificant positive impact on the export behaviour of SMEs. These findings can be explained by the fact that there are factors that explain the export behaviour of SMEs other than their profitability. Some of the factors include access to finance.

### Table 4: Results of structural equation model analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed hypothesis relationship</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Path coefficients</th>
<th>T-Statistics</th>
<th>Rejected or supported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to finance (AF) → Export behaviour (EB)</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>11.891</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to finance (AF) → Firm performance (FP)</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>1.632</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm performance (FP) → Export behaviour (EB)</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.actacommercii.co.za Open Access
access to foreign markets, access to competitive technology and networking skills, to mention but a few. Thus, this study invalidates and fails to support the hypothesis that postulates that firm performance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs. As such, the study fails to reject the null hypothesis that claims that firm performance has a negative impact on export behaviour.

Implications for policy
The validation of the hypothesis that posited that access to finance has a positive impact on exporting behaviour (H1) leads to the following recommendation: To help the country reduce the balance of trade deficit and improve employment creation, the government must do more to improve access to finance, essentially for SMEs that are potential exporters by offering loans. Also, acknowledging the government efforts to support SMEs through the SEDCO and the ministry of small to medium enterprises, more work still needs to be done if the country is to benefit fully from the contribution of small businesses. Spicer (2010) argued that it is not enough that initiatives exist but there must be clear routes to market and awareness among potential beneficiaries and their intermediaries that they can access them. In the same line of reasoning, the government of Zimbabwe and all the other decision makers need to put systems in place that will prioritise the need to have clear routes to market and increase awareness among SME owners and managers along with their intermediaries, in order to realise maximum benefits from the exporting SMEs. In addition, the government must assist SMEs with trade promotion assistance to enable them in the management of costs associated with the export market.

Conclusion
This article concludes that access to finance has a positive impact on export behaviour of SMEs. It also concludes that access to finance has a negative impact on firm performance of SMEs. Lastly, the article further concludes that firm performance has a negative impact on export behaviour of SMEs.

Limitations and future research direction
This article makes a contribution towards understanding the exporting behaviour of SMEs in Zimbabwe, especially that of the furniture manufacturers. The study, however, was limited to only the Harare metropolitan province and furniture manufacturing SMEs. Future studies may extend the scope of the study to other provinces and other types of SMEs.

The invalidation of H3 and H4 offers an interesting area for study. The weak positive relationship between access to finance and firm performance might be because of many factors. Further studies may look into the combined effect of access to finance and other factors on firm performance. In addition, future studies may consider the indirect effect of firm performance and other factors (e.g. SME demographic profile) on the relationship between access to finance and exporting behaviour.
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